
Hilbert Schemes, Donaldson-Thomas Theory, Vafa-Witten and Seiberg Witten Theories

by Artan Sheshmani*

Abstract. This article provides the summary of [GSY17a] and [GSY17b] where the authors studied the enumerative geometry of “*nested Hilbert schemes*” of points and curves on algebraic surfaces and their connections to threefold theories, and in particular relevant Donaldson-Thomas, Vafa-Witten and Seiberg-Witten theories.

Contents

1 Introduction	25
Acknowledgment	26
2 Nested Hilbert Schemes on Surfaces	26
2.1 Special Cases	27
2.2 Nested Hilbert Scheme of Points	27
3 Nested Hilbert Schemes and DT Theory of Local Surfaces	28
References	30

1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been extensive mathematical progress in enumerative geometry of surfaces deeply related to physical structures, e.g. around Gopakumar-Vafa invariants (GV); Gromov-Witten (GW), Donaldson-Thomas (DT), as well as Pandharipande-Thomas (PT) invariants of surfaces; and their “motivic lifts”. There is also a tremendous energy in the study of mirror symmetry of surfaces from the mathematics side, especially Homological Mirror Symmetry. On the other hand, physical dualities in Gauge and String theory, such as Montonen-Olive duality and heterotic/Type II duality have also been a rich source of spectacular predictions about enumerative geometry of moduli spaces on surfaces. For instance an extensive research activity carried out during the past years was to prove the modularity properties of GW or DT invariants as suggested by the heterotic/Type II duality. The first prediction of this type, the Yau-Zaslow conjecture [YZ96], was proven by Klemm-Maulik-Pandharipande-Scheidegger [KMPS10]. Further recent developments in this particularly fruitful direction include: Pandharipande-Thomas proof [PT16] of the Katz-Klemm-Vafa conjecture [KKV99] for K3 surfaces, Maulik-Pandharipande proof of modularity of GW invariants for K3 fibered threefolds [MP13], Gholampour-Sheshmani-Toda proof of modularity of PT and Gholampour-Sheshmani proof of modularity of DT invariants of stable sheaves on K3 fibrations [GST17, GS18] (moreover, the generalizations

* Center for Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Harvard University, Department of Mathematics, 20 Garden Street, Room 207, Cambridge, MA, 02139
Centre for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces, Aarhus University, Department of Mathematics, Ny Munkegade 118, building 1530, 319, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark
National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation, Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry, NRU HSE, 6 Usacheva str., Moscow, Russia, 119048
E-mail: artan@cmsa.fas.harvard.edu

of the latter in [BCDDQS16]), and finally Gholampour-Sheshmani-Thomas [GS14] proof of modular property of the counting of curves on surfaces deforming freely (in a fixed linear system) in ambient CY threefolds.

SU(2)-Seiberg-Witten (SW) / DT correspondence. The introduction of other versions of gauge theories in dimensions 6 started numerous exciting developments in physical mathematics and mathematical physics involving enumerative geometry, mirror symmetry, and related physics of 4 dimensional manifolds, realized as a complex two dimensional subvariety which could exhibit deformations inside ambient higher dimensional target varieties, such as CY threefolds. The SW/DT correspondence is one of such platforms to find interesting structural symmetries between theory of surfaces and theory of threefolds: having fixed a spin structure on a complex surface S the SW invariant of S roughly counts with sign the number of points in the parametrizing space (the moduli space) of solutions to SW equations defined on S [D96, Section 1]. The focus of SW theory in physics is the study of moduli space of vacua in $N = 2, D = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, and in particular certain dualities of the theory such as electric-magnetic duality (Montonen-Olive duality). In [GLSY17] Gukov-Liu-Sheshmani-Yau conjectured a relation between SW invariants (associate to $SU(2)$ gauge invariant theory) of a projective surface S and DT invariants of a noncompact threefold X obtained by total space of a line bundle L on S . In a later work [GSY17a, GSY17b], which will be elaborated below, Gholampour-Sheshmani-Yau proved the conjecture in [GLSY17, Equation 56].

