Prior research suggests that stigma plays a role in racial/ethnic health disparities. However, there is limited understanding about the mechanisms by which stigma contributes to HIV-related disparities in risk, incidence and screening, treatment, and survival and what can be done to reduce the impact of stigma on these disparities. We introduce the Stigma and HIV Disparities Model to describe how societal stigma related to race and ethnicity is associated with racial/ethnic HIV disparities via its manifestations at the structural level (e.g., residential segregation) as well as the individual level among perceivers (e.g., discrimination) and targets (e.g., internalized stigma). We then review evidence of these associations. Because racial/ethnic minorities at risk of and living with HIV often possess multiple stigmas (e.g., HIV-positive, substance use), we adopt an intersectionality framework and conceptualize interdependence among co-occurring stigmas. We further propose a resilience agenda and suggest that intervening on modifiable strength-based moderators of the association between societal stigma and disparities can reduce disparities. Strengthening economic and community empowerment and trust at the structural level, creating common ingroup identities and promoting contact with people living with HIV among perceivers at the individual level, and enhancing social support and adaptive coping among targets at the individual level can improve resilience to societal stigma and ultimately reduce racial/ethnic HIV disparities.
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The National HIV/AIDS Strategy, coordinated by the White House Office of National AIDS Policy (2010), notes that “HIV disproportionately affects the most vulnerable in our society—those Americans who have less access to prevention and treatment services” (p. ix) and that the reduction of stigma is a critical step toward decreasing HIV disparities and health inequities. Moreover, accumulating research demonstrates that societal stigma associated with race and ethnicity contributes to general disparities in mental and physical health (Williams & Mohammed, 2009) and health care (Smedley, Stith, & Nelson, 2003). Yet, there is currently limited understanding of how stigma contributes to racial/ethnic HIV disparities and what can be done to reduce the impact of stigma to alleviate these disparities. To address these gaps, we propose and review support for the Stigma and HIV Disparities Model and propose a resilience agenda specifying strategies to reduce racial/ethnic HIV disparities resulting from societal stigma.

Stigma and HIV Disparities Model

The Stigma and HIV Disparities Model in Figure 1 identifies fundamental processes in the relationship between societal stigma and racial/ethnic HIV disparities, including risk, incidence and screening, treatment, and survival. Societal stigma is social devaluation and discrediting associated with a personal attribute, mark, or characteristic such as race, ethnicity, or sexual minority orientation (Goffman, 1963). Societal stigma related to race/ethnicity ultimately contributes to and maintains racial/ethnic HIV disparities through its manifestations at the structural and individual levels. Furthermore, as depicted by bi-directional arrows...
within the model, societal stigma is sustained through the co-occurrence of its manifestations (Link & Phelan, 2001). That is, structural and individual-level stigma manifestations reinforce differences in status, resources, and social and political influence in ways that reinforce and justify societal stigma. Although the Stigma and HIV Disparities Model focuses on basic psychosocial processes, it recognizes that social context can critically shape the degree to which and how societal stigma is manifested.

**Stigma Manifestations**

At the structural level, stigma manifestations include residential segregation, historical traumatic assaults, and medical mistrust. Residential segregation, an enduring legacy of institutional racism, is a fundamental cause of racial disparities in health (Williams & Collins, 2001). Similarly, a history of traumatic assaults—including slavery, oppression, genocide, cultural destruction, displacement, and land loss—has left an enduring legacy on the health and psychological well-being of members of devalued groups, including Native Americans/Alaskan Natives (Walters, Beltran, Huh, & Evans-Campbell, 2011) and Blacks (Jones, Engelman, Turner, & Campbell, 2009). For example, historical traumatic assaults have disrupted traditional Native medicine services and customs (e.g., herbal and holistic alternative medicine) and led to historical trauma, a form of psychological injury experienced as depression, anxiety, anger, and avoidance (Whitbeck, Adams, Hoyt, & Chen, 2004). A history of unethical medical experimentation as well as contemporary discrimination within health care settings has resulted in mistrust of health care, medical providers, medical treatments, and the public health establishment among Black, Latino, and other communities (Corbie-Smith, Thomas, & St. George, 2002). This mistrust can take on the form of “conspiracy beliefs” or beliefs about large-scale discrimination by the government (e.g., “The government is using AIDS as a way of killing off minority groups”; “HIV is a manmade virus”; Bogart & Thornburn, 2005).

