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Abstract

An unoriented flow in a graph, is an assignment of real numbers to the edges, such that the sum of the values of all edges incident with each vertex is zero. This is equivalent to a flow in a bidirected graph all of whose edges are extraverted. A nowhere-zero unoriented k-flow is an unoriented flow with values from the set \( \{ ±1, \ldots, ±(k - 1) \} \). It has been conjectured that if a graph has a nowhere-zero unoriented flow, then it admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 6-flow. We prove that this conjecture is true for hamiltonian graphs, with 6 replaced by 12.
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1 Introduction

Let \( G \) be a directed graph. A nowhere-zero flow in \( G \) is an assignment of non-zero real numbers to edges of \( G \) such that for every vertex, the sum of the values of incoming edges is equal to sum of the values of outgoing edges. A nowhere-zero \( k \)-flow is a nowhere-zero flow such that the assigned values are integers with absolute values less than \( k \).

A celebrated conjecture of Tutte says that:

**Tutte’s 5-flow Conjecture** [8]. *Every bridgeless graph admits a nowhere-zero 5-flow.*

Note that the assumption that \( G \) is bridgeless is necessary, since if \( G \) has a bridge then it does not admit a nowhere-zero flow. Jaeger showed that every bridgeless graph admits a nowhere-zero 8-flow, see [5]. Next, Seymour proved that every bridgeless graph admits a nowhere-zero 6-flow [7]. For a thorough account of the above conjecture and subsequent results, see [4] and [10].

Let \( V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \) and \( E(G) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \). The incidence matrix of \( G \), denoted by \( W(G) \), is an \( n \times m \) matrix defined as

\[
W(G)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if } e_j \text{ is an incoming edge to } v_i, \\
-1 & \text{if } e_j \text{ is an outgoing edge from } v_i, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

The flows of \( G \) are indeed the elements of the null space of \( W(G) \). If \( [c_1, \ldots, c_m]^T \) is an element of the null space of \( W(G) \), then we can assign value \( c_i \) to \( e_i \) and consequently obtain a flow. Therefore, in the language of linear algebra, Tutte’s 5-flow Conjecture says that if \( G \) is a directed bridgeless graph, then there exists a vector in the null space of \( W(G) \), whose entries are non-zero integers with absolute value less than 5.

One may also study the elements of null space of the incidence matrix of an undirected graph. For an undirected graph \( G \), the incidence matrix of \( G \), \( W(G) \), is defined as follows:

\[
W(G)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } e_j \text{ and } v_i \text{ are incident,} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

An element of the null space of \( W(G) \) is a function \( f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) such that for all vertices \( v \in V(G) \) we have

\[
\sum_{u \in N(v)} f(uv) = 0,
\]

where \( N(v) \) denotes the set of adjacent vertices to vertex \( v \). We call such a function an *unoriented flow* on \( G \), as contrasted to the usual “oriented
flow”. An unoriented $k$-flow is an unoriented flow whose values are integers with absolute value less than $k$. Figure 1 shows a nowhere-zero unoriented 6-flow.

There is a conjecture for unoriented flows similar to the Tutte’s 5-flow Conjecture for oriented flows:

Let $G$ be an undirected graph with incidence matrix $W$. If there exists a vector in the null space of $W$ whose entries are non-zero real numbers, then there also exists a vector in that space, whose entries are non-zero integers with absolute value less than 6, or equivalently, **Zero-Sum Conjecture** [1]. If $G$ is a graph with a nowhere-zero unoriented flow, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 6-flow.

Using the graph given in Figure 1, one can see that 6 cannot be replaced with 5. It is known that for $d > 2$ any $d$-regular graph admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 7-flow (see [2]).

A **bidirected graph** (oriented sign graph) is a graph with each edge oriented as one of the four possibilities: $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$, $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$. An edge of the first type is called *extraverted*, an edge of the third type is called *introverted*, and an edge of the second or fourth type is called *directed*. Let $G$ be a bidirected graph. For any $v \in V(G)$, the set of all edges with tails (resp. heads) at $v$ is denoted by $E^+(v)$ (resp. $E^-(v)$).