The key object which bridges the geometry of complex surface to the ambient noncompact complex threefold is the “*nested Hilbert scheme of surface*”. This space parametrizes a nested chain of configurations of curves and points in the surface. Let us assume that S is a projective simply connected complex surface and let L be a line bundle on S . In [GSY17a], Gholampour-Sheshmani-Yau analyzed the moduli space of stable compactly supported sheaves of modules on the noncompact threefold X . In physics terms these are D4-D2-D0 branes wrapping the zero section of X (i.e. sheaves are supported on S or a fat neighborhood of S in X). The authors showed in [GSY17a] that the DT invariants of X satisfy an equation in terms of SW invariants of S and certain correction terms governed by invariants of nested Hilbert schemes:

$$\text{DT invariants of } X = (\text{SW invariants of } S) \cdot (\text{Combinatorial coefficients}) + \text{Invariants of nested Hilbert scheme of } S$$

Their main exciting realization was when $L = K_S$, the canonical bundle of S . In this case the above DT invariants of X recover some well known invariants in physics, the Vafa-Witten (VW) invariants [VW94], which are known to have modularity property, following the work of Vafa-Witten [VW94] (and verified by Tanaka-Thomas [TT17, TT18(2)]). On the other hand the authors also showed that in some cases the nested Hilbert scheme invariants also have modular property [GSY17a, Theorem 7]. Therefore, we were able to show that in some cases, the SW invariants can be described in terms of modular forms.

Acknowledgment

The author was partially supported by NSF DMS-1607871, NSF DMS-1306313 and Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry NRU HSE, RF Government grant, ag. No 14.641.31.0001. The author would like to further sincerely thank the Center for Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University, the center for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces at Aarhus University, and the Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry in Higher School of Economics, Russian federation, for the great help and support.

2. Nested Hilbert Schemes on Surfaces

Hilbert schemes of points and curves on a non-singular surface S have been vastly studied. Their rich geometric structures have proved to have many applications in mathematics and physics (see [N99] for a survey). The current article is a survey of the articles [GSY17a] and [GSY17b], where the authors studied the enumerative geometry of “*nested Hilbert schemes*” of points and curves on algebraic surfaces.

Given the sequence

$$\mathbf{n} := n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r, r \geq 1$$

of nonnegative integers, and $\beta := \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r-1}$, a sequence of classes in $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\beta_i \geq 0$, we denote the corresponding “*nested*” Hilbert scheme by $S_{\beta}^{[\mathbf{n}]}$. A closed point of $S_{\beta}^{[\mathbf{n}]}$ corresponds to

$$(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_r), \quad (C_1, \dots, C_{r-1})$$

where $Z_i \subset S$ is a 0-dimensional subscheme of length n_i , and $C_i \subset S$ is a divisor with $[C_i] = \beta_i$, and Z_{i+1} is a subscheme of $Z_i \cup C_i$ for any $i < r$, or equivalently

$$(1) \quad I_{Z_i}(-C_i) \subset I_{Z_{i+1}}.$$

In [GSY17a] and [GSY17b], in order to define invariants for the nested Hilbert schemes (see [GSY17a,

Definitions 2.13, 2.14)), the authors constructed a virtual fundamental class $[S_\beta^{[n]}]^{vir}$ and then integrate appropriate cohomology classes against it. More precisely, they constructed a natural perfect obstruction theory over $S_\beta^{[n]}$. This is done by studying the deformation/obstruction theory of the maps of coherent sheaves given by the natural inclusions (1) following Illusie. It turned out that this construction in particular provides a uniform way of studying all known obstruction theories of the Hilbert schemes of points and curves, as well as the stable pair moduli spaces on S . The first main result of [GSY17a] is as follows (see also [GSY17a, Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6]):

Theorem 1 ([GSY17a, Theorem 1]). *Let S be a nonsingular projective surface over \mathbb{C} and ω_S be its canonical bundle. The nested Hilbert scheme $S_\beta^{[n]}$ with $r \geq 2$ carries a natural virtual fundamental class*

$$[S_\beta^{[n]}]^{vir} \in A_d(S_\beta^{[n]}), \quad d = n_1 + n_r + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \beta_i \cdot (\beta_i - c_1(\omega_S)).$$

2.1 Special Cases

In the simplest special case, i.e. when $r = 1$, we have $S_\beta^{[n]} = S^{[n]}$ is the Hilbert scheme of n_1 points on S which is nonsingular of dimension $2n_1$, and hence it has a well-defined fundamental class $[S^{[n]}] \in A_{2n_1}(S^{[n]})$. For $r > 1$ and $\beta_i = 0$, $S^{[n]} := S_{(0, \dots, 0)}^{[n]}$ is the nested Hilbert scheme of points on S parameterizing the flag of 0-dimensional subschemes

$$Z_r \subset \dots \subset Z_2 \subset Z_1 \subset S,$$

which is in general singular of actual dimension $2n_1$.

The authors were specifically interested in the case $r = 2$, that is: $S_\beta^{[n]} = S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}$ for some $\beta \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Interestingly, in the following cases the invariants of nested Hilbert schemes coincide with the Poincaré and the stable pair invariants of S that were previously studied in the context of algebraic Seiberg-Witten invariants and curve counting problems. The following theorem is proven in [GSY17a, Section 3].

