

# APPENDIX TO “MEASURING THE FINANCIAL SOPHISTICATION OF HOUSEHOLDS”\*

**Laurent E. Calvet, John Y. Campbell and Paolo Sodini**

March 2009

## **1. Data Description and Definitions**

Swedish households pay taxes on both income and wealth. For this reason, the national Statistics Central Bureau (SCB), also known as Statistics Sweden, has a parliamentary mandate to collect highly detailed information on the finances of every household in the country. We compiled the data supplied by SCB into a panel covering four years (1999-2002) and all Swedish residents (about 4.8 million households). The information available on each resident can be grouped into three main categories: demographic characteristics, income, and disaggregated wealth.

Demographic information includes age, gender, marital status, nationality, birth-place, education, and place of residence. The household head is defined as the individual with the highest income. The education variable includes high school and post-high school dummies for the household head.

Income is reported by individual source. For capital income, the database reports the income (interest, dividends) that has been earned on each bank account or each security. For labor income, the database reports gross labor income and business sector.

The panel’s distinguishing feature is that it contains highly disaggregated wealth information. We observe the worldwide assets owned by each resident on December

---

\*Calvet: Department of Finance, HEC Paris, 1 avenue de la Libération, 78351 Jouy-en-Josas, France; and NBER, calvet@hec.fr. Campbell: Department of Economics, Littauer Center, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA, and NBER, john\_campbell@harvard.edu. Sodini: Department of Finance, Stockholm School of Economics, Sveavägen 65, Box 6501, SE-113 83 Stockholm, Sweden, Paolo.Sodini@hhs.se.

31 of each year, including bank accounts, mutual funds and stocks. The information is provided for each individual account or each security referenced by its International Security Identification Number (ISIN). The database also records contributions made during the year to private pension savings, as well as debt outstanding at year end and interest paid during the year.

Following Calvet, Campbell, and Sodini (henceforth “CCS”, 2007), we measure a household’s total financial wealth as the sum of its holdings in these asset classes, excluding from consideration illiquid assets such as real estate or consumer durables, defined contribution retirement accounts, capital insurance products that combine return guarantees with risky asset holdings, and directly held bonds. Also, our measure of wealth is gross wealth and does not subtract mortgage or other household debt. CCS (2007) summarize the relative magnitudes of all these components of Swedish household balance sheets.

The results presented in this paper are based on households that exist throughout the 1999-2002 period. We impose no constraint on the participation status of these households, but require that they satisfy the following financial requirements at the end of each year. First, disposable income must be strictly positive and the three-year rolling average must be at least 1,000 Swedish kronor (\$113). Second, financial wealth must be no smaller than 3,000 kronor (\$339). For computational convenience, we have selected a random panel of 100,000 households from the filtered population. Unless stated otherwise, all the results in the paper and appendix are based on this fixed subsample.

## **2. Additional Results on the Regressions Reported in the Main Text**

We begin by reporting additional results on the regressions presented in Tables 1 and 2 of the main text. In Table A1, we report the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes in the population of households considered in Tables 1 and 2. In the top left corner, we consider the correlation of observed mistakes. We find that risky share inertia has a slight positive correlation with underdiversification and the disposition effect, while the correlation between underdiversification and the disposition effect is slightly negative. In the bottom right corner, we report the correlation of fitted mistakes, which are computed using the unrestricted regression coefficients reported in Table 1 of the main text. All three correlations are strongly positive, ranging between 53.4% and 80.9%. This suggests that it is possible to construct a single index of financial sophistication.

In Table A2, we report the simple correlation between characteristics and fitted mistakes. The fitted values are again computed using the unrestricted regression coefficients reported in Table 1. Disposable income, private pension premia, real estate wealth, the

post-high school dummy, and most strikingly financial wealth are all negatively correlated with the three mistakes. We conversely obtain positive correlations between mistakes and the retirement or entrepreneurs dummies. Thus, the collinearity of regressors seems to explain apparent instability in the signs of some coefficients reported in Table 1.

In Table A3, we report the restricted regression coefficients of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The results are derived from Table 2 in the main text, and have the advantage of being directly comparable to Table 1. Log financial wealth has approximately the same coefficients as the ones obtained in the unrestricted regression, while larger differences are apparent for other regressors.

### 3. Robustness Checks

#### 3.1. Disposition Effect

**Stockholders with Both Gains and Losses.** In Tables A4-A6, we reestimate the sophistication regressions on the set of participating stockholders with both gains and losses in their stock portfolios. Dhar and Zhu (2006) impose a similar requirement on the set of households they consider in their analysis. As in the main text, we classify a stock as a winner if its performance during the year is higher than the unhedged version of the MSCI world index. We verify in Table A4 that financial wealth, and to a lesser extent household size and education, have a negative impact on all three mistakes, and we observe in Table A5 that the fitted values of investments mistakes have high positive correlations. As is apparent in Table A6, the reduction of the  $R^2$  coefficient in the restricted regression is modest for underdiversification and inertia, but more pronounced for the disposition effect. The index of financial sophistication increases with financial wealth, household size and education, but tends to be lower for immigrants, entrepreneurs and the unemployed. Thus, the results reported in the main text are robust to the choice of this alternative subsample.

**Losers and Winners Defined by Absolute Performance During the Year.** In Tables A7-A9, we classify a stock as a winner if it has a positive return during the year, and as a loser otherwise. We reestimate the sophistication regressions and mistake correlations on the set of participating stockholders with both gains and losses in their stock portfolios. Tables A7-A9 show that the results of the main text are robust to the choice of alternative stock classification and household subsample.