Function $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bidirected flow of $G$ if for every $v \in V(G)$, we have
\[
\sum_{e \in E^+(v)} f(e) = \sum_{e \in E^-(v)} f(e).
\]

If $f$ takes values from the set $\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm (k-1)\}$, then it is called a bidirected $k$-flow.

Bidirected flows generalize the concepts of oriented and unoriented flows. For if we orient all edges of $G$ to be directed, then a bidirected flow in this setting corresponds to a usual (oriented) flow, and if we orient all edges of

Figure 1: A graph with a nowhere-zero unoriented 6-flow.
Given $G$ to be extraverted, then a bidirected flow corresponds to an unoriented flow.

In 1983, Bouchet proposed the following conjecture.

**Bouchet’s Conjecture** [3, 9]. *Every bidirected graph that has a nowhere-zero bidirected flow admits a nowhere-zero bidirected 6-flow.*

Bouchet proved that his conjecture is true with 6 replaced by 216. Then Zyka in [11] proved that conjecture is true with 6 replaced by 30. In [6] Khelladi showed that if $G$ is a 4-connected graph, then conjecture is true with 6 replaced by 18. Also in [9], it was shown that Bouchet’s Conjecture is true for every 6-edge connected graph.

In this language, the Zero-Sum Conjecture says that if we orient all edges of $G$ to be extraverted, and the obtained bidirected graph has a nowhere-zero bidirected flow, then it also admits a nowhere-zero bidirected 6-flow. Interestingly, this is equivalent to the Bouchet’s Conjecture.

**Theorem** [2]. *Bouchet’s Conjecture and the Zero-Sum Conjecture are equivalent.*

This theorem shows that the Zero-Sum Conjecture is true with 6 replaced by 30. The goal of this paper is to prove that the Zero-Sum Conjecture is true for hamiltonian graphs, with 6 replaced by 12.

Let $G$ be an undirected hamiltonian graph. We obtain a bidirected graph by letting all edges of $G$ to be extraverted. In this paper we will see that if the obtained graph has a nowhere-zero bidirected flow then it admits a nowhere-zero bidirected 12-flow. Equivalently, we show that if $G$ has a nowhere-zero unoriented flow then it admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 12-flow. If $G$ has certain special properties, stronger results are proved. In the next Section some definitions and basic results on unoriented flows are discussed. In Section 3, we prove the claim for graphs with an odd number of vertices, and in Section 4, for graphs with an even number of vertices.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. The number of vertices of $G$ and the number of edges of $G$ are called the *order* and the *size* of $G$, respectively. The graph $G$ is called *even* (resp. *odd*), if its size is even (resp. odd). An *even vertex* (resp. *odd vertex*) of $G$ is a vertex of even (resp. odd) degree. A *circuit* in $G$ is a closed walk with no repeated edge. The graph $G$ is called *Eulerian* if all of its vertices are even, or equivalently, if each of its connected components is a circuit. We write $H \subseteq G$ if $H$ is a subgraph of $G$. Let $G_1, G_2$ be subgraphs of $G$. The subgraph of $G$ with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$ is denoted by $G_1 \cup G_2$.

Let $T \subseteq E(G)$. The subgraph *induced by* $T$, denoted by $G[T]$, is a
subgraph of $G$ whose edge set is $T$ and whose vertex set consists of the endpoints of the edges in $T$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, we denote the number of edges in $T$ incident to $v$ by $\text{deg}_T(v)$. Let $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The support of $f$, is the set of edges on which $f$ is non-zero, and is denoted by supp($f$).

A cycle $C$ of $G$ is called a Hamilton cycle, if it contains all vertices of $G$. The graph $G$ is called hamiltonian if it has a Hamilton cycle.

