Harvard University

# ECON 1393 <br> Poverty and Development 

Term/Year: Spring 2019
Enrollment: 19
Department: Economics
Number of Responses: 16
Percent Response 84.21\%

Unless otherwise indicated in the question text, the following scale is used for responses:
1 =unsatisfactory; 2=fair; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent.

## GENERAL QUESTIONS

|  |  |  |  |  | Response |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | na | 12 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Tot. | Rate | Mean |
| Evaluate the course overall. |  | 00 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 16 | 84.21\% | 4.94 |
| Course materials (readings, audio-visual materials, textbooks, lab manuals, website, etc.) | 0 | 00 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 78.95\% | 4.93 |
| Assignments (exams, essays, problem sets, language homework, etc.) | 0 | 00 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 16 | 84.21\% | 4.69 |
| Feedback you received on work you produced in this course | 1 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 78.95\% | 3.80 |
| Section component of the course | 7 | 00 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 47.37\% | 4.33 |
| On average, how many hours per week did you spend on coursework outside of class? ( $1=<3 ; 2=3-6 ; 3=7-10 ; 4=11-14 ; 5=>14$ ) |  | 010 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 73.68\% | 5.43 |
| What was/were your reason(s) for enrolling in this course? (Please check all that apply) | Elective 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 42.11\% |  |
|  | Concentration or 11 <br> Department Requirement  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.89\% |  |
|  | Secondary Field orLanguage Citation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Undergraduate Coreor General EducationRequirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Expository Writing $0$ <br> Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Foreign Language Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pre-Med Requirement 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| How strongly would you recommend this course to your peers? (1=definitely not recommend; 2=unlikely to recommend; 3=recommend with reservations; 4=likely to recommend; 5=recommend with enthusiasm) |  | 00 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 84.21\% | 4.88 |

## EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTORS

Unless indicated in the question text, the following scale is used for responses: $1=$ unsatisfactory; $2=$ fair; $3=$ good; $4=$ very good; $5=$ excellent.

| Nunn, Nathan | na | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 Tot. $\begin{gathered}\text { Response } \\ \text { Rate }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evaluate your Instructor overall. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 78.95\% | 4.93 |
| Gives effective lectures or presentations, if applicable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 78.95\% | 4.93 |
| Is accessible outside of class (including after class, office hours, e-mail, etc.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 78.95\% | 4.87 |
| Facilitates discussion and encourages participation | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 57.89\% | 4.91 |
| Gives useful feedback on assignments | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 26.32\% | 4.80 |
| Returns assignments in a timely fashion | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 31.58\% | 4.83 |

## EVALUATION OF TEACHING FELLOWS

## Seck, Awa Ambra

| Evaluate your Section Leader overall. |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 36.84\% | 5.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gives effective lectures or presentations, if applicable | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |
| Facilitates discussion and encourages participation | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10.53\% | 5.00 |
| Is accessible outside of class (including after class, office hours, e-mail, etc.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 31.58\% | 5.00 |
| Generates enthusiasm for the subject matter | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 21.05\% | 5.00 |
| Gives useful feedback on assignments | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 31.58\% | 4.17 |
| Returns assignments in a timely fashion | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5.26\% | 5.00 |

## Please comment on your Section Leader's teaching.

## Seck, Awa Ambra

Evaluate the course overall.: 5 (excellent)
Evaluate your Section Leader overall.: 5 (excellent)
Written feedback on tests would be helpful. Was helpful with regard to replication assignment.

Evaluate the course overall.: 5 (excellent)
Evaluate your Section Leader overall.: 5 (excellent)
Thank you so so much for your help and feedback this semester! Your help for the replication assignment was really appreciated. Thanks!!

Evaluate the course overall.: 5 (excellent)
Evaluate your Section Leader overall.: 5 (excellent)
Ambra was AMAZING with technical issues on the final project. Seriously, a life saver.

Evaluate the course overall.: 4 (very good)
Evaluate your Section Leader overall.: 5 (excellent)
exams that were graded had no feedback or a grade (we had to get them on canvas later); super helpful during the replication assignment though and responsive to email etc.