Theorem 2 ([GSY17a, Proposition 3.1]). *The virtual fundamental class of Theorem 1 recovers the following known cases:*

1. If $\beta = 0$ and $n_1 = n_2 = n$ then $S_{\beta=0}^{[n, n]} \cong S^{[n]}$ and $[S_{\beta=0}^{[n, n]}]^{vir} = [S^{[n]}]$ is the fundamental class of the Hilbert scheme of n points.
2. If $\beta = 0$ and $n_2 = 0$, then $S_{\beta=0}^{[n, 0]} \cong S^{[n]}$ and

$$[S_{\beta=0}^{[n, 0]}]^{vir} = (-1)^n [S^{[n]}] \cap c_n(\omega_S^{[n]}),$$

where $\omega_S^{[n]}$ is the rank n tautological vector bundle over $S^{[n]}$ associated to the canonical bundle ω_S of S .¹

3. If $\beta = 0$ and $n = n_2 = n_1 - 1$, then it is known that $S_{\beta=0}^{[n+1, n]} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{I}^{[n]})$ is nonsingular, where $\mathcal{I}^{[n]}$ is the universal ideal sheaf over $S^{[n]} \times S$ [L99, Section 1.2]. Then,

$$[S_{\beta=0}^{[n+1, n]}]^{vir} = -[S_{\beta=0}^{[n+1, n]}] \cap c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1)} \boxtimes \omega_S).$$

4. If $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then $S_\beta^{[0, 0]}$ is the Hilbert scheme of divisors in class β , and $[S_\beta^{[0, 0]}]^{vir}$ coincides with virtual cycle used to define Poincaré invariants in [DKO07].
5. If $n_1 = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, then $S_\beta^{[0, n_2]}$ is the relative Hilbert scheme of points on the universal divisor over $S_\beta^{[0, 0]}$, which as shown in [PT10], is a moduli space of stable pairs and $[S_\beta^{[0, n_2]}]^{vir}$ is the same as the virtual fundamental class constructed in [KT14] in the context of stable pair theory. If $P_g(S) = 0$ this class was used in [KT14] to define stable pair invariants.

In certain cases, the authors constructed a reduced virtual fundamental class for $S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}$ by reducing the perfect obstruction theory leading to Theorem 1 ([GSY17a, Propositions 2.10, 2.12]):

Theorem 3 ([GSY17a, Theorem 3]). *Let S be a nonsingular projective surface with $p_g(S) > 0$, and the class β be such that the natural map*

$$H^1(T_S) \xrightarrow{* \cup \beta} H^2(\mathcal{O}_S) \quad \text{is surjective,}$$

then, $[S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}]^{vir} = 0$. In this case the nested Hilbert scheme $S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}$ carries a reduced virtual fundamental class

$$[S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}]_{red}^{vir} \in A_d(S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}), \quad d = n_1 + n_2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta \cdot (\beta - K_S) + p_g.$$

The reduced virtual fundamental classes $[S_\beta^{[0, 0]}]_{red}^{vir}$ and $[S_\beta^{[0, n_2]}]_{red}^{vir}$ match with the reduced virtual cycles constructed in [DKO07, KT14] in cases 3 and 4 of Theorem 2. $[S_\beta^{[0, n_2]}]_{red}^{vir}$ was used in [KT14] to define the stable pair invariants of S in this case.

2.2 Nested Hilbert Scheme of Points

One interesting specialization of the nested Hilbert schemes is the case where $\beta = 0$ and $n = n_1 \geq n_2$. In [GSY17a] the authors studied the nested Hilbert schemes of points

$$S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]} := S_{\beta=0}^{[n_1, n_2]}$$

in much more details. Let $\iota : S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]} \hookrightarrow S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ be the natural inclusion. For the case where S is toric

¹ We were notified about this identity by Richard Thomas.

with the torus \mathbf{T} and the fixed set $S^{\mathbf{T}}$, the authors provided a purely combinatorial formula for computing $[S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]}]^{\text{vir}}$ by torus localization along the lines of [MNOP06]:

Theorem 4. *For a toric nonsingular surface S the \mathbf{T} -fixed set of $S^{[n_1, n_2]}$ is isolated and given by tuple of nested partitions of n_2, n_1 :*

$$\{(\mu_{2,P} \subseteq \mu_{1,P})_P \mid P \in S^{\mathbf{T}}, \mu_{i,P} \vdash n_i\}.$$

Moreover, the \mathbf{T} -character of the virtual tangent bundle \mathcal{T} of $S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]}$ at the fixed point $Q = (\mu_{2,P} \subseteq \mu_{1,P})_P$ is given by