The following theorem determines all graphs having a nowhere-zero unoriented flow.

**Theorem A [1].** Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then the following hold:

(i) If $G$ is bipartite, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented flow if and only if it is bridgeless.

(ii) If $G$ is not bipartite, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented flow if and only if removing any of its edges does not make any bipartite connected component.

Theorem A together with Seymour’s 6-flow theorem [7] have the following corollary.

**Corollary A [1].** If a bipartite graph has a nowhere-zero unoriented flow, then it admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 6-flow.

The following easy Lemma will be useful.

**Lemma A [2].** Every even circuit admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 2-flow.

## 3 Hamiltonian Graphs of Odd Orders

In this section we prove that if $G$ is a hamiltonian graph of odd order with a nowhere-zero unoriented flow, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 12-flow. The following lemma and its corollary play a key role in our proofs.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $G_1, G_2$ be subgraphs of graph $G$ with $G = G_1 \cup G_2$. If $G_1$ has a nowhere-zero unoriented $k_1$-flow and $G_2$ has a nowhere-zero unoriented $k_2$-flow, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented $k_1k_2$-flow.

**Proof.** Let $g_i : E(G_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nowhere-zero unoriented $k_i$-flow in $G_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Define the functions $f_i : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_i = g_i$ on $E(G_i)$ and $f_i = 0$, elsewhere. Let $f = f_1 + k_1f_2$. We claim that $f$ is a nowhere-zero unoriented $k_1k_2$-flow in $G$. For each $u \in V(G)$, we have:

$$\sum_{uv \in N(u)} f(uv) = \sum_{uv \in N(u)} f_1(uv) + k_1 \sum_{uv \in N(u)} f_2(uv) = 0.$$
Furthermore, for each $e \in E(G)$, if $f_2(e) \neq 0$, then we have

$$|f(e)| = |f_1(e) + k_1 f_2(e)| \geq k_1 |f_2(e)| - |f_1(e)| \geq k_1 - (k_1 - 1) > 0.$$ 

If $f_2(e) = 0$, then $e \in E(G_1)$ and $|f(e)| = |f_1(e)| > 0$. Also we have

$$|f(e)| = |f_1(e) + k_1 f_2(e)| \leq (k_1 - 1) + k_1 (k_2 - 1) = k_1 k_2 - 1 < k_1 k_2.$$ 

Thus $f$ is a nowhere-zero unoriented $k_1 k_2$-flow in $G$ and the proof is complete. \hfill \Box

Using a simple induction we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ be subgraphs of graph $G$ with the property that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^m G_i$. If $G_i$ has nowhere-zero unoriented $k_i$-flow for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented $\prod_{i=1}^m k_i$-flow.

Throughout this section we have the following assumptions: Graph $G$ is a hamiltonian graph of odd order, $C = (v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_1)$ is a Hamilton cycle, and $H$ is the subgraph induced by $E(G) \setminus E(C)$.

**Lemma 3.2.** There exist Eulerian subgraphs $K_1$ and $K_2$ of $G$ containing $H$, such that $K_1$ is even and $K_2$ is odd. Moreover, if $H$ is connected, then $K_1$ and $K_2$ are connected.

**Proof.** If $H$ has no odd vertex, then it is Eulerian and since the parity of degrees of vertices of $H$ and $G$ are the same, $G$ is Eulerian, too. On the other hand, sizes of $G$ and $H$ have different parities. So if $H$ is even, let $K_1 = H$ and $K_2 = G$. Otherwise, $G$ is even and we can put $K_1 = G$ and $K_2 = H$.

So, suppose that $H$ has $2m$ odd vertices. Let $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2m} \leq n$ be their indices. Suppose that $H$ is odd (the proof for the even case is similar). For each $i = 1, \ldots, 2m - 1$, let

$$E_i = \{v_{a_i}, v_{a_i+1}, v_{a_i+1}v_{a_i+2}, \ldots, v_{a_i+1}v_{a_i+1}\},$$

and let

$$E_{2m} = \{v_{a_{2m}}, v_{a_{2m}+1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}v_n, v_nv_1, \ldots, v_{a_1-1}v_{a_1}\}.$$ 

Note that the sets $E_i$ decompose $E(C)$, thus $\sum_{i=1}^{2m} |E_i| = n$.