At the individual level, societal stigma associated with race and ethnicity is manifested as stereotypes, prejudice, and discrimination among perceivers (Dovidio et al., 2008). Prejudice is a negative orientation toward stigmatized people and can be experienced as an emotion such as anger, disgust, or fear. Stereotyping involves attributing specific characteristics, such as low intelligence or promiscuity, to group members. Discrimination is unfair behavior. Although explicit biases (i.e., blatant and intentional) have decreased in recent years in the United States, subtle forms (i.e., implicit and unconscious) persist (Dovidio & Gaertner, 2004).
The Stigma and HIV Disparities Model further illustrates how societal stigma, as well as its structural and individual manifestations among perceivers, is ultimately manifested at the individual level among targets (i.e., those who possess the devalued characteristic) as perceived, anticipated, and internalized stigma (Earnshaw & Chaudoir, 2009). Perceived stigma involves the assessment of experiencing prejudice, stereotypes, and/or discrimination from others in the past, and anticipated stigma involves expectations of such bias in the future. Internalized stigma, also referred to as self-stigma, represents devaluing and discrediting oneself or one’s group based on one’s stigma. These experiences reflect ways that individuals respond to or actively cope with stigma, operate in concert to negatively affect health, and have considerable implications for health disparities (Major, Mendes, & Dovidio, in press).

Multiple Stigmas: An Intersectionality Framework

Understanding the role of stigma in racial/ethnic HIV disparities requires recognition of the intersectionality of stigma: that is, interdependence among multiple co-occurring devalued social identities (Cole, 2009). Racial/ethnic minorities at risk of and living with HIV often possess other stigmas beyond their race/ethnicity, including HIV itself and related stigmas such as sexual minority orientation, transgender identity or expression, illicit drug use, sex work, incarceration, and immigration. Although the role of societal stigma associated with race/ethnicity can be studied independently, we propose that considering how multiple stigmas interact with each other provides a fuller understanding of the impact of societal stigma on racial/ethnic HIV disparities.

An intersectional framework elucidates several key insights for understanding how stigma contributes to racial/ethnic HIV disparities. First, people at risk of and living with HIV typically experience discrimination stemming from multiple facets of their identity beyond their race/ethnicity (Logie, James, Tharao, & Loutfy, 2011). Second, the different combinations of these stigmatized identities can produce distinctive responses and experiences (Purdie-Vaughns & Eibach, 2008). For example, because some intersectional identities are much more prototypical (e.g., HIV-positive gay White men), they may be the main target of social discrimination at the individual level more so than intersectional identities that are less prototypical (e.g., HIV-positive gay Native American men), which may be more socially “invisible.” A third insight is the dynamic nature of stigma and how the basis and nature of stigma can vary for the same person across contexts. For instance, Black men living with HIV who have sex with men may be stigmatized in White communities due to their race, in Black communities (e.g., faith-based organizations) due to their sexual orientation, and in Black and gay communities due to their sero-status (Bluthenthal et al., 2012).

Reviewing the Evidence

Stark racial/ethnic disparities exist along the HIV prevention and care continuum (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2012). We next review racial/ethnic disparities among Blacks, Latinos, Native Americans/Alaskan Natives, and Asian Americans at the four points of the HIV prevention and care continuum represented in the model and discuss how societal stigma relates to these disparities. Notably, research on Native Americans/Alaskan Natives and Asian Americans is more limited than on Blacks and Latinos.

Risk

Although racial/ethnic HIV disparities begin with risk, differences in sexual and injection drug use risk behaviors among races/ethnicities are often small. In nationally representative research (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2002), similar percentages of Black (46%), Latino (46%), and White (48%) high-risk heterosexual women report having multiple sexual partners. Slightly lower percentages of Latino (64%) than Black (75%) and White (69%) high-risk heterosexual men report having multiple sexual partners. In contrast, lower percentages of Black (64%) than Latino (71%) and White (74%) men who have sex with men report having multiple sexual partners and engaging in risky sexual behaviors (Harawa et al., 2004). Moreover, a national survey of injection drug users found that Latinos (38%) were more likely to share needles than Whites (33%), whereas Blacks (20%) were less likely (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2002).