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{T}_Q^{\text{vir}}}(t_1, t_2) = \sum_{P \in S^{\mathbf{T}}} \mathbb{V}_P,$$

where t_1, t_2 are the torus characters and \mathbb{V}_P is a Laurent polynomial in t_1, t_2 that is completely determined by the $\mu_{2,P}, \mu_{1,P}$ and is given by the right hand side of the following formula

$$(2) \quad \text{tr}_{\mathcal{T}_{I_1 \subseteq I_2}^{\text{vir}}} = Z_1 + \frac{\bar{Z}_2}{t_1 t_2} + (\bar{Z}_1 \cdot Z_2 - \bar{Z}_1 \cdot Z_1 - \bar{Z}_2 \cdot Z_2) \frac{(1-t_1)(1-t_2)}{t_1 t_2}.$$

It turns out that when S is toric and Fano, by torus localization, one can express $[S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]}]^{\text{vir}}$ in terms of the fundamental class of the product of Hilbert schemes $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$:

Theorem 5. *If S is a nonsingular projective toric Fano surface, then,*

$$\iota_* [S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]}]^{\text{vir}} = [S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}] \cap c_{n_1+n_2}(E^{n_1, n_2}),$$

where E^{n_1, n_2} is the rank $n_1 + n_2$ vector bundle on $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ obtained by the first relative extension sheaf of the universal ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}^{[n_1]}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{[n_2]}$.

Theorem 5 holds in particular for $S = \mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which are the generators of the cobordism ring of nonsingular projective surfaces. The authors used this fact together with a degeneration formula developed for $[S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]}]^{\text{vir}}$ to prove:

Theorem 6. *If S is a nonsingular projective surface, and α is a cohomology class in $H^{n_1+n_2}(S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]})$ with the following properties:*

- α is universally defined for any pair of a nonsingular projective surface and a line bundle on it,
- α is well-behaved under good degenerations of S ,

then

$$\int_{[S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]}]^{\text{vir}}} \iota^* \alpha = \int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} \alpha \cup c_{n_1+n_2}(E^{n_1, n_2}),$$

and E^{n_1, n_2} is the rank $n_1 + n_2$ virtual vector bundle on $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ obtained by taking the alternating sum (in the K -group) of all the relative extension sheaves of $\mathcal{I}^{[n_1]}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{[n_2]}$.

The operators

$$\int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} - \cup c_{n_1+n_2}(E_M^{n_1, n_2})$$

were studied by Carlsson-Okounkov in [CO12]. Here $M \in \text{Pic}(S)$, and $E_M^{n_1, n_2}$ is the rank $n_1 + n_2$ virtual vector bundle over $S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ obtained by taking the alternating sum of all the relative extensions of $\mathcal{I}^{[n_1]}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{[n_2]} \boxtimes M$ defined as follows:

Definition 2.1. *For any line bundles M on S , let $E_M^{n_1, n_2} \in K(S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]})$ be the element of virtual rank $n_1 + n_2$ defined by*

$$E_M^{n_1, n_2} := [\mathbf{R}\pi'_* p^* M] - [\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\pi'}(\mathcal{I}^{[n_1]}, \mathcal{I}^{[n_2]} \otimes p^* M)],$$

where p and π' are respectively the projections from $S \times S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$ to the first and the product of last two factors. Let i be the inclusion of the closed point $(I_1, I_2) \in S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}$, then, we define

$$E_M^{n_1, n_2}|_{(I_1, I_2)} := [\mathbf{L}i^* \mathbf{R}\pi'_* p^* M] - [\mathbf{L}i^* \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\pi'}(\mathcal{I}^{[n_1]}, \mathcal{I}^{[n_2]} \otimes p^* M)] \in K(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})).$$

If $M = \mathcal{O}$, we sometimes drop it from the notation. We also define the following generating series

$$Z_{\text{prod}}(S, M) := \sum_{n_1 \geq n_2 \geq 0} q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \int_{S^{[n_1]} \times S^{[n_2]}} c(E_M^{n_1, n_2}) \cup c(E_M^{n_1, n_2}).$$

Note that by equation (5) in [CO12], we know $c_i(E_M^{n_1, n_2}) = 0$ for $i > n_1 + n_2$, and so the integrand in the definition of $Z_{\text{prod}}(S, M)$ can be replaced by

$$c_{n_1+n_2}(E_M^{n_1, n_2}) \cup c_{n_1+n_2}(E_M^{n_1, n_2}).$$

Carlsson and Okounkov were able to express these operators in terms of explicit vertex operators. As an application of Theorem 6 and the result of [CO12], the following explicit formula was proven:

Theorem 7. *Let S be a nonsingular projective surface, ω_S be its canonical bundle, and $K_S = c_1(\omega_S)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1 \geq n_2 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1+n_2} \int_{[S^{[n_1 \geq n_2]}]^{\text{vir}}} \iota^* c(E_M^{n_1, n_2}) q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \\ &= \prod_{n>0} (1 - q_2^{n-1} q_1^n)^{\langle K_S, K_S - M \rangle} (1 - q_1^n q_2^n)^{\langle K_S - M, M \rangle - e(S)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle$ is the Poincaré pairing on S and $E_M^{n_1, n_2}$ is as in Theorem 5.