Now, let $A_1 = E_1 \cup E_3 \cup \cdots \cup E_{2m-1}$ and $A_2 = E_2 \cup E_4 \cup \cdots \cup E_{2m}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{2m} |E_i|$ is odd, either $A_1$ or $A_2$ has odd cardinality. Without loss of generality assume that $|A_1|$ is odd.

Let $K_i = G(E(H) \cup A_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since both $E(H)$ and $A_1$ have odd cardinality and they are disjoint, $K_1$ is even. Moreover, every vertex
of $K_1$ has even degree, because after adding the set of edges $A_1$ to $H$, the degree of every odd vertex increases by 1, and the degree of every even vertex does not change or increases by 2. Hence $K_1$ is an even Eulerian subgraph containing $H$. It can be similarly proved that $K_2$ is an odd Eulerian subgraph containing $H$.

Note that $K_1$ and $K_2$ have been obtained by adding some paths to $H$, such that the endpoints of these paths are in $H$. Thus if $H$ is connected, then so are $K_1$ and $K_2$. The proof is complete. □

**Theorem 3.1.** If $H$ is connected and non-bipartite, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow.

**Proof.** Let $C'$ be an odd cycle of $H$, and $K = C' \cup C$. Since $K$ is the union of two edge-disjoint cycles, every vertex of $K$ is even and since $E(C')$ and $E(C)$ have odd cardinalities, $K$ is even. Also since $C$ is a Hamilton cycle, $K$ is connected. Thus $K$ is an even circuit and it has a nowhere-zero unoriented 2-flow by Lemma A.

By Lemma 3.2, there exists a connected even Eulerian subgraph $K_1$ of $G$ containing $H$. Thus $K_1$ is an even circuit and admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 2-flow. We have $K \cup K_1 = G$, so the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1. □

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose $G$ be has a nowhere-zero unoriented flow. If $H$ is connected, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 8-flow.

**Proof.** If $H$ is not bipartite, then by Theorem 3.1, $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow. Otherwise, let $X$ and $Y$ be the two parts of $H$. Since $G$ is a non-bipartite graph with a nowhere-zero unoriented flow, by Theorem A, removing each edge of $G$ makes no bipartite connected component. Thus there exist at least two edges $uv, u'v' \in E(C)$ such that $u, v$ are in the same part of $H$, and also $u', v'$ are in the same part of $H$.

Since $H$ is connected and bipartite, there exist even paths $P$ and $P'$ in $H$ with endpoints $u, v$ and $u', v'$, respectively. Let $C_1 = P \cup (C \setminus \{uv\})$ and $C_2 = P' \cup (C \setminus \{u'v'\})$. The graphs $C_1$ and $C_2$ are even circuits, and so they have nowhere-zero unoriented 2-flows. Note that $C \subseteq C_1 \cup C_2$.

By Lemma 3.2, there exists a connected even Eulerian subgraph $K_1$ of $G$ containing $H$. Thus $K_1$ is an even circuit and admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 2-flow. This follows that

$$G \subseteq C \cup H \subseteq C_1 \cup C_2 \cup K_1.$$  

Furthermore, each of $C_1, C_2, K_1$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 2-flow. Hence by Corollary 3.1, $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 8-flow. □
Lemma 3.3. There exists a subgraph $K$ of $G$ that admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 3-flow and contains $H$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 there is an odd Eulerian subgraph $K_2$ of $G$ that contains $E(H)$. Let $OC_1, OC_2, \ldots, OC_t$ and $EC_1, EC_2, \ldots, EC_s$ be the odd connected components and even connected components of $K_2$, respectively. The subgraph $K_2$ is Eulerian, so each of these components is a circuit. Since $K_2$ is odd, $t$ is odd. Let $v_{i_j}$ be an arbitrary vertex of $OC_j$, for $j = 1, \ldots, t$. Without loss of generality assume that $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_t$.

