


# Neuron

## Ionotropic Receptors Specify the Morphogenesis of Phasic Sensors Controlling Rapid Thermal Preference in *Drosophila*

### Graphical Abstract



### Authors

Gonzalo Budelli, Lina Ni, Cristina Berciu, ..., Richard Benton, Daniela Nicastro, Paul A. Garrity

### Correspondence

daniela.nicastro@utsouthwestern.edu (D.N.),  
pgarrity@brandeis.edu (P.A.G.)

### In Brief

Budelli et al. find *Drosophila* thermoregulatory behavior is driven by a combination of heating and cooling detectors rather than hot- and cold-labeled lines. They further show Ionotropic Receptors not only confer thermosensitivity but also specify the thermoreceptor's complex dendritic morphology.

### Highlights

- Key *Drosophila* thermosensors detect heating and cooling, rather than hot and cold
- Ionotropic Receptors (IRs) mediate cooling detection
- IRs specify both the morphogenesis and thermosensitivity of sensory endings
- Thermoregulation requires context-dependent interpretation of phasic sensory inputs



# Ionotropic Receptors Specify the Morphogenesis of Phasic Sensors Controlling Rapid Thermal Preference in *Drosophila*

Gonzalo Budelli,<sup>1,8</sup> Lina Ni,<sup>1,2,8</sup> Cristina Berciu,<sup>3,8,9</sup> Lena van Giesen,<sup>1</sup> Zachary A. Knecht,<sup>1</sup> Elaine C. Chang,<sup>1</sup> Benjamin Kaminski,<sup>4</sup> Ana F. Silbering,<sup>5</sup> Aravi Samuel,<sup>6</sup> Mason Klein,<sup>4,6</sup> Richard Benton,<sup>5</sup> Daniela Nicastro,<sup>3,7,\*</sup> and Paul A. Garrity<sup>1,10,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Volen Center for Complex Systems, Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Neuroscience, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

<sup>3</sup>Rosenstiel Basic Medical Sciences Research Center, Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02453, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33146, USA

<sup>5</sup>Center for Integrative Genomics, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland

<sup>6</sup>Department of Physics and Center for Brain Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>7</sup>Departments of Cell Biology and Biophysics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390-9039, USA

<sup>8</sup>These authors contributed equally

<sup>9</sup>Present address: Microscopy Core Facility, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA 02478, USA

<sup>10</sup>Lead Contact

\*Correspondence: [daniela.nicastro@utsouthwestern.edu](mailto:daniela.nicastro@utsouthwestern.edu) (D.N.), [pgarrity@brandeis.edu](mailto:pgarrity@brandeis.edu) (P.A.G.)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.12.022>

## SUMMARY

Thermosensation is critical for avoiding thermal extremes and regulating body temperature. While thermosensors activated by noxious temperatures respond to hot or cold, many innocuous thermosensors exhibit robust baseline activity and lack discrete temperature thresholds, suggesting they are not simply warm and cool detectors. Here, we investigate how the arista Cold Cells encode innocuous temperatures in *Drosophila*. We find they are not cold sensors but cooling-activated and warming-inhibited phasic thermosensors that operate similarly at warm and cool temperatures; we propose renaming them “Cooling Cells.” Unexpectedly, Cooling Cell thermosensing does not require the previously reported Brivido Transient Receptor Potential (TRP) channels. Instead, three Ionotropic Receptors (IRs), IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a, specify both the unique structure of Cooling Cell cilia endings and their thermosensitivity. Behaviorally, Cooling Cells promote both warm and cool avoidance. These findings reveal a morphogenetic role for IRs and demonstrate the central role of phasic thermosensing in innocuous thermosensation.

## INTRODUCTION

Animals rely on thermosensation to maintain appropriate body temperatures; avoid thermal extremes; and, in vipers, bats, and blood-feeding insects, locate warm-blooded prey (Bagriant-

sev and Gracheva, 2015; Vriens et al., 2014). From insects to vertebrates, thermosensing depends on multiple classes of thermosensors with distinct thermal sensitivities and behavioral roles (Barbagallo and Garrity, 2015; Palkar et al., 2015; Vriens et al., 2014). Thermosensors activated by noxious heat or cold commonly exhibit temperature thresholds beyond which they drive aversive responses. On the other hand, thermosensors responsive to innocuous temperatures commonly lack temperature thresholds. They instead exhibit robust baseline spiking and are more responsive to changes in temperature than its absolute value (Hensel, 1976; Palkar et al., 2015; Vriens et al., 2014). For example, in mammalian skin, innocuous cooling sensors primarily exhibit transient increases in firing upon cooling and decreases upon warming, while innocuous warming sensors exhibit the converse behavior (Hensel, 1976). While it is clear that innocuous thermosensors have key roles in thermoregulation, how they encode temperature information and control thermoregulatory responses remains a major area of inquiry (Barbagallo and Garrity, 2015; Haesemeyer et al., 2018; Kamm and Siemens, 2016; Morrison, 2016).

The relative anatomical simplicity of the *Drosophila* thermosensory system has made it a powerful model for studying thermosensation (Barbagallo and Garrity, 2015). At the molecular level, multiple receptors have been implicated in innocuous thermosensing and behavioral thermoregulation in *Drosophila*. The Transient Receptor Potential (TRP) channel TRPA1 and the Gustatory Receptor (GR) GR28b mediate warmth-sensing in distinct sets of thermosensory neurons (Hamada et al., 2008; Ni et al., 2013), while adult cool-sensing has been reported to involve Brivido family TRP channels (Gallio et al., 2011). In addition, a trio of Ionotropic Receptors (IRs), IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a, was recently shown to mediate the detection of cooling in the larva (Knecht et al., 2016; Ni et al., 2016). The IRs are a large family of invertebrate-specific sensory receptors related



to variant ionotropic glutamate receptors (Croset et al., 2010). Many IRs have roles in chemosensing (Rytz et al., 2013), but a subset is involved in hygrosensing (Enjin et al., 2016; Frank et al., 2017; Knecht et al., 2016, 2017) and thermosensing (Knecht et al., 2016; Ni et al., 2016). Among the IRs involved in thermosensing, IR25a and IR93a serve as co-receptors and act in multiple classes of sensory neurons, while IR21a appears specific for cooling detection (Knecht et al., 2016; Ni et al., 2016). How the information provided by these multiple classes of molecular receptors supports thermosensory behavior remains an open question.

At a cellular level, rapid responses to innocuous temperatures in *Drosophila* rely on peripheral thermosensors, including Hot Cells and Cold Cells (Gallio et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2013), named based on their putative hot- and cold-sensing abilities. Hot and Cold Cells are located in the arista, an extension of the antenna, and provide thermosensory input to target neurons in the antennal lobe of the fly brain (Frank et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015). How *Drosophila* Hot and Cold Cells encode thermosensory information, including whether their activities primarily reflect absolute temperature (tonic signaling), temperature change (phasic signaling), or both (phasic-tonic signaling), has not been determined.

At an anatomical level, the sensory endings of Hot Cells and Cold Cells have very different morphologies (Foelix et al., 1989). Hot Cell outer segments are small and finger-like, while Cold Cell outer segments are large and terminate in elaborate lamellae, layers of infolded plasma membrane thought to contain the thermotransduction machinery (Foelix et al., 1989). The extent of lamellation varies among Cold Cells within and between insect species and correlates with the neuron's thermosensitivity (Altner and Loftus, 1985; Ehn and Tichy, 1996). Many vertebrate thermosensory neurons also have elaborate morphologies—from free nerve endings in mammalian skin to mitochondria-packed termini in rattlesnake pit organs (Goris, 2011; Munger and Ide, 1988; Wu et al., 2012). Despite the potential importance of these structures for thermotransduction, the molecules specifying them are unknown (Dong et al., 2015; Jan and Jan, 2010).