3. Nested Hilbert Schemes and DT Theory of Local Surfaces

In this section we give an overview of the results of [GSY17b]. Let (S, h) be a nonsingular simply connected projective surface with $h = c_1(\mathcal{O}_S(1))$. Let ω_S be

the canonical bundle of S with the projection map q to S , and X be the total space of ω_S^2 . X is a noncompact Calabi-Yau threefold and one can define the DT invariants of X by using \mathbb{C}^* -localization, where \mathbb{C}^* -acts on X by scaling the fibers of ω_S . More precisely, let

$$v = (r, \gamma, m) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 H^{2i}(S, \mathbb{Q})$$

be a Chern character vector, and $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)$ be the moduli space of compactly supported 2-dimensional stable sheaves \mathcal{E} on X such that $\text{ch}(q_* \mathcal{E}) = v$. Here stability is defined by means of the slope of $q_* \mathcal{E}$ with respect to the polarization h . In [GSY17b] the authors provided $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)$ with a perfect obstruction theory by reducing the natural perfect obstruction theory given by [T98]. The fixed locus $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ of the moduli space is compact and the reduced obstruction theory gives a virtual fundamental class over it, denoted by $[\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}]_{\text{red}}^{\text{vir}}$. They defined two types of DT invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; \alpha) &= \int_{[\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}]_{\text{red}}^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(\text{Nor}^{\text{vir}})} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}^{-1}], \\ &\quad \alpha \in H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*})_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v) &= \chi^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}) \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

where Nor^{vir} is the virtual normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*} \subset \mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)$, $\chi^{\text{vir}}(-)$ is the virtual Euler characteristic [FG10], and \mathfrak{s} is the equivariant parameter.

If $\alpha = 1$ then the authors were able to show that

$$\text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; 1) = \mathfrak{s}^{-p_S} \text{VW}_h(v),$$

where $\text{VW}_h(-)$ is the Vafa-Witten invariant (which were also mathematically studied in detail by Tanaka and Thomas in [TT17, TT18(2)]) and is expected to have modular properties based on S-duality conjecture (see [VW94]).

The \mathbb{C}^* -fixed locus $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ consists of sheaves supported on S (the zero section of ω_S) and its thickenings. One can write $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ as a disjoint union of several types of components, where each type is indexed by a partition of r . Out of these component types, there are two types of particular importance; One of them (we call it type I) is identified with $\mathcal{M}_h(v)$, the moduli space of rank r torsion free stable sheaves on S . The other type (we call it type II) can be identified with the nested Hilbert scheme $S_{\beta}^{[n]}$ for a suitable choice of \mathbf{n}, β depending on v . The reason that types I and II are more interesting, is the following result proven in [GSY17b]:

Theorem 8 ([GSY17b, Theorem 2]). *The restriction of $[\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}]_{\text{red}}^{\text{vir}}$ to the type I component $\mathcal{M}_h(v)$ is identified with $[\mathcal{M}_h(v)]_0^{\text{vir}}$ induced by the natural trace free*

² In [GSY17b], we consider a more general case in which X is the total space of an arbitrary line bundle \mathcal{L} with $H^0(\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_S^{-1}) \neq 0$.

perfect obstruction theory over $\mathcal{M}_h(v)$. The restriction of $[\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}]_{\text{red}}^{\text{vir}}$ to a type II component $S_{\beta}^{[n]}$ is identified with $[S_{\beta}^{[n]}]_{\text{vir}}$.

When $r = 2$, then types I and II are the only component types of $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$. This leads us to the following result:

Theorem 9 ([GSY17b, Theorem 3]). *Suppose that $v = (2, \gamma, m)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; \alpha) &= \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; \alpha)_I + \sum_{n_1, n_2, \beta} \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; \alpha)_{\text{II}, S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]}}, \\ \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v) &= \chi^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{M}_h(v)) + \sum_{n_1, n_2, \beta} \chi^{\text{vir}}(S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]}), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all n_1, n_2, β (depending on v) for which $S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]}$ is a type II component of $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$, and the indices I and II indicate the contributions of type I and II components to the invariant $\text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; \alpha)$.