Now, we define two functions $f_1$ and $f_2$ on $E(G)$ in the following way: Let $OC_j = (v_{i_j}, u_{j,1}, \ldots, v_{i_{j+1}})$, for $j = 1, \ldots, t$. Let $P_j$ be the path in $C$ from $v_{i_j}$ to $v_{i_{j+1}}$, taking indices module $t$. Define $f_1$ to alternately take values $+1$ and $-1$ within each $P_j$, but not to alternate at each $v_{i_j}$. Define $f_2|_{E(OC_j)}$ to have $f_2(v_{i_j}, u_{j,1}) = -f_1(v_{i_{j-1}}, v_{i_j})$ and to be alternating from there on. See Figure 2, where $\epsilon$ is either $+1$ or $-1$ depending on the parity of $i_2 - i_1$. Define $f_2$ to be alternating on every $EC_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, s$.

Now, define function $f$ on $E(G)$ by $f(e) = f_1(e) + f_2(e)$. It can be seen that $\text{supp}(f_1) = E(C)$, $\text{supp}(f_2) = E(K_2)$ and for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, we have $\sum_{e \in N(v)} f(e) = 0$. Furthermore $|f(e)| = |f_1(e) + f_2(e)| < 3$.

On the other hand, for every $e \in E(H)$, since $e \not\in \text{supp}(f_1)$, we have $f(e) = f_1(e) + f_2(e) = f_2(e)$, which is non-zero because $E(H) \subseteq E(K_2)$. Note that $f$ may be zero on some edges of $C$. Let $K = G[\text{supp}(f)]$. Hence $f$ is a nowhere-zero unoriented 3-flow in $K$, we have $E(H) \subseteq E(K)$, and the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $G$ has a nowhere-zero unoriented flow and that $H$ is non-bipartite. Then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 6-flow.
such that the path from $v_i$ for every $i$.

Suppose that Lemma 3.4. Then the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1. □

Define two subsets of $G$ unoriented flow, by Theorem A, contains two adjacent vertices. Let $v_j$ and $v_k$ be these vertices. Note that $v_i$ and $v_{i+1}$ are not adjacent in $G\{v_i,v_{i+1}\}$, so $v_j v_k \neq v_i v_{i+1}$. We deduce from the definitions of $X$ and $Y$ that the path from $v_j$ to $v_k$ along $C$, not containing $v_i v_{i+1}$, has odd length. Since $n$ is odd, the other path from $v_j$ to $v_k$ along $C$ is odd and we are done.

Let $v_j v_k$ be the edge given in Lemma 3.4, and $P$ be the path from $v_j$ to $v_k$ along $C$ containing $v_i v_{i+1}$. So $P \cup \{v_j v_k\}$ is an even cycle. We call such a cycle a good cycle.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $G$ has a nowhere-zero unoriented flow. If there exist good cycles $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t$ such that each edge of $C_i$ is contained either in exactly one of the given cycles, or in exactly two consecutive cycles (considering $C_{t+1}$ as consecutive cycles), then there exists a subgraph $K'$ with a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow such that $E(C) \subseteq E(K')$.

Proof. Define $K' = \bigcup_{i=1}^t C_i$. Suppose that $C_1 = (v_1, v_1+1, \ldots, v_j, v_i)$. Define function $f_1$ on $E(G)$ as follows: $f_1(v_i, v_{i+1}) = -1, f_1(v_{i+1}, v_i+2) = +1, \ldots, f_1(v_j, v_{j-1}) = -1, f_1(v_j, v_i) = +1$, and let $f_1 = 0$ on $E(G) \setminus E(C_1)$. For each $i = 2, \ldots, t$, similarly define $f_i$ on $E(C_i)$ (alternately $-1$ and $+1$) in such a way that $f_i$ is equal to $f_{i-1}$ on $E(C_{i-1}) \cap E(C_i)$, and is zero on $E(G) \setminus E(C_i)$. So supp($f_i$) = $E(C_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$.