**Disposition Effect Computed Using Full Sales Only.** In Tables A10-A12, we investigate the robustness of our results when the measure of the disposition effect only takes full sales into account. We focus on participating stockholders who sell at least

one stock (either partially or fully) and experience both gains and losses in their stock portfolios during the year. Gains and losses are calculated with respect to the unhedged world index. We verify in Tables A10-A12 that the results reported in the main text are robust to considering only full sales in the disposition effect measure.

### 3.2. Risky Share Inertia

We now consider two alternative measure of risky share inertia.

**Risky Share Changes in Levels.** In Tables A13-A15, we proxy inertia by the absolute value of risky share changes in levels,  $|w_{h,t+1} - w_{h,t}|$ . We obtain broadly similar, if slightly weaker, results than in logs.

**Adjustment Model.** In CCS (2009), we have introduced an adjustment model of the risky share. For every household  $h$ , we write the period- $t + 1$  risky share,  $w_{h,t+1}$ , as the weighted average of the households's passive share,  $w_{h,t+1}^p$ , and target share,  $w_{h,t+1}^d$ :

$$\ln(w_{h,t+1}) = (1 - \phi_h) \ln(w_{h,t+1}^p) + \phi_h \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d),$$

The passive share  $w_{h,t+1}^p$  is the proportion of risky assets in the complete portfolio at the end of year  $t + 1$  if the household passively holds its year- $t$  portfolio for an entire year. The passive share can be readily computed for every household. By contrast, the target  $w_{h,t+1}^d$  is unobserved and is estimated, along with the adjustment coefficient  $\phi_h$ , by instrumental variable regression in CCS (2009).

We now use the adjustment model to define a proxy for inertia that can be readily computed for every household. The adjustment equation implies that the inertia measure  $1 - \phi_h$  satisfies

$$1 - \phi_h = \frac{\ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d)}{\ln(w_{h,t+1}^p) - \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d)}. \quad (3.1)$$

The numerator can be decomposed as follows:

$$\ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d) = \Delta \ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \Delta \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d) + \ln(w_{h,t}) - \ln(w_{h,t}^d).$$

We classify households into bins of the initial risky share  $w_{h,t}$ , and proxy the target change  $\Delta \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d)$  by the equal-weighted average of the risky share change among households in the same bin,  $\Delta T_{h,t+1}$ . If we also assume that  $w_{h,t} = w_{h,t}^d$ , then

$$\ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d) \approx \Delta \ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \Delta T_{h,t+1}.$$

Let  $p_{h,t+1} = \ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d)$  denote the passive change. The denominator of (3.1) can similarly be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(w_{h,t+1}^p) - \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d) &= p_{h,t+1} - \Delta \ln(w_{h,t+1}^d) + \ln(w_{h,t}) - \ln(w_{h,t}^d) \\ &\approx p_{h,t+1} - \Delta T_{h,t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Given these approximations, we define the following proxy for the inertia coefficient:

$$y_{h,t,2} = \left| \frac{\Delta \ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \Delta T_{h,t+1}}{p_{h,t+1} - \Delta T_{h,t+1}} \right|.$$

Since changes in the target are poorly estimated, we take absolute values and winsorize the ratio to reduce noise.<sup>1</sup>

In Tables A16-A18, we reestimate the sophistication regressions and mistake correlations when the inertia coefficient  $y_{h,t,2}$  is winsorized at the 95th percentile. The results are broadly consistent with the findings of the main text. Significance levels, correlations, and  $R^2$  coefficients are lower than in Tables 1 and 2 because of the noisiness of this alternative measure.

In Tables A19-A21, we confirm this interpretation by winsorizing the inertia coefficient at the 90th percentile. The reduction in noise leads to higher significance levels and  $R^2$ , as one would expect. The correlation coefficients are slightly lower, however, presumably because winsorization reduces the covariance between the inertia measure and other mistakes. Overall, this analysis confirms that the results in the main text are robust to the choice of alternative measures of inertia based on the adjustment model.

**Market Measure of Inertia.** Consider a general equilibrium economy in which the representative agent holds the market portfolio. At the end of the following year, her new target risky share must coincide with her passive risky share:

$$\omega^p(w_{h,t}; r_{m,t+1}) = \frac{w_{h,t}(1 + r_{m,t+1})}{1 + r_{f,t} + w_{h,t}(r_{m,t+1} - r_{f,t})}.$$

These considerations lead us to proxy household inertia by the *market measure*:

$$y_{h,t,2} = |\ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \ln \omega^p(w_{h,t}; r_{m,t+1})|,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The following example illustrates why the measure of inertia is quite noisy. Consider a closed economy in which the representative agent passively holds the market portfolio. The numerator and denominator of

$$\frac{\Delta \ln(w_{h,t+1}) - \Delta T_{h,t+1}}{p_{h,t+1} - \Delta T_{h,t+1}} \tag{3.2}$$

are then both equal to zero. The sign and magnitude of the ratio are thus unstable for households that are close to the mean. Since we have shown in CCS (2009) that the inertia coefficient is contained between 0 and 1 for almost all households, we reduce noise by taking absolute values and winsorizing the upper tail of  $y_{h,t,2}$ .

where  $r_{m,t+1}$  is the return on the unhedged world index.  $y_{h,t,2}$  is the distance between the actual risky share,  $w_{h,t+1}$ , and a proxy for the target,  $\omega^p(w_{h,t}; r_{m,t+1})$ .

In Tables A22-A24, we reestimate the sophistication regressions when inertia is proxied by the market measure. The results are consistent, if slightly weaker, than the estimates reported in the main text.