At the structural level, Blacks and Latinos are more likely to live in risk environments due to residential segregation—spaces in which factors external to the individual increase chances of HIV transmission (Rhodes, Singer, Bourgois, Friedman, & Strathdee, 2005)—such as neighborhoods that contain a higher concentration of sexually
transmitted infections including HIV (Krieger, Waterman, Chen, Soobader, & Subramanian, 2003). People living in risk environments are not only more likely to acquire HIV, they are more likely to acquire other sexually transmitted infections, which further increases their vulnerability to HIV infection (Kalichman, Pellowski, & Turner, 2011). Risk environments also include the criminal justice system, in which Blacks are disproportionately represented and rates of HIV, sexual risk, and syringe sharing among injection drug users are higher than other environments (Okie, 2007; Rhodes et al., 2005). Multiple external risk factors often co-occur in risk environments, further enhancing chances of HIV transmission.

Also operating at the structural level, medical mistrust increases risk for HIV. Among Black men, research has linked HIV conspiracy beliefs with negative attitudes toward condoms, which in turn are associated with lower likelihood of using condoms consistently (Bogart & Thornburn, 2005). Conspiracy beliefs may relate to mistrust of information from public health officials regarding HIV, including how to reduce risk of transmission. Furthermore, historical trauma is associated with risk behaviors such as substance abuse and alcohol consumption among Native Americans/Alaskan Natives (Sotero, 2006; Walters et al., 2011). Substance use can directly elevate HIV risk through injection needle-sharing and indirectly elevate HIV risk by increasing the likelihood of engaging in unprotected sex.

Stigma manifested at the individual level among targets is associated with increased HIV sexual risk behavior. For example, Latino gay men who perceive stigma associated with their ethnicity and sexual orientation are more likely have unprotected sex with casual partners (Díaz, Ayala, & Bein, 2004). Latino gay men may cope with psychological distress resulting from perceived stigma associated with these intersecting stigmas by participating in sexual situations that increase their risk of having unprotected sex (e.g., sex under the influence of drugs). Additionally, internalized stigma is associated with sexual risk among gay and bisexual men (Valdiserri, 2002). People who feel internalized stigma may be more likely to participate in risky sexual situations as a temporary escape from shame and depression or because they seek self-validation through sexual encounters.

Stigma manifested at the individual level among targets is further associated with greater engagement in substance use. Gibbons et al. (2010) found that perceived stigma based on race is associated with increased substance use among Black adolescents and their parents. Gibbons et al. theorized that Blacks engage in substance use in part to cope with stress associated with perceived stigma, and that interactions characterized by stigma deplete self-regulatory functioning, which ultimately leads to less self-control to resist drug use. Additionally, internalized stigma associated with race is related to greater alcohol consumption among urban Black women (Taylor & Jackson, 1990).

Incidence and Screening

Juxtaposed with disparities in HIV risk, disparities in HIV incidence are striking. According to U.S. surveillance data (National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, 2010), although Blacks comprised about 12% of the population in states with confidential names-based reporting, they accounted for 45% of all new diagnoses in 2007–2010; Latinos comprised about 16% of the population but 22% of new diagnoses. In contrast, although Whites accounted for a greater percentage of new diagnoses (29%) than did Latinos, they made up 65% of the population. Moreover, Black men who have sex with men bear the greatest burden of all races/ethnicities and transmission groups (i.e., injection drug use, injection drug use plus men who have sex with men, heterosexual, and “other”) accounting for 40% of diagnoses among men who have sex with men among all races/ethnicities (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2012). At the intersection of age, race, and sexual orientation, the rate of increase in new infections from 2006–2009—48%—was greater among young Black men who have sex with men than any other racial/ethnic group (Prejean et al., 2011).