The stability of sheaves imposes a strong condition on n_1, n_2, β appearing in the summation in the theorem above. For example, if S is a generic complete intersection in a projective space, then for any n_1, n_2, β for which $S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]}$ is a type II component of $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$, the condition in Theorem 3 (leading to the vanishing $[S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]}]_{\text{vir}} = 0$) is not satisfied.

The invariants $\chi^{\text{vir}}(S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]})$ and $\text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; \alpha)_{\text{II}, S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]}}$ (for a suitable choice of class α e.g. $\alpha = 1$) appearing in the theorem above are special types of the invariants

$$N_S(n_1, n_2, \beta; -)$$

which are defined as follows:

Definition 3.1 ([GSY17a, Definition 2.13]). *Let $M \in \text{Pic}(S)$. Define the following elements in $K(S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]})$ of virtual ranks respectively $n_1 + n_2$ and $-\beta \cdot \beta^D / 2 + \beta \cdot c_1(M)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{K}_{\beta; M}^{n_1, n_2} &:= [\mathbf{R}\pi_* M(\mathcal{Z}_{\beta})] - [\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\pi}(\mathcal{I}^{[n_1]}, \mathcal{I}^{[n_2]} \otimes M)], \\ \mathbb{G}_{\beta; M} &:= [\mathbf{R}\pi_* M(\mathcal{Z}_{\beta})|_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}]. \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta = 0$ we will instead use the notation $\mathbb{K}_M^{[n_1 \geq n_2]} := \mathbb{K}_{0; M}^{n_1, n_2}$ (see [GSY17a, Definition 5.4]). We also define the rank $2n_i$ twisted tangent bundles

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{S^{[n_i]}}^M &:= [\mathbf{R}\pi_* M] - [\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\pi}(\mathcal{I}^{[n_i]}, \mathcal{I}^{[n_i]} \otimes M)] \\ &= [\mathcal{E}xt_{\pi}^1(\mathcal{I}^{[n_i]}, \mathcal{I}^{[n_i]} \otimes M)_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $M = \mathcal{O}$ then $\mathbb{T}_{S^{[n_i]}}^M = \mathbb{T}_{S^{[n_i]}}$.

Let $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(M, \beta, n_1, n_2)$ be a polynomial in the Chern classes of $\mathbb{K}_{\beta; M}^{n_1, n_2}$, $\mathbb{G}_{\beta; M}$, $\mathbb{T}_{S^{[n_1]}}$, and $\mathbb{T}_{S^{[n_2]}}$, then, we can define the invariant

$$N_S(n_1, n_2, \beta; \mathcal{P}) := \int_{[S_{\beta}^{[n_1, n_2]}]_{\text{vir}}} \mathcal{P}.$$

Moreover under the condition in Theorem 3, the authors defined the reduced invariants

$$N_S^{\text{red}}(n_1, n_2, \beta; \mathcal{P}) := \int_{[S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}]_{\text{vir}}^{\text{red}}} \mathcal{P}.$$

Mochizuki in [M02] expresses certain integrals against the virtual cycle of $\mathcal{M}_h(v)$ in terms of Seiberg-Witten invariants and integrals $A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, v; -)$ over the product of Hilbert scheme of points on S . Using this result the authors were able to prove the following:

Theorem 10 ([GSY17b, Theorem 4]). *Suppose that $p_g(S) > 0$, and $v = (2, \gamma, m)$ is such that $\gamma \cdot h > 2K_S \cdot h$ and $\chi(v) := \int_S v \cdot td_S \geq 1$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; 1) &= - \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \gamma \\ \gamma_1 \cdot h < \gamma_2 \cdot h}} \text{SW}(\gamma_1) \cdot 2^{2-\chi(v)} \cdot A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, v; \mathcal{P}_1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n_1, n_2, \beta} N_S(n_1, n_2, \beta; \mathcal{P}_1). \\ \text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v) &= - \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \gamma \\ \gamma_1 \cdot h < \gamma_2 \cdot h}} \text{SW}(\gamma_1) \cdot 2^{2-\chi(v)} \cdot A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, v; \mathcal{P}_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n_1, n_2, \beta} N_S(n_1, n_2, \beta; \mathcal{P}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{SW}(-)$ is the Seiberg-Witten invariant of S , \mathcal{P}_i and \mathcal{P}_i are certain universally defined explicit integrands, and the second sum in the formulas is over all n_1, n_2, β (depending on v) for which $S_\beta^{[n_1, n_2]}$ is a type II component of $\mathcal{M}_h^{\text{os}}(v)^{\mathbb{C}^*}$.