#### 4. Measuring Diversification on Less Detailed Datasets

We have hitherto computed household-level Sharpe ratios from the highly disaggregated asset-level data provided by Statistics Sweden. In other countries, however, researchers often have access to more limited information on household finances, and must rely on statistics such as the number of stocks, the number of funds, and the share of funds in the risky portfolio, as diversification proxies. In Table A25, we report the cross-sectional correlation between these proxies and the actual Sharpe ratio in our dataset. Specifically, for every household  $h$ , we consider the number of stocks  $n_h$  in the risky portfolio, the number of risky funds  $m_h$ , the total number of risky assets  $n_h + m_h$ , the share of risky assets in funds  $F_h$ , and the weighted number of risky assets:  $(1 - F_h)n_h + F_h m_h$ . We also consider a more elaborate imputation method of the Sharpe ratio, which is based on the household's number of stocks and funds, the share of funds in the risky portfolio, as well as the average return, standard deviation and correlation of stocks and funds. The exact definition of the imputed Sharpe ratio is provided at the end of the section.

The share of funds in the risky portfolio is a reasonable diversification proxy, with a 0.49 cross-sectional correlation with the Sharpe ratio. The imputed Sharpe ratio performs well but provides only a tiny improvement in the correlation compared to the share of funds. Variables such as the number of stocks or the number of risky assets, however, are poor diversification proxies, as evidenced by their small or slightly negative correlation with the risky portfolio's Sharpe ratio. In Tables A26-A28, we reestimate the sophistication regressions when the share of funds in the risky portfolio is used as a diversification proxy. The results are qualitatively similar to the ones obtained in the main text.

In Tables A29-A31, we reestimate the sophistication regressions based on the imputed Sharpe ratio. The results are consistent with the ones obtained with the fund share and the actual Sharpe ratio. Predicted correlations and  $R^2$  coefficients, however, are substantially larger than with the Sharpe ratio and the fund share, which suggests that these estimates should be interpreted with caution when using the imputed the Sharpe ratio in other datasets.

Overall, the share of funds in the risky portfolio appears to be a reasonable proxy for risky portfolio diversification. This is encouraging news for household finance researchers since these measures are readily available in many countries.

#### 4.1. Imputed Sharpe Ratio

As in CCS (2007), we assume that assets are priced on world markets in dollars according to a global version of the CAPM. From the perspective of a Swedish investor, the pricing model induces a domestic CAPM in which the currency-hedged world index is mean-variance efficient. Because currency-hedging is typically unavailable to most retail investors, except perhaps the richest, we view the unhedged version of the index as more attainable. The computation of the imputed Sharpe ratio then proceeds as follows.

- The CAPM implies that the excess return on the equal-weighted Swedish index has mean  $\mu_{SI} = 7.35\%$  and volatility  $\sigma_{SI} = 31.2\%$ .
- The excess return on a stock held by a Swedish household has mean  $\mu_D = \mu_{SI}$ , average volatility  $\sigma_D = 71.61\%$ , and average pairwise correlation  $\rho = 8\%$ . The computation of  $\sigma_D$  and  $\rho$  is based on the variance-covariance matrix of excess returns implied by the CAPM.
- The unhedged world index has expected excess return  $\mu_m = 5.52\%$ , volatility  $\sigma_m = 15.98\%$ , and Sharpe ratio  $S_m = 34.56\%$  (from the CAPM).
- The average correlation between an individual stock and the unhedged index is  $\rho^* = 28.32\%$ .

We now consider the household's stock and fund portfolios. The household's *stock* portfolio yields the excess return:

$$r_{h,D,t} = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} r_{i,t},$$

which has mean  $\mu_D$  and variance  $\sigma_{h,D}^2 = \sigma_D^2 [1 + (n_h - 1)\rho] / n_h$ . We assume that *fund* holdings are invested in the unhedged version of the world index.

Under these assumptions, the excess return on the risky portfolio,

$$r_{h,t} = D_{h,t} r_{h,D,t} + (1 - D_{h,t}) r_{m,t},$$

has mean  $E(r_{h,t}) = D_{h,t} \mu_D + (1 - D_{h,t}) \mu_m$  and variance  $\sigma_{h,t}^2 = D_{h,t}^2 \sigma_{h,D}^2 + (1 - D_{h,t})^2 \sigma_m^2 + 2D_{h,t}(1 - D_{h,t})\rho^* \sigma_m \sigma_D$ . We therefore proxy the household's Sharpe ratio by:

$$IS_{h,t} = \frac{D_{h,t} \mu_D + (1 - D_{h,t}) \mu_m}{\left[ D_{h,t}^2 \sigma_{h,D}^2 + (1 - D_{h,t})^2 \sigma_m^2 + 2D_{h,t}(1 - D_{h,t})\rho^* \sigma_m \sigma_D \right]^{1/2}}.$$

The corresponding underdiversification measure is  $1 - IS_{h,t}/S_m$ .

## References

- [1] Calvet, Laurent E., John Y. Campbell and Paolo Sodini, 2007, Down or out: Assessing the welfare costs of household investment mistakes, *Journal of Political Economy* 115, 707-747.
- [2] Calvet, Laurent E., John Y. Campbell and Paolo Sodini, 2009, Fight or flight? Portfolio rebalancing by individual investors, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 124(1), 301-348.
- [3] Dhar, Ravi, and Ning Zhu, 2006, Up close and personal: investor sophistication and the disposition effect, *Management Science* 52, 726-740.
- [4] Odean, Terrance, 1998, Are investors reluctant to realize their losses?, *Journal of Finance* 53, 1775-1798.



**TABLE A1. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
Observed	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	15.49%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-10.71%	5.08%	100.00%			
Predicted	Underdiversification	26.39%	15.88%	9.45%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	20.27%	20.67%	14.31%	76.81%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	14.09%	16.72%	17.69%	53.39%	80.89%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes in the panel of Swedish households considered in Table 1 of the main text. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. Mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table 1.