Here, we use a combination of electrophysiology, molecular genetics, ultrastructure, and behavior to investigate how the *Drosophila* thermosensory system encodes thermosensory input and to identify key regulators of thermosensor development and function. These studies reveal that the cold cells are phasic detectors of temperature change, not cold sensors. Their steady-state activity does not increase at colder temperatures. Instead, they are transiently activated upon cooling and transiently inhibited upon warming, exhibiting this activity at temperatures both above and below the fly's preferred temperature. Furthermore, although activated by cooling, they mediate both warm and cool avoidance behavior. We suggest that cold cells be renamed "Cooling Cells" to accurately reflect their properties. At the molecular level, we find they rely upon a trio of IR family receptors to detect temperature (rather than the previously reported Brividos; Gallio et al., 2011), and ultrastructural analyses reveal a role for IR family sensory receptors in specifying sensory neuron morphogenesis as well as thermosensitivity.


## RESULTS

### Cold Cells Are Cooling-Activated Phasic Thermosensors

To assess thermosensory encoding in the arista, we established an electrophysiological preparation to monitor arista thermoreceptor spiking in response to discrete temperature steps. Prior studies have relied on calcium imaging, which reports relative rather than absolute levels of activity, limiting the ability to distinguish among tonic, phasic, and phasic-tonic sensory encoding. Extracellular recording was performed through an opening created at the antennal arista tip, distal to thermoreceptor endings. The arista contains two classes of thermosensory neurons, originally called Cold Cells and Hot Cells. In wild-type animals, only the cooling-activated spikes of Cold Cells were readily observed (Figures 1A and S1A). When detected, the warming-activated spikes of Hot Cells were ~4-fold smaller in amplitude; they were detectable above background only in rare preparations with highly favorable signal to noise (Figure S2) or in animals whose Cold Cells were functionally compromised, as detailed below.

When exposed to alternating air streams of different temperatures (20°C versus 25°C or 25°C versus 30°C), Cold Cell activity transiently decreased during warming (often ceasing for a few seconds) and transiently increased during cooling (Figure 1A). To further assess how Cold Cell spiking encodes thermosensory input, samples were held at constant temperature (30°C) for ~120 s to establish a stable baseline firing rate, cooled to 25°C over ~4 s, and subsequently held at 25°C for another ~120 s. Consistent with high thermosensitivity, Cold Cell spiking increased by >50% after an ~0.1° decrease (~0.52 s after cooling onset), reaching a peak spike rate of approximately three times baseline after an ~0.2°C decrease (~0.65 s after cooling onset). Indicative of rapid adaptation, the response declined toward pre-stimulus levels even as the cooling ramp continued toward 25°C (Figures 1B and S1B). Importantly, Cold Cells did not exhibit tonic thermoreceptor activity. Steady-state spike rates of samples held at 30°C and 25°C were not statistically distinct; in fact, spike rates trended lower at 25°C, the opposite of tonic cold receptor activity (102 ± 20 [SEM, n = 7] at 30°C, 92 ± 19 [n = 7] at 25°C). Thus, under the conditions examined, Cold Cells behave as rapidly adapting, phasic thermoreceptors. Their activity is bidirectional in that they are activated by cooling and inhibited by warming.

Cold Cells operated similarly at temperatures above and below the fly's preferred temperature of ~25°C, as they also exhibited robust phasic responses to cooling from 25°C to 20°C (Figure 1C, purple trace). The absence of tonic cold receptor activity was particularly apparent when comparing the spike rates of samples held at constant temperature for ~60 s after a temperature change. For example, at this time point, preparations cooled to ~25°C exhibited higher spike rates than preparations heated to ~25°C (Figure 1C, green and orange traces, respectively), demonstrating the persistent influence of prior exposure to cooling and warming. In addition, samples held at ~20°C for ~60 s after cooling had similar spike rates as samples held at ~30°C for ~60 s after heating, further demonstrating that Cold Cell spiking is not tightly coupled to absolute temperature (Figure 1C, purple and pink traces, respectively). Together, these



**Figure 1. Cold Cells Are Brivido-Independent Phasic Thermoreceptors**

(A) Representative recording from a wild-type arista. Top: instantaneous spike frequency was smoothed using a 1-s triangular window to generate weighted average spike rate. Bottom: data from the top panels are displayed on an expanded timescale, revealing individual spikes (open circles). Spike voltage threshold of 3.5 times the SD of spike-free regions of recording indicated by dotted lines.

(B and C) Peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs) of responses from wild-type aristae ( $n = 7$  animals per condition; one trial per animal). Average  $\pm$  SEM values are shown. Results of  $\sim 30^\circ\text{C}$  to  $\sim 25^\circ\text{C}$  temperature step (B). Results of four different temperature steps are superimposed (C).

(D) Top: representative recordings from wild-type,  $brv1^{L563stop}$ , and  $brv2^{W205stop}$  aristae. Bottom: data from the top panels are displayed on an expanded timescale, as in (A).

(E) PSTHs from  $brv1^{L563stop}$  ( $n = 6$ ) and  $brv2^{W205stop}$  ( $n = 7$ ) recordings.

(F) Cooling response quantification: cooling response = (average spike rate during first 2 s of  $30^\circ\text{C}$  to  $25^\circ\text{C}$  cooling) – (average spike rate, 10 s pre-cooling). Wild-type,  $n = 8$  animals;  $brv1^{L563stop}$ ,  $n = 6$ ;  $brv2^{W205stop}$ ,  $n = 7$ . In violin plots, white circles represent median, black boxes denote 25th to 75th percentiles, and whiskers extend  $1.5\times$  interquartile range.

See also Figures S1 and S2.

data demonstrate that Cold Cells are not receptors for cool temperatures, at least under the conditions examined here. Rather, they serve as phasic sensors whose activity reflects recent temperature change.

### Cold Cell Thermosensing Is Mediated by IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a


Cold Cell thermosensing has been proposed to involve a set of TRP channels, the Brividos (Gallio et al., 2011). To gain further insight into their function, we assessed their impact on Cold Cell responses using electrophysiology. Surprisingly, we observed no alterations in Cold Cell thermosensitivity when testing the *brivido1* and *brivido2* mutants used in that prior study (Figures 1D–1F and S1C–S1F). To exclude assay details or strain contamination as explanations for our failure to observe a defect, calcium imaging was found to yield a consistent result (Figures S1D and S1E), and the presence of the mutations was confirmed by DNA sequencing (Figure S1F). One possible explanation for the discrepancy between our results and the prior report could be that genetic background differences among strains in the prior report altered GCaMP expression levels, yielding apparent reductions in response amplitude even though the neuron's underlying thermosensitivity was unchanged.

As Cold Cells showed no detectable requirement for Brividos to sense temperature, alternative receptors were sought. Cooling detection in *Drosophila* larvae depends on three IRs: IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a (Knecht et al., 2016; Ni et al., 2016). IR21a and IR25a expression has been detected in the arista (Benton et al., 2009), and adults lacking these IRs show altered thermal preferences (Enjin et al., 2016), making them promising candidates. To assess whether IR proteins might participate in Cold Cell thermotransduction, their distribution within the arista was examined. Immunostaining revealed robust concentrations of IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a proteins in Cold Cell sensory endings,

consistent with a role in thermosensing (Figure 2). Expression of IR25a and IR93a, but not IR21a, was also detected in Hot Cells (Figure 2), consistent with the broader expression of the IR25a and IR93a co-receptors compared to cooling-specific IR21a. Immunostaining for each IR was eliminated in the corresponding mutant, confirming antisera specificity (Figure S3).