Now, define function $f$ on $E(G)$ as follows:

$$f(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} f_i(e) + 2 f_t(e).$$

For every vertex $v$ and each $i$, $\sum_{u \in N(v)} f_i(uv) = 0$. This implies that $\sum_{u \in N(v)} f(uv) = 0$. For every edge $e$, since $e$ is contained in at most two
good cycles, $|f(e)| \leq 1 + 2 \times 1 < 4$. In addition, if $e \in C_i \cap C_{i+1}$ for some $i$, $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, then the values of $f_i$ and $f_{i+1}$ on $e$ are the same. Thus $f(e) \neq 0$. If $e \in C_i \cap C_1$, then $|f(e)| = |2f_i(e) + f_1(e)| \geq 2|f_i(e)| - |f_1(e)| > 0$. Therefore, $f$ is a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow in $K'$, and we are done. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $G$ be a Hamiltonian graph of odd order with a nowhere-zero unoriented flow. Then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 12-flow.

**Proof.** Let $C = (v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_1)$ be a Hamilton cycle of $G$, and $H$ be the subgraph induced by $E(G) \setminus E(C)$. According to Lemma 3.3, there exists a subgraph $K$ that admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 3-flow and $E(H) \subseteq E(K)$. If we prove the existence of a subgraph $K'$ having a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow, such that $E(C) \subseteq E(K')$, then the theorem is proved by Lemma 3.1.

By Lemma 3.4, every edge $e \in E(C)$ is contained in some good cycle. So there exist coverings of $C$ with good cycles. Let $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a covering of $C$ with good cycles such that $|I|$ is minimum. We claim that any edge $e \in E(C)$ is contained in at most two of the $C_i$. Take any $e = v_jv_{j+1}$. Let $I_e = \{i \in I \mid e \in C_i\}$ and $P_e = \bigcup_{i \in I_e} V(C_i)$. Then $P_e$ is the union of the vertex sets of paths in $C$ such that all of them contain $v_j$ and $v_{j+1}$, hence $P_e$ is a set of consecutive vertices of $C$. By shifting the ordering of vertices of $C$, we may assume that $P_e = \{v_k, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{l}\}$, with $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. Thus there exists $i_1, i_2 \in I_e$ with $v_k \in V(C_{i_1})$ and $v_l \in V(C_{i_2})$. Consequently, $P_e = V(C_{i_1}) \cup V(C_{i_2})$, and by minimality of $I$, $|I_e| \leq 2$, and the claim is proved. Moreover, by minimality of $I$, for any $i, j \in I$, $V(C_i)$ is not a subset of $V(C_j)$. Therefore, one can define a natural ordering $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t$ of $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that every edge of $C$ is contained either in exactly one of the $C_i$, or in exactly two consecutive cycles of $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t$. Thus by Lemma 3.5, there exists a subgraph $K'$ with a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow such that $E(C) \subseteq E(K')$. \hfill \Box

## 4 Hamiltonian Graphs of Even Orders

In this section we assume that $G$ is a Hamiltonian graph of even order. If $G$ is bipartite, then by Corollary A it admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 6-flow. So we may assume that $G$ is not bipartite. Let $C = (v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_1)$ be a Hamilton cycle of $G$. There is an edge $v_iv_j$ such that $i - j$ is even, otherwise $\{v_i \mid i \text{ is even}\}$ and $\{v_i \mid i \text{ is odd}\}$ would be a bipartition of $G$, which leads to contradiction. With no loss of generality, assume that $e_1 = v_1v_s$ is one such edge. Define

$$BE = E(C) \cup \{e_1\}, \ IE = E(G) \setminus BE, \ CE = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{s-1}v_s, v_sv_1\}. $$
These labels stand for “boundary edges”, “inside edges” and “cycle edges”, respectively. Let $H = G[IE]$.