**TABLE A2. CORRELATION OF HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS AND FITTED MISTAKES**

	Underdiversification	Risky Share Inertia	Disposition Effect
	Correlation with Unrestricted Regression Fitted Values		
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>			
Disposable income	-0.125	-0.034	-0.268
Private pension premia/income	-0.241	-0.097	-0.080
Log financial wealth	-0.765	-0.939	-0.848
Log real estate wealth	-0.459	-0.105	-0.065
Log total liability	-0.151	0.203	0.111
Retirement dummy	0.168	-0.246	-0.088
Unemployment dummy	0.100	0.083	0.147
Entrepreneur dummy	0.003	0.166	0.176
Student dummy	0.100	0.030	-0.021
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>			
Age	0.122	-0.307	-0.159
Household size	-0.509	-0.077	0.155
High school dummy	-0.251	0.031	-0.172
Post-high school dummy	-0.187	-0.091	-0.373
Dummy for unavailable education data	0.263	-0.167	-0.094
Immigration dummy	0.220	0.133	-0.152

*Notes:* This table reports the simple correlation between fitted mistakes and household characteristics in the panel of Swedish households considered in Table 1 of the main text. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year. Fitted mistakes are computed using the regression coefficients reported in Table 1 of the main text.

**TABLE A3. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	0.673	15.80	1.626	15.60	0.941	15.20
Private pension premia/income	-0.322	-7.70	-0.778	-7.68	-0.450	-7.63
Log financial wealth	-4.335	-72.40	-10.466	-59.30	-6.055	-43.30
Log real estate wealth	-0.073	-1.58	-0.177	-1.58	-0.102	-1.58
Log total liability	-0.379	-7.24	-0.916	-7.22	-0.530	-7.18
Retirement dummy	-0.313	-1.99	-0.755	-1.99	-0.437	-1.99
Unemployment dummy	0.614	3.67	1.483	3.67	0.858	3.67
Entrepreneur dummy	2.865	15.40	6.916	15.20	4.001	14.80
Student dummy	-0.243	-0.72	-0.586	-0.72	-0.339	-0.72
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	0.012	2.58	0.028	2.58	0.016	2.58
Household size	-0.632	-17.00	-1.527	-16.70	-0.883	-16.20
High school dummy	-0.805	-6.78	-1.944	-6.77	-1.125	-6.73
Post-high school dummy	-0.327	-3.36	-0.789	-3.36	-0.456	-3.36
Dummy for unavailable education data	1.070	5.86	2.584	5.85	1.495	5.83
Immigration dummy	1.751	13.10	4.228	13.00	2.446	12.80
Adjusted $R^2$	6.02%		3.76%		1.91%	
Number of observations	102,731		102,731		102,731	

Notes: This table reports the coefficients of household characteristics in the restricted system estimated in Table 2.

**TABLE A4. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Stockholders with both gains and losses*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	0.761	11.30	2.607	12.60	-0.276	-1.32
Private pension premia/income	-0.987	-14.50	-0.686	-3.28	0.689	3.25
Log financial wealth	-2.172	-28.90	-10.451	-45.50	-6.668	-28.60
Log real estate wealth	-0.573	-7.83	1.152	5.14	0.570	2.51
Log total liability	0.323	4.03	-0.989	-4.04	-1.328	-5.35
Retirement dummy	-0.460	-1.83	-1.838	-2.38	0.687	0.88
Unemployment dummy	1.557	5.52	-0.746	-0.86	1.863	2.13
Entrepreneur dummy	1.952	6.58	10.091	11.10	3.319	3.61
Student dummy	0.777	1.37	-4.250	-2.45	-3.793	-2.16
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	-0.015	-2.05	-0.040	-1.77	-0.033	-1.45
Household size	-1.280	-21.80	-1.015	-5.65	1.615	8.87
High school dummy	-0.200	-0.99	-0.737	-1.20	-1.667	-2.67
Post-high school dummy	0.047	0.31	-0.933	-2.03	-3.940	-8.47
Dummy for unavailable education data	3.710	11.60	-0.871	-0.89	-7.607	-7.66
Immigration dummy	2.832	13.40	4.069	6.30	-3.431	-5.24
Adjusted $R^2$	4.93%		5.02%		2.58%	
Number of observations	54,746		54,746		54,746	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The estimation is based on households that hold stocks at  $t$ , experience both gains and losses in their stock portfolios between  $t$  and  $t+1$ , still hold risky assets at  $t+1$ , and for which the immigration dummy is available. Underdiversification is measured by the Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged world index under the CAPM. Risky share inertia is proxied by the absolute value of changes in the log risky share. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A5. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
***Stockholders with both gains and losses***

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	15.99%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-6.75%	5.22%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	22.21%	16.43%	5.77%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	16.28%	22.40%	13.28%	73.33%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	7.96%	18.51%	16.08%	35.87%	82.60%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A4. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A4.

**TABLE A6. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Stockholders with both gains and losses*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>t-stat</b>	<b>Correlation</b>
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>			
Disposable income	-0.619	-14.50	0.058
Private pension premia/income	0.402	9.50	0.209
Log financial wealth	2.753	41.20	0.920
Log real estate wealth	0.005	0.10	0.246
Log total liability	0.121	2.48	-0.122
Retirement dummy	0.371	2.41	0.160
Unemployment dummy	-0.604	-3.49	-0.125
Entrepreneur dummy	-2.368	-12.70	-0.119
Student dummy	0.598	1.72	-0.070
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>			
Age	0.013	3.01	0.265
Household size	0.485	13.10	0.198
High school dummy	0.302	2.45	0.025
Post-high school dummy	0.412	4.48	0.155
Dummy for unavailable education data	-0.651	-3.32	0.067
Immigration dummy	-1.337	-10.20	-0.173
Number of observations	54,746		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted  $R^2$**

	<b>Proportionality Coefficient</b>	<b>Adjusted <math>R^2</math></b>
Underdiversification	-	3.65%
Risky share inertia	3.494	4.75%
Disposition effect	1.964	1.50%