Arista thermoreceptor responses were examined in *Ir21a*, *Ir25a*, and *Ir93a* mutants. Consistent with an essential role for each of these IRs in Cold Cell thermosensing, the cooling-activated responses characteristic of Cold Cells were abolished in each *Ir* mutant (Figures 3A, 3D, 3F, 3H, 3J, S4A, and S4B). Rather than cooling-activated responses, each *Ir* mutant exhibited warming-activated spiking (Figures 3A, S4A, and S4B); this was subsequently found to come from the Hot Cell, whose activity is more readily detected in the *Ir* mutants. The phenotype of each *Ir* mutant was rescued using the corresponding wild-type transgene, confirming specificity (Figures 3E, 3G, 3I, and 3J). Cold Cell responses were unaffected in *Gr28b* mutant animals, whose Hot Cells are not thermosensitive, indicating that Hot and Cold Cell thermosensitivity are functionally independent (Figures 3A, 3B, and 3J). To confirm that the warmth-activated spiking observed in the *Ir* mutants reflected Hot Cell activity, *Ir21a, Gr28b* double mutants, in which the Hot Cell is not thermosensitive, were examined. Indeed, responses in the *Ir21a, Gr28b* double mutants animals completely lacked thermosensitivity (Figures 3A, 3C, and 3J). The results of these electrophysiological analyses were confirmed using calcium imaging. Thermosensitive Cold Cell responses, monitored using the genetically encoded calcium indicator GCaMP6m, were dramatically reduced in *Ir21a*, *Ir25a*, and *Ir93a* mutants, while Hot Cell responses were unaffected (Figures S4C and S4D). Thus, IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a are specifically required for Cold Cell thermosensing.

As noted above, Hot Cell spikes were rarely detected in wild-type recordings but readily visible in *Ir* mutants. Calcium imaging



**Figure 2. IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a Proteins Localize to Cold Cell Sensory Endings**

IR21a (A), IR25a (B), and IR93a (C) immunostaining. Top: anti-Ionotropic Receptor (IR) immunostaining. Bottom: merge with Hot Cell-specific *HC>mCD8:GFP*. Blue asterisks, Cold Cells. Red asterisks, Hot Cells. Blue arrows, Cold Cell outer segments. See also Figure S3.

revealed no decrement in Hot Cell responses in any of the *Ir* mutants (Figures S4C and S4D), so we analyzed Hot Cell spiking in greater detail in one *Ir* mutant, *Ir93a*<sup>-/-</sup>. Hot Cells exhibited robust phasic thermosensitivity, with warming eliciting transient increases in spiking and cooling transient decreases in spiking (Figures S4B, S5A, and S5B). The response was highly thermosensitive (though less thermosensitive than the Cold Cell response), as warming of  $\sim 2.5$  to  $3^{\circ}\text{C}$  resulted in an  $\sim 3$ -fold increase in Hot Cell spiking (Figure S5C). In contrast to the Cold Cells, which were purely phasic, the Hot Cells also exhibited tonic thermosensory responses (Figure S5B). When held at constant temperature, steady-state Hot Cell spiking was temperature dependent ( $17 \pm 3$  Hz at  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$  [ $n = 7$ , mean  $\pm$  SEM],  $37 \pm 6$  at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  [ $n = 14$ ], and  $74 \pm 17$  at  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  [ $n = 7$ ] [ $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  distinct from  $20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $\alpha = 0.01$ , Tukey HSD]). This corresponds to a Q10 of  $\sim 4.4$ , which is modest but larger than the mean Q10 of  $\sim 2.3$  for biological processes (Dell et al., 2011). Together, these data show that Hot Cell activity reflected a combination of recent temperature change and absolute temperature, at least under the conditions assayed here.

### IRs Are Required for Cold Cell Sensory Ending Organization

As the Cold Cells possess large and morphologically elaborate sensory endings (Altner and Loftus, 1985; Foelix et al., 1989), we examined whether Cold Cell morphology was visibly altered by IR loss. This seemed a possibility, as IR loss both abolished Cold Cell spiking and permitted the observation of Hot Cell spiking (Figure 3), as might be predicted if Cold Cell dendrite morphology were affected. Thermoreceptor sensory endings were initially examined by fluorescence immunostaining for beta-tubulin, as microtubules are both enriched in Cold Cell sensory endings (Foelix et al., 1989) and readily identified in electron micrographs, permitting correlation between light and electron microscopy. In wild-type tissue, three thick regions of tubulin staining were detected, corresponding to three arista sensilla, each containing microtubule-rich thermoreceptor dendrites (Figure 4A). IR21a staining overlapped and extended distal to each tubulin-rich region, consistent with IR21a's enrichment in the outer segment of the Cold Cell sensory ending. In *Ir21a* mutants, not only was IR21a immunostaining absent, but also tubulin staining was greatly reduced (Figure 4A). *Ir25a* and *Ir93a* mutant phenotypes were similar to one another but less severe than the *Ir21a* phenotype; in both *Ir25a* and *Ir93a* mutants, three discrete


regions of tubulin staining were present, but the distal concentration of IR21a protein was absent (Figure 4A).

To examine the morphological impact of IR loss at higher resolution, we used transmission electron microscopy of samples that were structurally well preserved by high-pressure freezing and freeze substitution. In wild-type, each arista sensillum contains one Hot Cell and one Cold Cell, and each thermoreceptor extends a dendrite with a short ciliary region from which protrudes a morphologically distinct outer segment (Figure 4B) (Foelix et al., 1989). The Hot Cell outer segment has a simple finger-like shape, 200–300 nm in diameter, which flattens distally (Figure 4B, red cell). In contrast, the Cold Cell outer segment is  $>500$  nm across and highly convoluted, containing multiple closely packed membrane lamellae (Foelix et al., 1989) (Figure 4B, blue cell), which have previously been correlated with thermoreceptive function (Altner and Loftus, 1985; Ehn and Tichy, 1996). These Cold Cell lamellar membranes are uniformly spaced apart (Figure 4B), and the  $\sim 20$ -nm-wide extracellular gap between lamellar membranes contains regularly spaced structures connecting the two membranes called bossy orthogonal surface substructures (BOSSs) (Steinbrecht, 1989) (Figure S6).

Consistent with the results of light microscopy, ultrastructural analysis revealed that IR loss dramatically altered Cold Cell outer segment morphology. In *Ir25a* mutants, the Cold Cell ciliary region was present, but while the outer segment was large and microtubule filled, it contained no lamellae or associated BOSS structures (Figure 4C). *Ir21a* mutants exhibited even stronger defects; while a ciliary region was detected, only a rudimentary outer segment without microtubules or lamellae was observed (Figure 4D). In contrast, Hot Cell dendrites exhibited no significant alterations in morphology in either mutant (Figures 4C and 4D). These observations are consistent with the tubulin staining patterns observed by light microscopy (Figure 4A) and demonstrate an essential role for IRs in the organization of the Cold Cell sensory ending.

### IRs Specify the Structural Organization of the Cold Cell Sensory Ending

Ectopic IR21a expression in Hot Cells (which endogenously express IR25a and IR93a) is sufficient to render them responsive to cooling in addition to warming (Ni et al., 2016). The requirement for IRs in Cold Cell morphogenesis prompted us to examine whether IR21a misexpression also affected Hot Cell



**Figure 3. *Ir21a*, *Ir25a*, and *Ir93a* Are Required for Cold Cell Thermosensing**

(A) Representative recordings from indicated genotypes, with indicated portions displayed below using an expanded timescale, as in Figure 1.

(B–I) PSTHs of temperature responses *Gr28b*<sup>-/-</sup> (B), *Ir21a*<sup>-/-</sup> *Gr28b*<sup>-/-</sup> (C), *Ir21a*<sup>-/-</sup> (D), *Ir21a*<sup>-/-</sup> rescue (E), *Ir25a*<sup>-/-</sup> (F), *Ir25a*<sup>-/-</sup> rescue (G), *Ir93a*<sup>-/-</sup> (H), and *Ir93a*<sup>-/-</sup> rescue (I) animals.