Racial/ethnic disparities also exist in HIV screening. Although Blacks (77%) and Latinos (58%) are more likely to report having ever been tested than are Whites (49%; Kaiser Family Foundation, 2011), Blacks, Latinos, and Asians/Pacific Islanders test at a later stage in the HIV disease continuum (Chen, Gallant, & Page, 2012). The percentage of Blacks (16%) and Latinos (16%) who tested for HIV within 6 months of being infected is lower than for Whites (29%; Schwarcz et al., 2007). The intersection of race/ethnicity with immigration status may widen disparities related to late testing (i.e., receipt of an AIDS diagnosis within a year after testing). In a study of 45 U.S. border communities, people who were foreign-born were most likely to be late testers (Espinoza, Hall, & Hu, 2009).
Whites (Shapiro et al., 1999). Blacks are least likely to engage in HIV care than Whites being most likely (Lillie-Blanton et al., 2010). The extent to which this disparity exists for Latinos is unclear; some studies have found that Latinos exhibit better adherence than other races/ethnicities (Silverberg, Leyden, Quesenberry, & Horberg, 2009), whereas others have shown that Latinos exhibit worse adherence than Whites (Oh et al., 2009).

At the structural level, residential segregation can affect availability and quality of care. Blacks are more likely to live in areas characterized by limited availability of health care (e.g., fewer physicians and institutions) and poorer health care quality (White, Haas, & Williams, 2012). Furthermore, language represents a barrier among people living with HIV who do not speak English, reducing the likelihood that they will seek medical care and increasing the probability of medication errors when they do (Murphy, Roberts, Hoffman, Molina, & Lu, 2003). Historical traumatic assaults have disrupted the availability of traditional Native medicine services and customs (Walters et al., 2011), which may be preferred by some Native Americans/Alaskan Natives living with HIV (Duran & Walters, 2004). Medical mistrust further exacerbates racial disparities in HIV care and treatment, possibly leading to a lower likelihood of accessing health care (Smedley et al., 2003). Medical mistrust may also lead to reluctance to adhere to provider recommendations. For example, Black men living with HIV who endorse treatment-related conspiracy beliefs, such as the belief that people who take antiretroviral treatments are “guinea pigs,” show lower adherence to antiretroviral treatment (Bogart, Wagner, Galvan, & Banks, 2010).

Stigma manifested at the individual level among perceivers plays a critical role in racial/ethnic disparities in treatment of HIV. Accumulating evidence demonstrates that physicians who hold implicit (i.e., unconscious) prejudice toward Black patients also have more negative stereotypes of and discriminate toward Black patients by providing them with poorer care (for a review, see Dovidio et al., 2008). Within HIV care and treatment specifically, stigma may affect provider prescription of antiretroviral therapy. King, Wong, Shapiro, Landon, and Cunningham (2004) found that White providers prescribed antiretroviral therapy to Black patients much later than to White patients. However, there were no differences in length of time before prescription between Black providers with Black patients and White providers with White patients. Differences in prescription of antiretroviral therapy are partly driven by provider stereotypes that Black patients will be less adherent (Stone, 2005). Many providers also believe that people living with HIV who currently or have in the past abused alcohol or injection drugs, homeless persons, and mothers of young children will have poor adherence, potentially influencing prescription.

Stigma manifested at the individual level among targets further undermines care and treatment. When receiving HIV care, people living with HIV perceive explicit stigma based on their race and socioeconomic status (Bird, Bogart, & Delahanty, 2004) as well as their HIV status (Schuster et al., 2005). Additionally, Black patients report receiving poorer care from and having less confidence in providers who are higher in implicit prejudice (Cooper et al., 2012). Perceived stigma from providers is associated with lower access to care (Kinsler, Wong, Sayles, Davis, & Cunningham, 2007) and greater likelihood of missing doc-

Residential segregation at the structural level may play a role in racial/ethnic differences in HIV screening: Blacks and Latinos are more likely to reside in higher HIV prevalence regions, which are targeted for receiving more federal HIV prevention funds for testing. Moreover, HIV stigma manifested at the individual level among targets continues to be considered a major barrier to HIV screening (Obermeyer & Osborn, 2007). A positive diagnosis forever marks an individual with the stigma of HIV. People who anticipate that others will discriminate against them if they test positive for HIV may avoid testing. Also, people may not test due to anticipated stigma associated with risk behaviors or related stigmas. For example, men who have sex with men, people who engage in sex work, and people who inject drugs may delay testing or not test due to fear that others will suspect that they engage in a stigmatized behavior. Relatedly, Latinos who are undocumented may delay testing to conceal their residency status.