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A4 and A5. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted  $R^2$  of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A7. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Gains and losses defined by absolute performance during the year*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	0.879	11.80	2.877	13.20	-0.352	-1.58
Private pension premia/income	-0.989	-13.20	-0.822	-3.73	0.345	1.53
Log financial wealth	-2.554	-31.10	-10.479	-43.40	-4.972	-20.20
Log real estate wealth	-0.600	-7.53	1.201	5.12	0.306	1.28
Log total liability	0.313	3.55	-0.862	-3.33	-1.302	-4.92
Retirement dummy	-0.773	-2.85	-2.866	-3.59	-0.301	-0.37
Unemployment dummy	1.488	4.82	-1.447	-1.59	1.761	1.90
Entrepreneur dummy	1.826	5.64	10.003	10.50	2.518	2.59
Student dummy	0.831	1.33	-2.965	-1.61	-1.857	-0.99
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	-0.026	-3.26	-0.029	-1.22	0.002	0.08
Household size	-1.249	-19.20	-1.008	-5.27	1.318	6.74
High school dummy	-0.346	-1.57	-0.570	-0.88	-1.698	-2.56
Post-high school dummy	-0.035	-0.21	-0.895	-1.84	-2.229	-4.48
Dummy for unavailable education data	3.867	11.50	0.132	0.13	-3.688	-3.66
Immigration dummy	3.162	13.30	3.537	5.06	-3.305	-4.63
Adjusted $R^2$	5.60%		5.21%		1.39%	
Number of observations	47,392		47,392		47,392	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when a stock is classified as a winner if it has a positive return during the year, and is otherwise classified as a loser. Underdiversification is measured by the Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged world index under the CAPM. Risky share inertia is proxied by the absolute value of changes in the log risky share. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. The estimation is based on households that hold stocks at  $t$ , experience both gains and losses in their stock portfolios between  $t$  and  $t+1$ , still hold risky assets at  $t+1$ , and for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A8. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Gains and losses defined by absolute performance during the year*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	16.38%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-3.55%	4.32%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	23.67%	17.77%	5.41%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	18.42%	22.84%	9.52%	77.79%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	10.88%	18.46%	11.77%	45.97%	80.85%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A7. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A7.



**TABLE A9. REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Gains and losses defined by absolute performance during the year*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	Estimate	t-stat	Correlation
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>			
Disposable income	-0.847	-16.10	0.041
Private pension premia/income	0.569	10.90	0.237
Log financial wealth	3.158	42.70	0.901
Log real estate wealth	0.067	1.23	0.265
Log total liability	0.071	1.17	-0.118
Retirement dummy	0.835	4.46	0.155
Unemployment dummy	-0.562	-2.64	-0.116
Entrepreneur dummy	-2.599	-11.50	-0.094
Student dummy	0.201	0.47	-0.092
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>			
Age	0.017	3.00	0.248
Household size	0.662	14.40	0.217
High school dummy	0.353	2.32	0.042
Post-high school dummy	0.292	2.56	0.133
Dummy for unavailable education data	-1.593	-6.86	0.010
Immigration dummy	-1.835	-11.10	-0.183
Number of observations	47,392		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**

	Proportionality Coefficient	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>
Underdiversification	-	4.80%
Risky share inertia	2.926	4.77%
Disposition effect	1.139	0.72%

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A7 and A8. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup> of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A10. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Disposition effect based on full sales only*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b>Financial Characteristics</b>						
Disposable income	0.816	10.80	2.782	12.90	-0.334	-2.38
Private pension premia/income	-0.995	-12.70	-0.536	-2.39	0.182	1.25
Log financial wealth	-2.241	-26.00	-10.101	-40.90	-1.841	-11.50
Log real estate wealth	-0.587	-6.95	1.363	5.63	-0.168	-1.07
Log total liability	0.382	4.12	-0.842	-3.17	-1.012	-5.86
Retirement dummy	-0.574	-2.00	-2.429	-2.96	0.450	0.84
Unemployment dummy	1.772	5.45	-1.569	-1.68	-0.292	-0.48
Entrepreneur dummy	1.789	5.27	8.434	8.67	-0.902	-1.43
Student dummy	0.685	1.04	-3.254	-1.72	-0.632	-0.51
<b>Demographic Characteristics</b>						
Age	-0.036	-4.21	-0.045	-1.87	0.008	0.49
Household size	-1.263	-18.50	-0.866	-4.44	-0.016	-0.13
High school dummy	-0.081	-0.34	-0.608	-0.90	-0.668	-1.52
Post-high school dummy	-0.091	-0.52	-1.115	-2.24	-0.105	-0.32
Dummy for unavailable education data	3.929	10.80	0.349	0.34	-1.681	-2.49
Immigration dummy	3.182	12.70	2.906	4.06	-0.743	-1.60
Adjusted $R^2$	5.34%		5.43%		0.59%	
Number of observations	39,837		39,837		39,837	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when the disposition effect measure only takes full sales into account. Underdiversification is measured by the Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged world index under the CAPM. Risky share inertia is proxied by the absolute value of changes in the log risky share. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year, and only full sales are taken into account. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. The estimation is based on households that hold stocks at  $t$ , sell at least one stock and experience both gains and losses in their stock portfolios between  $t$  and  $t+1$ , still hold risky assets at  $t+1$ , and for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A11. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Disposition effect based on full sales only*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	15.59%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-0.30%	1.56%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	23.14%	17.08%	4.07%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	16.97%	23.30%	5.07%	73.31%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	12.32%	15.42%	7.66%	53.07%	66.21%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A10. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A10.