If S is a K3 surface or S is isomorphic to one of the five types of generic complete intersections

$$(5) \subset \mathbb{P}^3, (3, 3) \subset \mathbb{P}^4, (4, 2) \subset \mathbb{P}^4, (3, 2, 2) \subset \mathbb{P}^5, (2, 2, 2, 2) \subset \mathbb{P}^6,$$

the DT invariants $\text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v; 1)$ and $\text{DT}_h^{\text{os}}(v)$ can be completely expressed as the sum of integrals over the product of the Hilbert schemes of points on S .

References

- [BF97] Kai Behrend and Barbara Fantechi. “The intrinsic normal cone.” *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 128 (1997): 45–88.
- [BCDDQS16] Vincent Bouchard, Thomas Creutzig, Duiliu-Emanuel Diaconescu, Charles Doran, Calum Quigley, and Artan Sheshmani. “Vertical d4-d2-d0 bound states on k3 fibrations and modularity.” *Communication in Mathematical Physics*, 350(3) (2016): 1069–1121.
- [BF103] Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz and Hubert Flenner. “A semiregularity map for modules and applications to deformations.” *Compositio Mathematica*, 137 (2003): 135–210.
- [CO12] Erik Carlsson and Andrei Okounkov. “Exts and vertex operators.” *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 161 (2012): 1797–1815.
- [C98] Jan Cheah. “Cellular decompositions for nested Hilbert schemes of points.” *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 183 (1998): 39–90.
- [D96] Simon Donaldson. “The Seiberg-Witten equations and 4-manifold topology.” *Bulletin of American Mathematical Society (N.S.)*, 33(1) (1996): 45–70.
- [DKO07] Markus Dürr, Alexandre Kabanov, and Christian Okonek. “Poincaré invariants.” *Topology*, 46 (2007): 225–294.
- [ES87] Geir Ellingsrud and Stein Arild Strømme. “On the homology of the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane.” *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 87 (1987): 343–352.
- [EGL99] Geir Ellingsrud, Lothar Göttsche, and Manfred Lehn. “On the cobordism class of the Hilbert scheme of a surface.” *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 10 (2001): 81–100.
- [FG10] Barbara Fantechi and Lothar Göttsche. “Riemann-Roch theorems and elliptic genus for virtually smooth schemes.” *Geometry & Topology*, 14 (2010): 83–115.
- [F13] William Fulton. “Intersection theory.” Vol. 2. *Springer Science & Business Media* (2013).
- [G90] Lothar Göttsche. “The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface.” *Mathematische Annalen*, 286 (1990): 193–207.
- [GP99] Tom Graber and Rahul Pandharipande. “Localization of virtual classes.” *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 135 (1999): 487–518.
- [GS16] Amin Gholampour and Artan Sheshmani. “Intersection numbers on the relative Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.” *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 21(3) (2016): 531–542.
- [GS18] Amin Gholampour and Artan Sheshmani. “Donaldson-Thomas Invariants of 2-Dimensional sheaves inside threefolds and modular forms.” *Advances in Mathematics*, 326(21) (2018): 79–107.
- [GS14] Amin Gholampour, Artan Sheshmani, and Richard P. Thomas. “Counting curves on surfaces in calabi-yau 3-folds.” *Mathematische Annalen*, 360 (2014): 67–78.
- [GST17] Amin Gholampour, Artan Sheshmani, and Yukinobu Toda. “Stable pairs on nodal k3 fibrations.” *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2017(00) (2017): 1–50.
- [GSY17a] Amin Gholampour, Artan Sheshmani, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Nested Hilbert schemes on surfaces: virtual fundamental class.” arXiv:1701.08899.
- [GSY17b] Amin Gholampour, Artan Sheshmani and Shing-Tung Yau. “Localized Donaldson-Thomas theory of surfaces.” *American Journal of Mathematics* (To Appear), arXiv:1701.08902 (Preprint).
- [GLSY17] Sergei Gukov, Artan Sheshmani, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Topological approach to local theory of surfaces in Calabi-Yau threefolds.” *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 21(7) (2017): 1679–1728.
- [HL10] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. “The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves.” *Cambridge University Press* (2010).
- [HT10] Daniel Huybrechts and Richard P. Thomas. “Deformation-obstruction theory for complexes via Atiyah and Kodaira-Spencer classes.” *Mathematische Annalen*, 346 (2010): 545–569.