**Treatment**

Next in the HIV continuum, racial/ethnic disparities exist in medical treatment received by people living with HIV. Latinos have the longest delays between HIV diagnosis and engagement in care followed by Blacks, with Whites having the smallest delays (Turner et al., 2000). Latinos and Blacks have lower rates of engagement in HIV care than Whites (Shapiro et al., 1999). Blacks are least likely to receive antiretroviral treatment followed by Latinos, with Whites being most likely (Lillie-Blanton et al., 2010). Blacks are less adherent to antiretroviral treatment than are Whites (Johnson et al., 2003). The extent to which this disparity exists for Latinos is unclear; some studies have found that Latinos exhibit better adherence than other races/ethnicities (Silverberg, Leyden, Quesenberry, & Horberg, 2009), whereas others have shown that Latinos exhibit worse adherence than Whites (Oh et al., 2009).
tors’ appointments (Bird et al., 2004). People living with HIV who perceive stigma from health care providers may avoid health care contexts because they anticipate stigma from providers. People living with HIV who perceive stigma from others in general are also more likely to miss clinic appointments for HIV care (Vanable, Carey, Blair, & Littlewood, 2006). Not accessing care could help individuals conceal their HIV status. Additionally, internalized stigma is associated with decreased access to care (Sayles, Wong, Kinsler, Martins, & Cunningham, 2009). People with high internalized stigma may avoid treatment because they feel that they do not deserve care, or because they feel ashamed to discuss their HIV infection or related risk behaviors.

Stigma manifested at the individual level among targets can impair treatment adherence. A study at the intersection of race, HIV, and sexual orientation suggests that perceived stigma due to race plays a significant role in antiretroviral adherence: In a multivariate model including the effects of perceived stigma due to race, HIV, and sexual orientation, only perceived stigma due to race was a significant predictor of adherence (Bogart, Wagner, Galvan, & Klein, 2010). People living with HIV who have internalized stigma are also more likely to report suboptimal adherence (Sayles et al., 2009). They may decide not to take medication to avoid thinking about their HIV status.

**Survival**

Finally, racial and ethnic disparities exist at the end of the HIV continuum—in survival rates of people living with HIV (Simard, Fransua, Naishadham, & Jemal, 2012). Blacks and Native Americans/Alaskan Natives diagnosed with HIV show much higher death rates than Latinos, Whites, and Asians/Pacific Islanders, and they are less likely to remain alive 9 years post-diagnosis (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008, 2011). In a simulation analysis, Latinos diagnosed with HIV were estimated to lose more life years from late antiretroviral treatment initiation and discontinuation compared to all other races/ethnicities, and Black women were estimated to lose more years of life due to late initiation and discontinuation than White women (Losina et al., 2009). However, this trend was reversed for Black versus White men, highlighting the importance of the intersection of race and gender.

Stigma manifested at the structural level may affect the survival of people living with HIV. The concentration of poverty in residentially segregated environments can lead to exposure to elevated levels of chronic and acute stressors at the individual, household, and neighborhood levels, including economic hardship and criminal victimization. Large racial/ethnic differences exist in exposure to multiple types of acute and chronic stressors, which contribute to racial/ethnic disparities in health (Sternthal, Slopen, & Williams, 2011). Similarly, historical trauma has been conceptualized as a chronic stressor that can have negative health effects for Native Americans/Alaskan Natives (Sotero, 2006). Chronic stress is associated with faster HIV disease progression and shortened survival among people living with HIV (Leserman, 2003).

Perceived stigma and anticipated stigma at the individual level among targets further act as chronic stressors that can have harmful physiological (e.g., cardiovascular reactivity), psychological (e.g., anger, felt stress, anxiety), and behavioral (e.g., smoking) responses (Major et al., in press). People living with HIV who perceive stigma report greater physical health and mental health symptoms (e.g., HIV symptoms, depressive symptoms; Vanable et al., 2006), and those who internalize stigma report greater depression, anxiety, and hopelessness (Lee, Kochman, & Sikkema, 2002).