(J) Cooling response quantification as in Figure 1. Genotypes detailed in methods. \*\* $\alpha = 0.01$ , distinct from wild-type, Tukey HSD ( $n = 6$  or 7 animals per genotype).


See also Figures S4 and S5.

morphology. In wild-type, Hot Cell and Cold Cell outer segments often touch but do not intermingle. Furthermore, at sites of contact, their membranes lie immediately adjacent, with neither the ~20-nm separation nor BOSS structures of Cold Cell lamellae (Figure 4B). Strikingly, when IR21a was expressed not only in the Cold Cell but also in the Hot Cell, the Hot Cell outer segment became enwrapped by the Cold Cell outer segment as it extended distally (Figure 5A). In addition, rather than lying immediately adjacent as in wild-type (Figure 5B, panel 1), sites of Hot and Cold Cell membrane contact exhibited both the uniform ~20-nm separation normally observed only in Cold Cell lamellae and the regular arrays of BOSS-like structures in the extracellular space between them (Figure 5B, panels 2 and 3). The morphological transformation of the sensory ending was highly selective, as IR21a-expressing Hot Cell outer segments remained smaller and finger-like (Figure 5B). IR21a's ability to promote

the enwrapping and structured association of outer segment membranes demonstrates this IR is a critical contributor to thermoreceptor morphogenesis.

### IR-Mediated Cooling Detection Is Required for Warm and Cool Avoidance

*Drosophila* thermal preference has been proposed to rely on a system of hot and cold labeled lines in which Cold Cells specifically mediate cool avoidance and Hot Cells specifically mediate warm avoidance (Gallio et al., 2011). However, the bidirectional thermosensitivity of both Cold Cells and Hot Cells and their operation over wide temperature ranges prompted a reevaluation of their contributions to behavior. Cool avoidance was assessed by allowing flies to choose between zones of ~16°C and ~25°C (Figure 6A). As anticipated, *Ir21a*, *Ir25a*, and *Ir93a* mutants exhibited reduced cool avoidance, indicating that



**Figure 4. IRs Are Required for Thermoreceptor Morphogenesis**

(A) Arista immunostaining. Merge: green, beta-tubulin; magenta, IR21a. Green arrows denote tubulin staining, and magenta arrows denote IR21a staining of thermoreceptor sensory endings.

(B–D) EM sections through arista sensilla. Hot Cell, red shading. Cold Cell, blue shading. Top: sections nearer the sensillum's distal tip. Strains: *Ir21a*<sup>-/-</sup>; *Ir21a*<sup>d1</sup>/*Ir21a*<sup>d1</sup>, *Ir25a*<sup>-/-</sup>; *Ir25a*<sup>2</sup>/*Ir25a*<sup>2</sup>, *Ir93a*<sup>-/-</sup>; *Ir93a*<sup>M10555</sup>/*Ir93a*<sup>M10555</sup>.

(B) Left: transmission electron micrographs. Middle: drawing depicts microtubules (circles) and “BOSS” structures (thin lines) between Cold Cell membranes. Right: drawing depicts arista thermoreceptor pairs and approximate micrograph locations.

(C and D) Representative electron micrographs of similar locations (top panels, more distal) in *Ir25a*<sup>-/-</sup> (C) and *Ir21a*<sup>-/-</sup> (D).

Scale bars represent 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (A) and 200 nm (B–D).


IR-mediated thermosensing is critical for this behavior (Figure 6A). In contrast, Hot Cell thermosensing was not required, as *Gr28b* mutants robustly avoided the cooler region (Figure 6A). Surgical removal of the arista (which contains only six neurons, three Hot and three Cold Cells) also reduced cool avoidance (Figure 6A). These data are consistent with the canonical view that cool avoidance involves Cold Cells, but not Hot Cells.

We next tested the relative contributions of Hot and Cold Cells to warm avoidance using zones of  $\sim 25^\circ\text{C}$  and  $\sim 31^\circ\text{C}$ . Surprisingly, *Gr28b* mutants, whose Hot Cells do not detect warming, only partially reduced warm avoidance in this assay (Figure 6B). In contrast, arista ablation abolished this behavior, suggesting Cold Cells also contribute (Figure 6B). Consistent with this notion, *Ir21a*, *Ir25a*, and *Ir93a* mutants each abolished warm avoidance (Figure 6B). The effects of *Ir* mutants were as strong as arista removal (Figure 6B) and significantly stronger than in *Gr28b* mu-

tants ( $p < 0.05$  versus *Gr28b* mutants, Steel with control), demonstrating a major contribution of these receptors to warm avoidance. These findings are consistent with the contribution of these IR-dependent phasic thermosensors to both warm and cool avoidance and inconsistent with the notion that such thermotactic behavior is driven by hot- and cold-labeled lines.

## DISCUSSION

Animals from flies to humans show preferences for specific temperatures. While thermal preference could result from the combined effects of hot- and cold-sensing pathways, our findings indicate this is not the case in *Drosophila*. Instead, thermal preference depends on a set of phasic thermosensors that respond to temperature change rather than hot or cold and that participate in both warm and cool avoidance. Although



**Figure 5. Ectopic IR21a Expression Alters Thermoreceptor Morphology**

(A) Left: drawing of arista indicating approximate locations of adjacent electron micrographs. Right: representative transmission electron micrograph of animals expressing IR21a in both Hot and Cold Cells (*Ir21a<sup>Δ1</sup>/Ir21a<sup>Δ1</sup>;GMR11F02-Gal4/UAS-Ir21a*). Cold Cell, blue. Hot Cell, red.

(B) EM sections through wild-type and *GMR11F02>Ir21a* animals, near distal tip of sensory endings. Middle panels represent inset region of left panels. Right panels represent the numbered insets from middle panels. Yellow arrowheads denote high-magnification views of BOSS structures between membranes. Scale bars represent 200 nm (right panels in A and left panels in B), 100 nm (middle panels in B), and 20 nm (right panels in B).

See also Figure S6.

originally named “Cold Cells” (a convention followed in the results section), our data show that they are not cold sensors. We therefore propose their name be changed to “Cooling Cells” to more accurately reflect their activity. An advantage of phasic over tonic sensors is that their consistent baseline activity helps ensure sufficient dynamic range is available to respond to small changes in temperature with large changes in firing rate across a range of baseline temperatures. Phasic thermosensors in the ant, for example, respond to milli-degree fluctuations in temperature over a wide range of innocuous temperatures (Ruchty et al., 2010). Such phasic thermosensing enables an animal retain sensitivity to small temperature changes as its overall thermal environment shifts.


A potential limitation of the phasic nature of Cooling Cells is that their responses are similar both above and below the preferred temperature. As the relative desirability of cooling versus warming is different in these two conditions, interpreting Cooling Cell activity as signifying an improving or deteriorating situation likely requires additional thermosensory input. Such context-dependent interpretation of Cooling Cell activity is also suggested by their importance in avoiding both overly cool and warm temperatures, even though their responses to temperature are similar throughout the innocuous range. Consistent with the involvement of multiple thermosensors, the loss of Hot Cell thermosensitivity in *Gr28b* mutants alters warm avoidance, although the defect effect is partial, suggesting additional contributors.

The context-dependent interpretation of phasic thermosensory input is a potentially general challenge. For example, innocuous thermosensors in mammalian skin, particularly cooling-sensitive neurons, are primarily phasic (Hensel, 1976), raising similar concerns about how their activity is interpreted to trigger responses that either raise or lower body temperature. Interestingly, current evidence indicates that such peripheral input is combined with additional thermosensory input in the

hypothalamus to control thermoregulatory responses (Kamm and Siemens, 2016; Morrison, 2016; Vriens et al., 2014). A potentially analogous scenario has also been observed in the nematode *C. elegans*. In this system, the bidirectional AFD thermosensor (Ramot et al., 2008) mediates both warm and cool avoidance (Mori and Ohshima, 1995), acting through circuits that receive input from additional sensory neurons (Biron et al., 2008; Kuhara et al., 2008).