- [Ill] L. Illusie. “Complex Cotangent et Deformations I.” *Lecture Notes in Math* 239.
- [JS12] Dominic Joyce and Yinan Song. “A theory of generalized Donaldson-Thomas invariants.” *Memoirs of American Mathematical Society*, 217 (1020).
- [KKV99] Sheldon Katz, Albrecht Klemm, and Cumrun Vafa. “M-theory, topological strings and spinning black holes.” *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 3 (1999): 1445–1537.
- [KL13] Young-Hoon Kiem and Jun Li. “Localizing virtual cycles by cosections.” *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 26 (2013): 1025–1050.
- [KMPS10] A. Klemm, D. Maulik, R. Pandharipande, and E. Scheidegger. “Noether-Lefschetz theory and the Yau-Zaslow conjecture.” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23 (2010): 1013–1040.
- [K90] János Kollár. “Projectivity of complete moduli.” *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 32 (1990): 235–268.
- [KM77] Finn Knudsen and David Mumford. “The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves I: preliminaries on “det” and “Div”.” *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 39 (1977): 19–55.
- [KST11] Martijn Kool, Vivek Shende, and Richard P. Thomas. “A short proof of the Göttsche conjecture.” *Geometry & Topology*, 15 (2011): 397–406.
- [KT14] Martijn Kool and R. P. Thomas. “Reduced classes and curve counting on surfaces I: theory.” *Algebraic Geometry*, 1 (2014): 334–383.
- [L99] Manfred Lehn. “Chern classes of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.” *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 136 (1999): 157–207.
- [L04] Manfred Lehn. “Lectures on Hilbert schemes.” *Algebraic structures and moduli spaces*, 38 (2004): 1–30.
- [LP12] Yaun-Pin Lee and Rahul Pandharipande. “Algebraic cobordism of bundles on varieties.” *Journal of European Mathematical Society*, 14(4) (2012): 1081–1101.
- [LP09] Marc Levine and Rahul Pandharipande. “Algebraic cobordism revisited.” *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 176 (2009): 63–130.
- [L01] Jun Li. “Stable morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms.” *Journal of Differential Geometry* 57 (2001): 509–578.
- [L02] Jun Li. “A degeneration formula of GW-invariants.” *Journal of Differential Geometry* 60 (2002): 199–293.
- [LT14] Jun Li and Yu-jong Tzeng. “Universal polynomials for singular curves on surfaces.” *Compositio Mathematica*, 150 (2014): 1169–1182.
- [LW15] Jun Li and Baosen Wu. “Good degeneration of Quot-schemes and coherent systems.” *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 23 (2015): 841–921.
- [M02] Takuro Mochizuki. “A theory of the invariants obtained from the moduli stacks of stable objects on a smooth polarized surface.” arXiv preprint math/0210211 (2002).
- [MP13] Daves Maulik and Rahul Pandharipande. “Gromov-Witten theory and Noether-Lefschetz theory.” *Clay Math. Proc.*, 8 (2013).
- [MNOP06] Daves Maulik, Nikita Nekrasov, Andrei Okounkov, and Rahul Pandharipande. “Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, I.” *Compositio Mathematica*, 142 (2006): 1263–1285.
- [MNOPII] Daves Maulik, Nikita Nekrasov, Andrei Okounkov, and Rahul Pandharipande. “Gromov-Witten theory and Donaldson-Thomas theory, II.” *Compositio Mathematica*, 142 (2006): 1286–1304.
- [MPT10] Daves Maulik, Rahul Pandharipande, and Richard P. Thomas. “Curves on K3 surfaces and modular forms.” *Journal of Topology*, 3 (2010): 937–996.
- [N99] Hiraku Nakajima. “Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces.” *American Mathematical Soc.*, 18 (1999).
- [PT16] Rahul Pandharipande and Richard P. Thomas. “The Katz-Klemm-Vafa conjecture for K3 surfaces.” *Forum Math. Pi*, 4:e4, 111 (2016).
- [PT09] Rahul Pandharipande and Richard P. Thomas. “The 3-fold vertex via stable pairs.” *Geometry and Topology*, 13 (2009): 1835–1876.
- [PT10] Rahul Pandharipande and Richard P. Thomas. “Stable pairs and BPS invariants.” *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 23 (2010): 267–297.
- [TT17] Yuuji Tanaka and Richard P. Thomas. “Vafa-Witten invariants for projective surfaces I.” arXiv:1702.08487 (Preprint).
- [TT18(2)] Yuuji Tanaka and Richard P. Thomas. “Vafa-Witten invariants for projective surfaces II.” *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, 13(13) (2017): 517–562.
- [T98] Richard P. Thomas. “A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on K3 fibrations.” *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 54 (2000): 367–438.
- [T12] Yu-Jong Tzeng. “A proof of the Göttsche-Yau-Zaslow formula.” *Journal of Differential Geometry* 90 (2012): 439–472.
- [YZ96] Shing-Tung Yau and Eric Zaslow. “BPS states, string duality, and nodal curves on K3.” *Nuclear Phys. B*, 471(3) (1996): 503–512.
- [VW94] Cumrun Vafa and Edward Witten. “A strong coupling test of S-duality.” *Nuclear Physics B*, 431 (1994): 3–77.