**Setting a Resilience Agenda**

Our review suggests that racial/ethnic HIV disparities exist but are not monolithic: Disparities do not affect different groups in a uniform way at each stage of the continuum. These variations imply the existence of factors that moderate the relationship between societal stigma and HIV disparities. Within this section, we focus on resilience resources, which represent a suite of modifiable strength-based moderators that may be appropriate targets for intervention to address societal stigma and HIV disparities. Within this section, we focus on resilience resources, which represent a suite of modifiable strength-based moderators that may be appropriate targets for intervention to address societal stigma and are depicted in the Stigma and HIV Disparities Model. Resilience can be defined as individuals’ capacity, combined with families’ and communities’ resources, to overcome serious threats to development and health (Ungar, 2008).

Given its impact on HIV disparities, eliminating societal stigma associated with race/ethnicity, HIV, and related stigmas would likely significantly reduce HIV disparities. Our Stigma and HIV Disparities Model suggests that reductions in the manifestations of stigma will reduce societal stigma because of the feedback loops that exist between them. It is critical to adopt initiatives to reduce
stigma manifestations generally (see, e.g., Paluck, 2009) and within health care contexts specifically (Smedley et al., 2003) to eliminate societal stigma. However, because societal stigma has proven difficult to eliminate or diminish significantly, we suggest enhancing resilience to societal stigma at the structural and individual levels as a critical strategy to reduce HIV disparities.

**Structural Level**

At the structural level, the community psychology, sociology, and public health literatures suggest innovative interventions to reduce the impact of societal stigma on HIV disparities. Interventions that empower and build trust among devalued communities can disrupt the deleterious effects of residential segregation, historical traumatic assaults, and medical mistrust on health outcomes relevant to HIV disparities.

**Empowerment.** Empowerment can be fostered at the individual and community levels through economic policy change and community education and mobilization. Among individuals, economic empowerment and racial/ethnic pride may reduce HIV risk behavior. At-risk women who were taught to market and sell jewelry experienced increases in income and reductions in receiving drugs or money for sex, number of sex trade partners, and money spent on drugs (Sherman, German, Cheng, Marks, & Bailey-Kloche, 2006). Empowerment in the form of racial/ethnic pride may be accomplished through racial socialization, in which parents and other adults transmit messages to children about racial/ethnic heritage and prepare youths for future racism-related challenges (Hughes, 2003). For example, research can evaluate the effectiveness of neighborhood and school events in educating children about cultural holidays as well as historical and current political figures of their own race/ethnicity, and parents in teaching youths how to recognize and cope with mistreatment due to race.

At the structural level, communities can be empowered for change via increased community capacity, defined as resources to address community problems as well as the development and deployment of skills, knowledge, and resources that can aid in this effort (Goodman et al., 1998). Various community institutions (e.g., families, neighborhoods, schools, churches, businesses, and volunteer agencies), which often have unrealized or unrecognized capacity, can be enlisted as agents of change for effective solutions to local problems (McLeroy, Norton, Kegler, Burdine, & Sumaya, 2003). Community-based interventions can go beyond merely using the community as the setting of the intervention, to viewing the community as a vehicle of change via community policies, environments, institutions, and services (McLeroy et al., 2003). Community-based interventions that provide resources to improve neighborhood conditions may be particularly effective in reducing health disparities, given that improved neighborhood conditions are associated with lower levels of substance abuse, fewer health problems, and greater satisfaction with medical care (Fauth, Leventhal, & Brooks-Gunn, 2004). Furthermore, empowerment can be fostered in Native American/Alaskan Native communities by strengthening traditional Native medicine services and customs that have been weakened by historical traumatic assaults. Ultimately, traditional Native medicine services may be integrated with HIV treatment services to provide culturally appropriate care for Native Americans/Alaskan Natives living with HIV (Duran & Walters, 2004).

**Trust.** To overcome medical mistrust, social and health services can be positioned in communities rather than health care institutions. Community programs can be a bridge to health care by identifying those with health care needs and providing appropriate referrals to culturally sensitive services. Although health centers often exist in impoverished areas, individuals who mistrust health care may be unwilling to access them. It is critical to involve community members in program development and implementation to best address such barriers and to establish credibility with hard-to-reach populations.