**Lemma 4.1.** There exists an even Eulerian subgraph $K_1$ such that $IE \subseteq E(K_1)$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.2. Let $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{2m} \leq n$ be the indices of odd vertices in $H$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, 2m - 1$, let

$$E_i = \{v_{a_i}, v_{a_i+1}, v_{a_i+1}v_{a_i+2}, \ldots, v_{a_i+2}-1v_{a_i+1}\},$$

and $A = E_1 \cup E_3 \cup \cdots \cup E_{2m-1}$. If $H$ has no odd vertices, then simply define $A = \emptyset$.

If $|A| + |IE|$ is even, then the subgraph $K_1 = G[IE \cup A]$ is the desired subgraph. Otherwise, let $K_1$ be the subgraph induced by $IE \cup (CE \triangle A)$, where $\triangle$ denotes the symmetric difference of the two sets. Note that this union is edge-disjoint. For every vertex $v_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\deg_{K_1}(v_i) \equiv \deg_{CE}(v_i) + (\deg_{IE}(v_i) + \deg_{A}(v_i)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

So $K_1$ is Eulerian. In addition,

$$|IE \cup (CE \triangle A)| \equiv |IE| + |CE| + |A| \equiv |IE| + 1 + |A| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence $K_1$ is even, and the proof is complete. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.2.** There exists a subgraph $K$ of $G$ that admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 3-flow and contains $IE$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3. By Lemma 4.1, there exists an even Eulerian subgraph $K_1$ containing $IE$. Consider odd and even components of $K_1$. Define functions $f_1$ and $f_2$ on $E(G)$ as we did in the proof of Lemma 3.3. Note that the number of odd components of $K_1$ and the order of $G$ are even. Define $f = f_1 + f_2$ and let $K = G[\text{supp}(f)]$. The function $f$ is a nowhere-zero unoriented 3-flow in $K$, and we have $IE \subseteq E(K)$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.3.** There exists a subgraph $K_2$ that admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow and contains $BE$.

**Proof.** Since $G$ is a non-bipartite graph with a nowhere-zero unoriented flow, by Theorem A, $G - \{v_t,v_s\}$ is also non-bipartite. So there is another edge $v_rv_t$ such that $t-r$ is even, and we may assume that $r < t$. We consider three cases, and in each of them we present two even cycles such that the
union of their edges contains $BE$. By Lemma A, each of these even cycles admit a nowhere-zero unoriented 2-flow, thus according to Lemma 3.1, their union admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow. The cases are as follows (see Figure 3):

**Case 1.** $s < r < t$: The two cycles are $(v_1, v_s, v_{s+1}, \ldots, v_r, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_n, v_1)$ and $C$.

**Case 2.** $r < s < t$: The two cycles are $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r, v_t, v_{t-1}, \ldots, v_s, v_1)$ and $C$.

**Case 3.** $r < t < s$: The two cycles are $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r, v_t, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_s, v_1)$ and $C$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $G$ be a hamiltonian graph of even order with a nowhere-zero unoriented flow. Then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 12-flow.

**Proof.** By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, there exist two subgraphs $K$ and $K_2$, with a nowhere-zero unoriented 3-flow and a nowhere-zero unoriented 4-flow, respectively, such that $IE \subseteq E(K)$ and $BE \subseteq E(K_2)$. Thus $E(G) \subseteq E(K) \cup E(K_2)$ and Lemma 3.1 completes the proof.

Now, by Theorems 3.4 and 4.1, we are in a position to state our main result.

**Theorem 4.2.** If $G$ is a hamiltonian graph with a nowhere-zero unoriented flow, then $G$ admits a nowhere-zero unoriented 12-flow.
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