**TABLE A12. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Disposition effect based on full sales only*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>t-stat</b>	<b>Correlation</b>
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>			
Disposable income	-0.842	-15.50	0.019
Private pension premia/income	0.549	9.97	0.235
Log financial wealth	2.901	37.60	0.882
Log real estate wealth	0.052	0.89	0.268
Log total liability	0.026	0.40	-0.121
Retirement dummy	0.661	3.32	0.161
Unemployment dummy	-0.547	-2.42	-0.119
Entrepreneur dummy	-2.237	-9.38	-0.081
Student dummy	0.275	0.60	-0.098
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>			
Age	0.024	4.09	0.268
Household size	0.744	15.20	0.239
High school dummy	0.183	1.12	0.018
Post-high school dummy	0.241	2.00	0.117
Dummy for unavailable education data	-1.808	-7.12	0.015
Immigration dummy	-1.952	-11.10	-0.202
Number of observations	39,837		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted  $R^2$**

	<b>Proportionality Coefficient</b>	<b>Adjusted <math>R^2</math></b>
Underdiversification	-	4.56%
Risky share inertia	2.929	4.77%
Disposition effect	0.435	0.26%

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A10 and A11. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted  $R^2$  of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A13. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Inertia proxied by absolute value of risky share changes in levels*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	0.841	14.50	0.510	11.00	-0.626	-4.27
Private pension premia/income	-0.541	-9.45	-0.011	-0.24	0.076	0.52
Log financial wealth	-3.814	-59.70	-1.299	-25.40	-7.179	-44.40
Log real estate wealth	-0.696	-11.00	0.245	4.83	0.632	3.94
Log total liability	-0.156	-2.17	0.467	8.13	-1.196	-6.59
Retirement dummy	-0.401	-1.86	-0.442	-2.56	1.065	1.95
Unemployment dummy	0.768	3.35	-0.439	-2.39	2.340	4.04
Entrepreneur dummy	1.297	5.12	1.414	6.97	6.481	10.10
Student dummy	1.067	2.32	-0.661	-1.80	-1.919	-1.65
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	0.037	5.95	-0.069	-13.90	0.016	1.04
Household size	-1.420	-28.10	-0.767	-18.90	2.022	15.80
High school dummy	-0.654	-4.02	0.276	2.12	-2.705	-6.58
Post-high school dummy	0.246	1.85	0.472	4.43	-3.834	-11.40
Dummy for unavailable education data	2.930	11.70	0.453	2.26	-3.969	-6.28
Immigration dummy	3.447	19.00	1.066	7.33	-5.216	-11.40
Adjusted $R^2$	6.96%		2.46%		3.13%	
Number of observations	102,731		102,731		102,731	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when risky share inertia is proxied by the absolute value of risky share changes in *levels*. Underdiversification is measured by the Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged world index under the CAPM. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. The estimation is based on participants at t and t+1 with direct stockholdings at t for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A14. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Inertia proxied by absolute value of risky share changes in levels*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	3.27%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-10.71%	-0.77%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	26.39%	7.72%	9.45%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	12.97%	15.70%	7.22%	49.16%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	14.09%	6.41%	17.69%	53.39%	40.83%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A13. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A13.

**TABLE A15. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Inertia proxied by absolute value of risky share changes in levels*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	Estimate	t-stat	Correlation
<b>Financial Characteristics</b>			
Disposable income	-0.690	-13.80	0.143
Private pension premia/income	0.379	7.68	0.198
Log financial wealth	4.208	68.50	0.901
Log real estate wealth	0.320	5.87	0.365
Log total liability	0.119	1.93	-0.002
Retirement dummy	0.289	1.56	-0.006
Unemployment dummy	-0.730	-3.70	-0.120
Entrepreneur dummy	-2.352	-10.70	-0.062
Student dummy	-0.255	-0.65	-0.091
<b>Demographic Characteristics</b>			
Age	-0.005	-0.85	0.064
Household size	0.993	22.50	0.358
High school dummy	0.758	5.41	0.164
Post-high school dummy	0.208	1.81	0.192
Dummy for unavailable education data	-1.682	-7.80	-0.099
Immigration dummy	-2.087	-13.30	-0.149
Number of observations	102,731		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**

	Proportionality Coefficient	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>
Underdiversification	-	6.42%
Risky share inertia	0.309	1.00%
Disposition effect	1.238	1.60%

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A13 and A14. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup> of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A16. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Measure of inertia from the adjustment model winsorized at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	0.841	14.50	12.930	7.77	-0.626	-4.27
Private pension premia/income	-0.541	-9.45	-0.837	-0.51	0.076	0.52
Log financial wealth	-3.814	-59.70	-53.780	-29.30	-7.179	-44.40
Log real estate wealth	-0.696	-11.00	12.385	6.80	0.632	3.94
Log total liability	-0.156	-2.17	2.874	1.39	-1.196	-6.59
Retirement dummy	-0.401	-1.86	-7.223	-1.17	1.065	1.95
Unemployment dummy	0.768	3.35	-17.049	-2.59	2.340	4.04
Entrepreneur dummy	1.297	5.12	48.882	6.71	6.481	10.10
Student dummy	1.067	2.32	-50.566	-3.83	-1.919	-1.65
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	0.037	5.95	-1.168	-6.49	0.016	1.04
Household size	-1.420	-28.10	3.742	2.57	2.022	15.80
High school dummy	-0.654	-4.02	3.106	0.66	-2.705	-6.58
Post-high school dummy	0.246	1.85	-2.296	-0.60	-3.834	-11.40
Dummy for unavailable education data	2.930	11.70	-2.714	-0.38	-3.969	-6.28
Immigration dummy	3.447	19.00	7.117	1.36	-5.216	-11.40
Adjusted $R^2$	6.96%		1.59%		3.13%	
Number of observations	102,731		102,731		102,731	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when risky share inertia is proxied by the measure from the adjustment model winsorized at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile. Underdiversification is measured by the Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged world index under the CAPM. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. The estimation is based on participants at t and t+1 with direct stockholdings at t for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.