The discovery that Cooling Cell thermosensing depends on IRs rather than Brividos provides a unified view of cooling sensation in *Drosophila* and potentially other insects. Recent work has shown that larval Dorsal Organ Cool Cells (DOCCs) require IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a to detect cooling (Knecht et al., 2016; Ni et al., 2016) and that IR21a (along with its co-receptors) is not only necessary but also sufficient to confer cooling sensitivity when ectopically expressed in Hot Cells (Ni et al., 2016). Taken together, these data indicate that the combination of IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a constitutes a major pathway for cooling detection. In addition, like Cooling Cells, DOCCs show no requirement for Brivido TRP channels to sense temperature (Ni et al., 2016). The cellular origin of the behavioral defects reported in *brivido* mutants (Gallio et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2016) remains unclear.

Our electrophysiological analysis of arista thermoreceptors also demonstrates that “Hot Cells” are not simple hot sensors. Instead, they are active at cool as well as warm temperatures, transiently responding to temperature changes in a robust and bidirectional manner (warming activated and cooling inhibited). To more accurately reflect their properties, we propose their name be changed to “Heating Cells.” In addition, their steady-state firing rates rise with temperature (Q10 ~4.4). Warming accelerates most processes, but such thermosensitivity lies near the 90th percentile of biological processes (Dell et al., 2011). Thus, while their tonic responses are less thermosensitive



**Figure 6. IRs Mediate Both Cool and Warm Avoidance**

(A) Top: cool avoidance assay schematic. Bottom: cool avoidance of indicated genotypes.

(B) Top: warm avoidance assay schematic. Bottom: warm avoidance of indicated genotypes. \*\**p* < 0.01 distinct from wild-type, Steel with control. *n* = 24 individuals assayed for each genotype and condition, except *n* = 48 for wild-type, arista ablation cool avoidance, and *Gr28b*<sup>MB03888</sup> warm avoidance.

than their phasic responses, both could contribute to Heating Cell function.

The overlapping contributions of Heating and Cooling Cells to thermosensory behavior is consistent with recent physiological analyses that demonstrate interactions between cooling- and warming-responsive peripheral inputs in the fly brain (Liu et al., 2015). The involvement of both Heating and Cooling cells in warm avoidance is in agreement with the regulation of warming-activated projection neurons by both peripheral warming and cooling inputs, the latter mediated via inhibitory interneurons (Liu et al., 2015). Furthermore, warm avoidance's greater reliance on *Ir21a*-dependent cooling detection than *Gr28b*-dependent warming detection is also consistent with the larger observed contribution of cooling-responsive inhibition to the control of warming-activated projection neurons (Liu et al., 2015). For cool avoidance, the dependence on *Ir21a*-mediated cooling detection, but not *Gr28b*-mediated warming detection, mirrors the control of cooling-activated projection neurons by cooling-activated, but not warming-activated, inputs (Liu et al., 2015). Together, these findings provide a consistent picture in which cooling- and warming-activated peripheral inputs are not equal but opposite influences. Instead, the two inputs potentially communicate distinct information to the thermosensory system, with phasic Cooling Cells playing an essential role in both warm and cool avoidance and Heating Cells showing a narrower domain of influence on thermotactic behavior.

At the molecular level, the contributions of IRs to sensory detection have been viewed previously solely through their po-

tential to act as stimulus-responsive ion channels (Benton et al., 2009; van Giesen and Garrity, 2017). Here, we show that IRs are also key regulators of neuronal morphogenesis, demonstrating that IR expression is necessary and can suffice to drive the formation of the specialized membrane-membrane contacts characteristic of Cooling Cell sensory endings. As IR family members also mediate olfaction, gustation, and hygrosensation, it will be of interest to determine whether IR-dependent morphogenesis supports these other sensory modalities as well.

From an evolutionary perspective, thermosensory neurons with similar morphologies are found throughout arthropods (Altner and Loftus, 1985). This morphological conservation parallels the widespread conservation of IR21a, IR25a, and IR93a sequences (Croset et al., 2010), raising the possibility that their role in morphogenesis could be an ancient and conserved feature of the IR family. How the IRs contribute to the formation of lamellae and BOSS elements is not yet known, nor is the mechanistic contribution of these structures to thermotransduction. As IR proteins concentrate in the lamellar region of Cooling Cells, they potentially reside in or near these structures. However, additional Cooling Cell-specific factors likely contribute to lamellae formation, as the structural transformation of the Heating Cell dendrite upon IR misexpression is only partial. Specifically, while IR21a misexpression converts Heating Cells into cooling-activated thermoreceptors (without affecting their warmth sensitivity; Ni et al., 2016) and promotes membrane contacts with Cooling Cells, the Heating Cell dendrite remains finger-like and does not form internal lamellae. In addition, initial

attempts to drive cell-cell association and dendritic remodeling by IR misexpression in other contexts have so far been unsuccessful (L.N., L.v.G., and P.A.G., unpublished data).

Establishing the appropriate morphology to support sensory receptor function is a general challenge for sensory cells. While the function of sensory receptors like rhodopsin are known to be necessary for sensory neuron morphogenesis (Kumar and Ready, 1995; Xiong and Bellen, 2013; Zanini et al., 2018), the current analysis demonstrates that sensory receptors can play an instructive role in establishing the structural characteristics of a sensory ending. The use of a sensory receptor to specify morphology provides a simple mechanism by which the structure and function of a sensory neuron can be coordinated.

## STAR★METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING**
- **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**
  - *Drosophila* strains
- **METHOD DETAILS**
  - Electrophysiology
  - Specimen Preparation for Electron Microscopy
  - Serial Section Transmission Electron Microscopy
  - Immunohistochemistry
  - DNA Sequencing
  - Calcium Imaging
- **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
- **DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes six figures and can be found with this article online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.12.022>.

A video abstract is available at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.12.022#mmc3>.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (NIH grant P40OD018537) and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (NICHD of the NIH, University of Iowa) for reagents. We thank Willem Laursen, Amy Lee, and Michael Rosbash for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Institute of General Medicine (grant F32 GM113318 to G.B.), the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Strokes (grant T32-NS007292 to G.B.), the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (grant F31 DC015155 to Z.A.K.), the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant P2FRP3\_168480 to L.v.G.), the European Research Council (Starting Independent Researcher and Consolidator Grants 205202 and 615094 to R.B.), the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (grant R01 GM083122 to D.N.), the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (grant P01 GM103770 to A.S. and P.A.G.), and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (grant R01 AI122802 to P.A.G.).

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G.B., L.N., C.B., L.v.G., Z.A.K., A.S., M.K., D.N., and P.A.G. designed experiments. G.B. performed genetics, electrophysiology, and data analysis. L.N. performed genetics, immunohistochemistry, calcium imaging, and data anal-

ysis. C.B. performed electron microscopy. L.v.G. and E.C.C. performed immunohistochemistry. A.F.S. and R.B. developed antisera. Z.A.K. performed calcium imaging and data analysis. B.K. performed data analysis. M.K. performed calcium imaging and data analysis. D.N. performed electron microscopy data analysis. P.A.G. performed data analysis. G.B., L.N., R.B., D.N., and P.A.G. wrote the paper, with input from all authors.

## DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: June 25, 2018

Revised: November 7, 2018

Accepted: December 17, 2018

Published: January 14, 2019

## REFERENCES

- Altner, H., and Loftus, R. (1985). Ultrastructure and function of insect thermo- and hygroreceptors. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 30, 273–295.
- Bagriantsev, S.N., and Gracheva, E.O. (2015). Molecular mechanisms of temperature adaptation. *J. Physiol.* 593, 3483–3491.
- Barbagallo, B., and Garrity, P.A. (2015). Temperature sensation in *Drosophila*. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 34, 8–13.
- Benton, R., Vannice, K.S., Gomez-Diaz, C., and Vosshall, L.B. (2009). Variant ionotropic glutamate receptors as chemosensory receptors in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 136, 149–162.
- Biron, D., Wasserman, S., Thomas, J.H., Samuel, A.D., and Sengupta, P. (2008). An olfactory neuron responds stochastically to temperature and modulates *Caenorhabditis elegans* thermotactic behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 11002–11007.
- Chen, T.W., Wardill, T.J., Sun, Y., Pulver, S.R., Renninger, S.L., Baohan, A., Schreiter, E.R., Kerr, R.A., Orger, M.B., Jayaraman, V., et al. (2013). Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature* 499, 295–300.
- Chen, C., Buhl, E., Xu, M., Croset, V., Rees, J.S., Lilley, K.S., Benton, R., Hodge, J.J., and Stanewsky, R. (2015). *Drosophila* Ionotropic Receptor 25a mediates circadian clock resetting by temperature. *Nature* 527, 516–520.
- Croset, V., Rytz, R., Cummins, S.F., Budd, A., Brawand, D., Kaessmann, H., Gibson, T.J., and Benton, R. (2010). Ancient protostome origin of chemosensory ionotropic glutamate receptors and the evolution of insect taste and olfaction. *PLoS Genet.* 6, e1001064.
- Dell, A.I., Pawar, S., and Savage, V.M. (2011). Systematic variation in the temperature dependence of physiological and ecological traits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 10591–10596.
- Dong, X., Shen, K., and Bülow, H.E. (2015). Intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms of dendritic morphogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 77, 271–300.
- Ehn, R., and Tichy, H. (1996). Response characteristics of a spider warm cell: temperature sensitivities and structural properties. *J. Comp. Physiol. A Neuroethol. Sens. Neural Behav. Physiol.* 178, 537–542.
- Enjin, A., Zaharieva, E.E., Frank, D.D., Mansourian, S., Suh, G.S., Gallio, M., and Stensmyr, M.C. (2016). Humidity sensing in *Drosophila*. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 1352–1358.
- Foelix, R.F., Stocker, R.F., and Steinbrecht, R.A. (1989). Fine structure of a sensory organ in the arista of *Drosophila melanogaster* and some other dipterans. *Cell Tissue Res.* 258, 277–287.
- Frank, D.D., Jouandet, G.C., Kearney, P.J., Macpherson, L.J., and Gallio, M. (2015). Temperature representation in the *Drosophila* brain. *Nature* 519, 358–361.
- Frank, D.D., Enjin, A., Jouandet, G.C., Zaharieva, E.E., Para, A., Stensmyr, M.C., and Gallio, M. (2017). Early integration of temperature and humidity stimuli in the *Drosophila* brain. *Curr. Biol.* 27, 2381–2388.e4.
- Gallio, M., Ofstad, T.A., Macpherson, L.J., Wang, J.W., and Zuker, C.S. (2011). The coding of temperature in the *Drosophila* brain. *Cell* 144, 614–624.

- Goris, R.C. (2011). Infrared organs of snakes: an integral part of vision. *J. Herpetol.* **45**, 2–14.
- Haesemeyer, M., Robson, D.N., Li, J.M., Schier, A.F., and Engert, F. (2018). A brain-wide circuit model of heat-evoked swimming behavior in larval zebrafish. *Neuron* **98**, 817–831.e6.
- Hamada, F.N., Rosenzweig, M., Kang, K., Pulver, S.R., Ghezzi, A., Jegla, T.J., and Garrity, P.A. (2008). An internal thermal sensor controlling temperature preference in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **454**, 217–220.
- Hensel, H. (1976). Functional and structural basis of thermoreception. *Prog. Brain Res.* **43**, 105–118.
- Jan, Y.N., and Jan, L.Y. (2010). Branching out: mechanisms of dendritic arborization. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **11**, 316–328.
- Kamm, G.B., and Siemens, J. (2016). The TRPM2 channel in temperature detection and thermoregulation. *Temperature (Austin)* **4**, 21–23.
- Klein, M., Afonso, B., Vonner, A.J., Hernandez-Nunez, L., Berck, M., Tabone, C.J., Kane, E.A., Pieribone, V.A., Nitabach, M.N., Cardona, A., et al. (2015). Sensory determinants of behavioral dynamics in *Drosophila* thermotaxis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E220–E229.
- Knecht, Z.A., Silbering, A.F., Ni, L., Klein, M., Budelli, G., Bell, R., Abuin, L., Ferrer, A.J., Samuel, A.D., Benton, R., and Garrity, P.A. (2016). Distinct combinations of variant ionotropic glutamate receptors mediate thermosensation and hygrosensation in *Drosophila*. *eLife* **5**, e17879.
- Knecht, Z.A., Silbering, A.F., Cruz, J., Yang, L., Croset, V., Benton, R., and Garrity, P.A. (2017). Ionotropic Receptor-dependent moist and dry cells control hygrosensation in *Drosophila*. *eLife* **6**, e26654.
- Kuhara, A., Okumura, M., Kimata, T., Tanizawa, Y., Takano, R., Kimura, K.D., Inada, H., Matsumoto, K., and Mori, I. (2008). Temperature sensing by an olfactory neuron in a circuit controlling behavior of *C. elegans*. *Science* **320**, 803–807.
- Kumar, J.P., and Ready, D.F. (1995). Rhodopsin plays an essential structural role in *Drosophila* photoreceptor development. *Development* **121**, 4359–4370.
- Liu, W.W., Mazor, O., and Wilson, R.I. (2015). Thermosensory processing in the *Drosophila* brain. *Nature* **519**, 353–357.
- Mori, I., and Ohshima, Y. (1995). Neural regulation of thermotaxis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **376**, 344–348.
- Morrison, S.F. (2016). Central control of body temperature. *F1000Res.* **5**, F1000 Faculty Rev-880.
- Munger, B.L., and Ide, C. (1988). The structure and function of cutaneous sensory receptors. *Arch. Histol. Cytol.* **51**, 1–34.
- Ni, L., Bronk, P., Chang, E.C., Lowell, A.M., Flam, J.O., Panzano, V.C., Theobald, D.L., Griffith, L.C., and Garrity, P.A. (2013). A gustatory receptor paralogue controls rapid warmth avoidance in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **500**, 580–584.
- Ni, L., Klein, M., Svec, K.V., Budelli, G., Chang, E.C., Ferrer, A.J., Benton, R., Samuel, A.D., and Garrity, P.A. (2016). The ionotropic receptors IR21a and IR25a mediate cool sensing in *Drosophila*. *eLife* **5**, e13254.
- Palkar, R., Lippoldt, E.K., and McKemy, D.D. (2015). The molecular and cellular basis of thermosensation in mammals. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **34**, 14–19.
- Ramot, D., MacInnis, B.L., and Goodman, M.B. (2008). Bidirectional temperature-sensing by a single thermosensory neuron in *C. elegans*. *Nat. Neurosci.* **11**, 908–915.
- Ruchty, M., Roces, F., and Kleinedam, C.J. (2010). Detection of minute temperature transients by thermosensitive neurons in ants. *J. Neurophysiol.* **104**, 1249–1256.
- Rytz, R., Croset, V., and Benton, R. (2013). Ionotropic receptors (IRs): chemosensory ionotropic glutamate receptors in *Drosophila* and beyond. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **43**, 888–897.
- Silbering, A.F., Rytz, R., Grosjean, Y., Abuin, L., Ramdya, P., Jefferis, G.S., and Benton, R. (2011). Complementary function and integrated wiring of the evolutionarily distinct *Drosophila* olfactory subsystems. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 13357–13375.
- Steinbrecht, R.A. (1989). The fine structure of thermo-/hygrosensitive sensilla in the silkworm *Bombyx mori*: Receptor membrane substructure and sensory cell contacts. *Cell Tissue Res.* **255**, 49–57.
- van Giesen, L., and Garrity, P.A. (2017). More than meets the IR: the expanding roles of variant Ionotropic Glutamate Receptors in sensing odor, taste, temperature and moisture. *F1000Res.* **6**, 1753.
- Vriens, J., Nilius, B., and Voets, T. (2014). Peripheral thermosensation in mammals. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **15**, 573–589.
- Wu, H., Williams, J., and Nathans, J. (2012). Morphologic diversity of cutaneous sensory afferents revealed by genetically directed sparse labeling. *eLife* **1**, e00181.
- Xiong, B., and Bellen, H.J. (2013). Rhodopsin homeostasis and retinal degeneration: lessons from the fly. *Trends Neurosci.* **36**, 652–660.
- Zanini, D., Giraldo, D., Warren, B., Katana, R., Andrés, M., Reddy, S., Pauls, S., Schwedhelm-Domeyer, N., Geurten, B.R.H., and Göpfert, M.C. (2018). Proprioceptive opsin functions in *Drosophila* larval locomotion. *Neuron* **98**, 67–74.e4.