AIDS service organizations have pioneered community-based outreach programs for increasing HIV testing and supporting treatment adherence and engagement in care among those living with HIV (Mutchler et al., 2011). Through their location outside of the health care system, such organizations provide a safe space for individuals who may be too mistrustful to obtain HIV-related services in health care establishments. Such programs provide promising future directions for research in reducing mistrust.

Scientists can play a role in reducing mistrust of medical information and research by employing principles of community-based participatory research. In community-based participatory research, community stakeholders (i.e., people affected by HIV disparities) are equitably involved as full partners in all phases of the research, including initial idea and proposal generation, study implementation, and interpretation and dissemination of results (Bogart & Uyeda, 2009). This methodology enhances the transparency of the research process, ultimately facilitating trust in research results and the science behind medical treatments among community stakeholders.

**Individual Level: Perceivers**

At the individual level among perceivers, the social and clinical psychological literatures suggest interventions that have only recently been applied to the HIV epidemic. These interventions often target social categorization, or grouping people based on common characteristics, given its role in maintaining societal stigma by activating stereotypes and justifying prejudice and discrimination (Link & Phelan, 2001). Social categorization is malleable: How a person categorizes another can be shaped by contextual influences and can be altered by experience. Interventions that disrupt social categorization by enhancing common ingroup identity and increasing contact between perceivers and targets may reduce prejudice, stereotypes, and discrimination, thereby reducing the impact of societal stigma manifested at the individual level among perceivers on outcomes relevant to HIV disparities.

**Common ingroup identity.** Prejudice and stereotypes endorsed by health care workers can result in
poor medical treatment. Creating a common ingroup identity between physicians and patients may help to reduce prejudice, even when implicit, toward people at risk of and living with HIV (Dovidio et al., 2008). The Common Ingroup Identity Model (Gaertner & Dovidio, 2012) posits that social categorization can maintain intergroup bias by separating people into ingroups (i.e., “us”; e.g., Whites, people not living with HIV, physicians) and outgroups (i.e., “them”; e.g., Blacks, people living with HIV, patients). The creation of common groups may help to reduce intergroup bias by encouraging individuals to think of themselves as belonging to a superordinate group. For example, physicians and patients can be encouraged to think of themselves as a team with a shared goal to improve the health of the patient through instructions and written reminders that are reinforced by visual cues such as team colors and paraphernalia (e.g., pens, logos, buttons; Penner et al., 2013).

Contact. Although faith-based organizations have been criticized as acting as an obstacle to HIV prevention efforts, they have potential for reducing stigma toward people living with HIV due to their prominence in Black and Latino communities (Derose et al., 2010). Research on the contact hypothesis suggests that interactions between congregants and people living with HIV are likely to be effective at reducing prejudice, especially if the interactions are positive and the organizational leadership openly shows support (Pettigrew & Tropp, 2006). Research might examine whether the effects of contact generalize beyond the immediate context, thereby leading to reductions in prejudice outside of faith-based organizations and into the broader community.

Contact between congregants and people living with HIV may be facilitated in several ways. For example, people living with HIV can be invited to the give a testimonial to the congregation, or church health ministries can facilitate opportunities for congregants to volunteer with AIDS service organizations (Otolok-Tanga, Atuyambe, Murphy, Ringheim, & Woldehanna, 2007). Research can explore whether indirect contact via media (e.g., television, Internet) that exposes congregants to people living with HIV can be effective in reducing prejudice in this context, as it has recently been shown to work for racial and ethnic prejudice (Paluck, 2009).

Individual Level: Targets

Targets often thrive under adverse conditions, demonstrating remarkable resilience to societal stigma as well as its manifestations at the structural level and individual level among perceivers. Interventions that increase social support and improve adaptive coping can improve how targets respond to stigma, disrupting the adverse effects of perceived, internalized, and anticipated stigma on health outcomes relevant to HIV disparities.