**TABLE A17. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Measure of inertia from the adjustment model winsorized at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	1.87%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-10.71%	1.36%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	26.39%	5.42%	9.45%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	11.33%	12.62%	12.95%	42.95%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	14.09%	9.24%	17.69%	53.39%	73.19%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A16. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A16.

**TABLE A18. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Measure of inertia from the adjustment model winsorized at the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	Estimate	t-stat	Correlation
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>			
Disposable income	-0.613	-12.10	0.158
Private pension premia/income	0.383	7.67	0.207
Log financial wealth	4.303	68.80	0.906
Log real estate wealth	0.298	5.39	0.353
Log total liability	0.274	4.39	0.025
Retirement dummy	0.182	0.97	-0.044
Unemployment dummy	-0.774	-3.87	-0.118
Entrepreneur dummy	-2.348	-10.60	-0.066
Student dummy	-0.047	-0.12	-0.069
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>			
Age	-0.020	-3.59	0.020
Household size	0.672	15.20	0.311
High school dummy	0.865	6.10	0.204
Post-high school dummy	0.440	3.79	0.237
Dummy for unavailable education data	-1.461	-6.69	-0.127
Immigration dummy	-1.719	-10.80	-0.131
Number of observations	102,731		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**

	Proportionality Coefficient	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>
Underdiversification	-	6.29%
Risky share inertia	9.012	24.90
Disposition effect	1.332	38.30

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A16 and A17. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup> of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A19. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Measure of inertia from the adjustment model winsorized at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	0.841	14.50	9.718	9.62	-0.626	-4.27
Private pension premia/income	-0.541	-9.45	-0.793	-0.79	0.076	0.52
Log financial wealth	-3.814	-59.70	-37.641	-33.70	-7.179	-44.40
Log real estate wealth	-0.696	-11.00	9.202	8.33	0.632	3.94
Log total liability	-0.156	-2.17	1.915	1.53	-1.196	-6.59
Retirement dummy	-0.401	-1.86	-5.370	-1.43	1.065	1.95
Unemployment dummy	0.768	3.35	-13.330	-3.33	2.340	4.04
Entrepreneur dummy	1.297	5.12	35.458	8.02	6.481	10.10
Student dummy	1.067	2.32	-31.234	-3.90	-1.919	-1.65
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	0.037	5.95	-0.853	-7.81	0.016	1.04
Household size	-1.420	-28.10	2.236	2.53	2.022	15.80
High school dummy	-0.654	-4.02	2.255	0.80	-2.705	-6.58
Post-high school dummy	0.246	1.85	-1.377	-0.59	-3.834	-11.40
Dummy for unavailable education data	2.930	11.70	-3.850	-0.88	-3.969	-6.28
Immigration dummy	3.447	19.00	2.678	0.85	-5.216	-11.40
Adjusted $R^2$	6.96%		2.16%		3.13%	
Number of observations	102,731		102,731		102,731	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when risky share inertia is proxied by the adjustment model measure winsorized at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. Underdiversification is measured by the Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged world index under the CAPM. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. The estimation is based on participants at  $t$  and  $t+1$  with direct stockholdings at  $t$  for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A20. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Measure of inertia from the adjustment model winsorized at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	1.13%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-10.71%	1.55%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	26.39%	6.09%	9.45%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	10.94%	14.70%	12.75%	41.45%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	14.09%	10.59%	17.69%	53.39%	72.06%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A19. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A19.

**TABLE A21. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Measure of inertia from the adjustment model winsorized at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	Estimate	t-stat	Correlation
<b>Financial Characteristics</b>			
Disposable income	-0.634	-12.80	0.149
Private pension premia/income	0.371	7.60	0.202
Log financial wealth	4.308	69.10	0.919
Log real estate wealth	0.234	4.33	0.335
Log total liability	0.260	4.25	-0.002
Retirement dummy	0.196	1.07	-0.016
Unemployment dummy	-0.674	-3.45	-0.116
Entrepreneur dummy	-2.443	-11.30	-0.072
Student dummy	0.058	0.15	-0.067
<b>Demographic Characteristics</b>			
Age	-0.015	-2.72	0.050
Household size	0.626	14.50	0.287
High school dummy	0.827	5.97	0.184
Post-high school dummy	0.441	3.89	0.227
Dummy for unavailable education data	-1.336	-6.27	-0.100
Immigration dummy	-1.601	-10.30	-0.126
Number of observations	102,731		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**

	Proportionality Coefficient	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>
Underdiversification	-	6.15%
Risky share inertia	6.633	0.93%
Disposition effect	1.372	1.88%

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A19 and A20. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup> of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A22. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Market measure of inertia*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	0.841	14.50	2.323	12.90	-0.626	-4.27
Private pension premia/income	-0.541	-9.45	-0.540	-3.03	0.076	0.52
Log financial wealth	-3.814	-59.70	-11.005	-55.30	-7.179	-44.40
Log real estate wealth	-0.696	-11.00	1.449	7.35	0.632	3.94
Log total liability	-0.156	-2.17	-0.806	-3.61	-1.196	-6.59
Retirement dummy	-0.401	-1.86	-1.623	-2.42	1.065	1.95
Unemployment dummy	0.768	3.35	-0.531	-0.74	2.340	4.04
Entrepreneur dummy	1.297	5.12	11.309	14.30	6.481	10.10
Student dummy	1.067	2.32	-4.602	-3.22	-1.919	-1.65
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	0.037	5.95	-0.096	-4.94	0.016	1.04
Household size	-1.420	-28.10	-1.147	-7.28	2.022	15.80
High school dummy	-0.654	-4.02	-1.334	-2.64	-2.705	-6.58
Post-high school dummy	0.246	1.85	-0.523	-1.26	-3.834	-11.40
Dummy for unavailable education data	2.930	11.70	0.396	0.51	-3.969	-6.28
Immigration dummy	3.447	19.00	3.868	6.85	-5.216	-11.40
Adjusted $R^2$	6.96%		4.09%		3.13%	
Number of observations	102,731		102,731		102,731	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when risky share inertia is proxied by the market measure. Underdiversification is measured by the Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged world index under the CAPM. The market measure of risky share inertia is the absolute value of the difference between the household's log risky share,  $\ln(w_{h,t+1})$ , and its log passive share if it holds the unhedged world index during the year,  $\ln(\omega^p(w_{h,t}, r_{m,t+1}))$ . The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. The estimation is based on participants at  $t$  and  $t+1$  with direct stockholdings at  $t$  for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A23. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Market measure of inertia*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	15.49%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-10.71%	5.16%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	26.39%	15.40%	9.45%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	20.10%	20.21%	14.25%	76.18%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	14.09%	16.29%	17.69%	53.39%	80.56%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A22. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A22.