## STAR★METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
<b>Antibodies</b>		
anti-Ir21a (guinea pig)	Proteintech, Chicago	N/A
anti-Ir25a (rabbit)	<a href="#">Benton et al., 2009</a>	RRID: AB_2567027
anti-Ir93a (rabbit)	<a href="#">Knecht et al., 2016</a>	N/A
anti-GFP (chicken)	Abcam	13970
anti-tubulin beta E7 (mouse)	DSHB	RRID: AB_2315513
anti-chicken Alexa 488 (goat)	Life Technologies	A-11039
anti-mouse Alexa 488 (goat)	Invitrogen	A-11029
anti-guinea pig Cy3 (goat)	Jackson ImmunoResearch	1067165003
Anti-rabbit Cy3 (goat)	Jackson ImmunoResearch	111165003
<b>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</b>		
brv1 <sup>L563stop</sup>	<a href="#">Gallio et al. (2011)</a>	N/A
brv2 <sup>W205stop</sup>	<a href="#">Gallio et al. (2011)</a>	N/A
Ir25a-Gal4	<a href="#">Benton et al., 2009</a>	BDSC_41728
UAS-GCaMP6m (P[20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6m]attp2)	<a href="#">Chen et al., 2013</a>	N/A
UAS-GCaMP6m (P[20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6m]attp40)	<a href="#">Chen et al., 2013</a>	BDSC_42748
Ir21a <sup>Δ1</sup>	<a href="#">Ni et al., 2016</a>	N/A
Ir21a <sup>123</sup>	<a href="#">Ni et al., 2016</a>	N/A
Ir25a <sup>2</sup>	<a href="#">Benton et al., 2009</a>	BDSC_41737
Gr28b <sup>MB03888</sup>	<a href="#">Ni et al., 2013</a>	BDSC_24190
Ir93a <sup>MI05555</sup>	<a href="#">Knecht et al., 2016</a>	BDSC_42090
Ir21a genomic rescue [Ir21a <sup>+</sup> ]	<a href="#">Ni et al., 2016</a>	N/A
Ir25a BAC rescue [Ir25a <sup>+</sup> ]	<a href="#">Chen et al., 2015</a>	N/A
UAS-Ir93a	<a href="#">Knecht et al., 2016</a>	N/A
Ir68a-Gal4	<a href="#">Knecht et al., 2017</a>	N/A
UAS-Ir21a	<a href="#">Ni et al., 2016</a>	N/A
Ir40a-Gal4	<a href="#">Silbering et al., 2011</a>	BDSC_41727
GMR11F02-Gal4	<a href="#">Klein et al., 2015</a>	BDSC_49828
<b>Software and Algorithms</b>		
LabChart	ADInstruments	Pro V7
FIJI	ImageJ	Version 2.0.0
Illustrator	Adobe	N/A
Photoshop	Adobe	N/A
Excel	Microsoft	N/A
JMP	SAS	N/A
Arista imaging code	<a href="https://github.com/masonklein/neurophys/">https://github.com/masonklein/neurophys/</a>	N/A

## CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Paul Garrity ([pgarrity@brandeis.edu](mailto:pgarrity@brandeis.edu)).

## EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

*Drosophila melanogaster* flies were raised on standard cornmeal food supplemented with yeast in a temperature, humidity and light controlled incubator (25°C degrees, 60% relative humidity, 12hs light/12hs dark). For behavior and physiology, young (2-10 days old) female flies were used 24hs after selection using CO<sub>2</sub>.

### Drosophila strains

*brv1*<sup>L563stop</sup> (Gallio et al., 2011), *brv2*<sup>W205stop</sup> (Gallio et al., 2011), *Ir25a-Gal4* (Benton et al., 2009) (BDSC\_41728), *UAS-GCaMP6m* (P[20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6m]attP2 and P[20XUAS-IVS-GCaMP6m]attP40 (Chen et al., 2013) (BDSC\_42748), *Ir21a*<sup>Δ1</sup> (Ni et al., 2016), *Ir21a*<sup>123</sup> (Ni et al., 2016), *Ir25a*<sup>2</sup> (Benton et al., 2009) (BDSC\_41737), *Gr28b*<sup>MB03888</sup> (BDSC\_24190) (Ni et al., 2013), *Ir93a*<sup>MI05555</sup> (Knecht et al., 2016) (BDSC\_42090), *Ir21a* genomic rescue [*Ir21a*<sup>+</sup>] (Ni et al., 2016), *Ir25a* BAC rescue [*Ir25a*<sup>+</sup>] (Chen et al., 2015), *UAS-Ir93a* (Knecht et al., 2016), *Ir68a-Gal4* (Knecht et al., 2017), *UAS-Ir21a* (Ni et al., 2016), *Ir40a-Gal4* (Silbering et al., 2011) (BDSC\_41727), and *GMR11F02-Gal4* (Klein et al., 2015) (BDSC\_49828) were previously described. Genotypes in Figure 3: *Ir21a*<sup>-/-</sup> : *Ir21a*<sup>123</sup>/*Ir21a*<sup>123</sup>. *Ir21a* rescue: *Ir21a*<sup>123</sup>/*Ir21a*<sup>123</sup>, [*Ir21a*<sup>+</sup>]. *Ir25a*<sup>-/-</sup> : *Ir25a*<sup>2</sup>/*Ir25a*<sup>2</sup>. *Ir25a* rescue: *Ir25a*<sup>2</sup>/*Ir25a*<sup>2</sup>; [*Ir25a*<sup>+</sup>]. *Ir93a*<sup>-/-</sup> : *Ir93a*<sup>MI05555</sup>/*Ir93a*<sup>MI05555</sup>. *Ir93a*<sup>-/-</sup> rescue: *UAS-Ir93a*;*Ir93a*<sup>MI05555</sup>/*Ir93a*<sup>MI05555</sup> (*UAS-Ir93a* drives *Gal4*-independent *Ir93a* expression in the antenna; Knecht et al., 2016). *Gr28b*<sup>-/-</sup>: *Gr28b*<sup>MB03888</sup> /*Gr28b*<sup>MB03888</sup>. *Ir21a*<sup>-/-</sup>*Gr28b*<sup>-/-</sup>: *Ir21a*<sup>123</sup>,*Gr28b*<sup>MB03888</sup> / *Ir21a*<sup>123</sup>,*Gr28b*<sup>MB03888</sup>.