Social support. Support can increase targets’ resilience to stigma by helping to regulate emotions, foster emotional expression, and problem solve (S. Cohen, 1988). Social support can assist in cognitive restructuring after perceiving discrimination, in which individuals reframe thoughts by making self-protective attributions (e.g., the problem lies with the perceiver rather than the target; Crocker, Major, & Steele, 1998). Because suppression of thoughts about perceived stigma can prolong distress, social support can encourage targets to talk through the event openly (Hatzenbuehler, Nolen-Hoeksema, & Dovidio, 2009). After perceiving stigma, Black adolescents with highly supportive parents report less behavioral willingness to engage in substance use than those with less supportive parents (Gibbons et al., 2010). Research can explore how parents can help adolescents cope with the emotional and cognitive aftermath of perceived stigma, and ultimately possibly prevent engagement in HIV risk behaviors.

Intersectionality can lead to challenges when accessing supportive social networks that stigmatize one or more identities but lend support for others. Support for all aspects of intersecting identities may not be forthcoming from one source; different sources may stigmatize distinct aspects of identity. Consequently, for example, Black men who have sex with men may camouflage aspects of their identity to gain support from faith-based organizations, in which HIV stigma and homophobia may be present (Bluthenthal et al., 2012). When discriminatory environments are anticipated, men may not disclose their sero-status or sexual orientation (Della, Wilson, & Miller, 2002). Moreover, because people with intersecting identities may hide aspects of their identities, they may have limited opportunities to seek social support from others with the same intersectional identities. Interventions for those with intersecting identities could direct individuals about effective strategies for increasing or leveraging existing support resources, especially in the context of low levels of disclosure.

Adaptive coping. A small research literature has identified culturally relevant resilience strategies used by people with intersecting identities, including affiliation with supportive others who avoid negativity and maladaptive coping (e.g., substance use) among Black men (Teti et al., 2012), and building supportive HIV-positive networks among Black women living with HIV (Logie et al., 2011). Intervention research could test interventions that encourage adaptive strategies. For example, counselors can be trained to reframe individuals’ cognitions about discrimination to elicit thoughts about the consequences of maladaptive (e.g., anger, aggression, substance use) and adaptive (e.g., support seeking) emotions and behaviors that may arise in response to stress from discrimination.

Spirituality, sometimes characterized as a coping resource, also increases resilience to stigma. Chaudoir et al. (2012) found that spiritual peace (i.e., a sense of peace and meaning derived from spirituality) buffers people living with HIV from the negative impact of HIV stigma on depression. Duran and Walters (2004) have further suggested that spirituality, including engagement in religious rites and ceremonial practices, enhances resilience to historical trauma among American Indians/Alaskan Natives. Future research might evaluate whether spirituality oper-
become aware of how stigma can contribute to HIV disparities, they may still focus on the single, most contextually relevant social identity of a person (e.g., race or immigrant status) unless they are motivated to attend to other characteristics (Pendry & Macrae, 1996). Physicians might be trained to form more individuated impressions of patients and appreciate how intersectional identities shape people’s perceptions, experiences, and needs. Such education may also enable health care providers, who may assume the trust of their patients, to understand how structural, historical, and contemporary social influences can lead patients to enter medical encounters with mistrust (Dovidio et al., 2008) and, thus, help providers develop more effective strategies for engaging patients positively. Finally, rather than viewing people as passive victims of stigma, we conceptualize people as active agents who can access a range of resources to protect themselves. Physicians may be trained to identify and promote resilience resources, such as discussing with patients ways in which they could obtain social support through selective disclosure to individuals who might be less likely to stigmatize.

In conclusion, psychologists can contribute to the elimination of HIV disparities, thereby promoting the achievement of the National HIV/AIDS Strategy. Today’s biomedical prevention strategies have great potential to prevent, treat, and even eliminate HIV: Ground-breaking research shows that HIV transmission is lower among people whose HIV viral load is suppressed from antiretroviral treatment (M. S. Cohen et al., 2011), and HIV infection is reduced among at-risk, uninfected individuals who take antiretroviral medications (i.e., pre-exposure prophylaxis; Smith et al., 2011). However, such biomedical strategies will not be successful without changing behaviors associated with stigma, including use of and adherence to antiretroviral treatment. Interventions and clinical practice that increase resilience to societal stigma have the capacity to improve these behaviors and ultimately reduce disparities across the HIV continuum.
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