## METHOD DETAILS

### Electrophysiology

2-10 day old females, immobilized in a truncated pipette tip with head partially protruding, had arista cut with scissors (FST 15000-03) at third branch from the base. A reference tungsten electrode was inserted into the eye, and a glass recording electrode (tip larger than arista's diameter, containing 130mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 2mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 36mM D-sucrose, 5mM HEPES, pH 7.3) was placed onto cut arista tip. Signals were amplified by TasteProbe DTP-02 (Syntech) and digitized using PowerLab 8/30 (ADInstruments). Temperatures were measured by using an IT-23 thermocouple (time constant 5 msec, ADInstruments) attached to a Fluke 80TK thermocouple module. The module was mounted immediately adjacent to the animal, within the same air stream as the arista. Temperature and electrophysiological data were acquired in parallel through separate channels of the PowerLab DAQ. Data were acquired with LabChart (ADInstruments) at 20k/s and band-pass filtered (100Hz-3000Hz). Two dry airstreams of differing temperature were alternated using a micromanipulator. Recordings lasted 180 s: for long-pulse protocol temperature was switched at 20 s and 100 s, for short-pulse protocol switched at 30 s, 65 s, 100 s and 135 s. Airstream was conditioned to 20°C or 25°C by passing the tubing through a water bath, and then divided into two branches, one warmed by a resistor (Emron E5CSV). Spikes were distinguished from background using the Peak after threshold function in Lab Chart 7, setting a voltage threshold of 3.5 times the standard deviation of voltage signals determined in spike-free regions of the recording. Spike rates were calculated as the weighted average of Instantaneous Spike Frequencies across a Triangular Bartlett window centered at the time indicated; a 1 s window was used in all analyses, except in Figure S1B, where a 100 msec window was used for enhanced temporal resolution. Cooling response was calculated as the difference between the average spike frequency of the first 2 s of cooling and the average spike frequency over the 10 s prior to cooling.

### Specimen Preparation for Electron Microscopy

The third antennal segment (funiculus), arista attached, was removed from CO<sub>2</sub>-anesthetized flies using fine tweezers. The arista tip was cut off (distal to thermoreceptor endings) with iridectomy scissors to enhance fixative penetration. Immediately after dissection, 2-4 funiculi were embedded in a pad of 2.4% low-melting point agarose (at RT) inside the cavity of a type 'A' aluminum high-pressure freezer planchette with 100 μm depth (Wohlwend, Switzerland). The flat surface of a type 'B' planchette was placed on top to enclose the samples. The planchette sandwich was rapidly frozen using a Leica EM HPM100 high-pressure freezing system (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria). Freeze-substitution was performed at low temperature (-90°C) over 3-4 days in 1% osmium tetroxide (19,100 from EMS), 0.5% glutaraldehyde (16,530 from EMS) and 2% water in anhydrous acetone (AC32680-1000, Fisher) using a Leica EM AFS2 freeze-substitution system. The temperature was increased to 0°C (5°C/hr), and finally brought to 4°C and maintained at this temperature for 1 hr. Samples were washed four times with anhydrous acetone (30 min each), then infiltrated and flat embedded in Araldite 502/Embed-812 resin (Araldite: 10,900; Embed-812: 14,900; DDSA: 13,710; all from EMS) at room temperature and polymerized in an oven at 60°C for 3-4 days. After initial flat embedding, the arista samples were later re-embedded in an orientation optimized for cross-sectioning the arista.

### Serial Section Transmission Electron Microscopy

For each arista, several hundred serial ultrathin sections (70 nm thickness) were collected on Formvar-coated slot grids, post-stained with a saturated solution of uranyl acetate (0379, Polysciences, Warrington, PA) for 15 min and Reynold's lead citrate (Lead nitrate: 17,900 from EMS; Sodium citrate: S-279 from Fisher) for 7 min. Serial sections were studied using a Tecnai F20 (200 keV) transmission electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR) and images were recorded using a 2K × 2K charged-coupled device camera (Gatan) at

19,000x magnification (1.12 nm pixel size). Each arista was imaged at multiple levels to obtain a full picture of the changes that occur along the length of the sensilla. In each experiment, one arista was reconstructed per animal. For wild-type: one animal was serial sectioned and imaged to confirm that thermoreceptor morphology was as previously reported (Foelix et al., 1989). As there are three sensilla per arista, 3 Cooling Cells and 3 hot cells were examined. Their morphology was as previously reported (Foelix et al., 1989), so additional wild-type were not reconstructed. For *Ir21a* and *Ir25a* mutants, 3 animals of each genotype were serial sectioned and imaged, so that a total of 9 Cooling Cells and 9 hot cells were examined per genotype. The reported phenotypes were fully penetrant. For ectopic IR21a expression, 3 animals (totaling 9 hot cells and 9 Cooling Cells) were serial sectioned and imaged. In each animal, IR21a was expressed in both hot cells and Cooling Cells under GMR11F02-Gal4 control. In two animals, this expression was performed in an *Ir21a* null mutant background (providing both rescue and ectopic expression), while in the third animal IR21a was expressed in an otherwise *wild-type* background. The reported phenotypes were fully penetrant and similar across the animals examined.

### Immunohistochemistry

Aristae, distal portions removed prior to fixation, were stained as described (Knecht et al., 2017). Primary antibodies were: guinea pig anti-IR21a, peptide epitope: EDEESREALSLQRLDEFMD (1:100, Proteintech, Chicago), rabbit anti-IR25a (1:100) (Benton et al., 2009), rabbit anti-IR93a (1:100) (Knecht et al., 2016), chicken anti-GFP (1:1000, Abcam 13970), and mouse anti-E7 tubulin beta (1:100, DSHB). Secondary antibodies (used at 1:200) were: goat anti-chicken Alexa 488 (A-11039, Life Technologies), goat anti-mouse Alexa 488 (A11029, Invitrogen), goat anti-guinea pig Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch), and goat anti-rabbit Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Images were obtained on Leica Sp5 confocal or Nikon A1 confocal and processed using FIJI and Adobe Photoshop. For the fluorescence immunostaining in Figure 4A: n = 8 samples for *wild-type*; n = 10 for *Ir21a* mutants, n = 9 for *Ir25a* mutants and n = 4 for *Ir93a* mutants.

### DNA Sequencing

For DNA sequencing: *brv1* primers were 5'-GCGTTTGTGGAAAGTGTTCAG and 5'GTGGGCATATTCAACGCGCAG, and *brv2* primers were 5'-CACAGCTATATGAAGATCACC and 5'-GGTATTCGATCTGCTTCAAGC.

### Calcium Imaging

Calcium imaging was performed as described (Klein et al., 2015). Data was collected at 4 frames per second.  $F_0$  was the initial data point. Cooling responses in Figure S4D were calculated as  $[F/F_0 \text{ at } 21^\circ\text{C} (\text{average } F/F_0 \text{ from } 2.5 \text{ to } 7.5 \text{ s after shift to } 21^\circ\text{C})] - [F/F_0 \text{ at } 25^\circ\text{C} (\text{average } F/F_0 \text{ from } 5.25 \text{ to } 3 \text{ s prior to shift to } 21^\circ\text{C})]$ . Warming responses in Figure S4D were calculated using the converse calculation.

### QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Normality of distributions was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk W test ( $p \leq 0.05$  rejected normal distribution). Statistical comparisons of normally distributed data were performed by unpaired t test or, for multiple comparisons, Tukey HSD. For data that did not conform to a normal distribution, statistical comparisons were performed by Wilcoxon or, for multiple comparisons, Steel with control and Steel-Dwass tests. All tests performed using JMP11 (SAS).

### DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Custom codes used in calcium imaging analysis are available for download: arista imaging code is available at <https://github.com/masonklein/neurophys/>