**TABLE A24. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Market measure of inertia*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>t-stat</b>	<b>Correlation</b>
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>			
Disposable income	-0.676	-15.70	0.136
Private pension premia/income	0.347	8.21	0.189
Log financial wealth	4.301	72.00	0.922
Log real estate wealth	0.102	2.18	0.309
Log total liability	0.328	6.21	-0.013
Retirement dummy	0.300	1.89	0.010
Unemployment dummy	-0.609	-3.61	-0.114
Entrepreneur dummy	-2.933	-15.60	-0.098
Student dummy	0.269	0.79	-0.063
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>			
Age	-0.009	-1.92	0.074
Household size	0.662	17.60	0.282
High school dummy	0.838	6.98	0.167
Post-high school dummy	0.389	3.96	0.217
Dummy for unavailable education data	-1.130	-6.13	-0.072
Immigration dummy	-1.713	-12.70	-0.133
Number of observations	102,731		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**

	<b>Proportionality Coefficient</b>	<b>Adjusted R<sup>2</sup></b>
Underdiversification	-	6.03%
Risky share inertia	2.352	48.90
Disposition effect	1.392	39.10

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A22 and A23. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup> of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.



**TABLE A25. CORRELATION BETWEEN SHARPE RATIO AND DIVERSIFICATION PROXIES**

***A. Participants***

	Sharpe ratio	Imputed Sharpe ratio	Number of stocks	Number of funds	Number of risky assets	Weighted number of risky assets	Share of funds in risky portfolio
Sharpe ratio	1.00	0.50	-0.04	0.32	0.13	0.13	0.49
Imputed Sharpe ratio	0.50	1.00	-0.08	0.32	0.10	0.13	0.89
Number of stocks (n)	-0.04	-0.08	1.00	0.27	0.88	0.82	-0.43
Number of funds (m)	0.32	0.32	0.27	1.00	0.69	0.64	0.23
Number of risky assets (n+m)	0.13	0.10	0.88	0.69	1.00	0.93	-0.21
Weighted number of risky assets (Fm+(1-F)n)	0.13	0.13	0.82	0.64	0.93	1.00	-0.19
Share of funds in risky portfolio (F)	0.49	0.89	-0.43	0.23	-0.21	-0.19	1.00

***B. Direct stockholders***

	Sharpe ratio	Imputed Sharpe ratio	Number of stocks	Number of funds	Number of risky assets	Weighted number of risky assets	Share of funds in risky portfolio
Sharpe ratio	1.00	0.63	0.06	0.44	0.26	0.20	0.62
Imputed Sharpe ratio	0.63	1.00	0.23	0.54	0.44	0.38	0.84
Number of stocks (n)	0.06	0.23	1.00	0.26	0.88	0.86	-0.21
Number of funds (m)	0.44	0.54	0.26	1.00	0.68	0.57	0.47
Number of risky assets (n+m)	0.26	0.44	0.88	0.68	1.00	0.93	0.07
Weighted number of risky assets (Fm+(1-F)n)	0.20	0.38	0.86	0.57	0.93	1.00	-0.02
Share of funds in risky portfolio (F)	0.62	0.84	-0.21	0.47	0.07	-0.02	1.00

Notes: This table reports the cross-sectional correlation between the Sharpe ratio and several diversification proxies among households that participate in risky asset markets (panel A) and directly hold stocks (panel B). All measures are demeaned year by year.

**TABLE A26. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Underdiversification proxied by share of direct stockholdings in risky portfolio*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	3.336	27.90	2.329	13.00	-0.626	-4.27
Private pension premia/income	-1.588	-13.40	-0.387	-2.18	0.076	0.52
Log financial wealth	-7.138	-54.00	-11.510	-58.10	-7.179	-44.40
Log real estate wealth	-1.064	-8.12	1.597	8.14	0.632	3.94
Log total liability	2.182	14.70	-1.205	-5.42	-1.196	-6.59
Retirement dummy	-1.885	-4.23	-1.710	-2.56	1.065	1.95
Unemployment dummy	3.086	6.51	-0.390	-0.55	2.340	4.04
Entrepreneur dummy	7.231	13.80	10.835	13.80	6.481	10.10
Student dummy	-1.191	-1.25	-4.288	-3.01	-1.919	-1.65
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	0.061	4.68	-0.070	-3.61	0.016	1.04
Household size	-4.233	-40.40	-0.991	-6.32	2.022	15.80
High school dummy	-0.816	-2.43	-1.166	-2.31	-2.705	-6.58
Post-high school dummy	2.327	8.45	-0.089	-0.22	-3.834	-11.40
Dummy for unavailable education data	5.751	11.10	0.113	0.15	-3.969	-6.28
Immigration dummy	8.704	23.20	4.289	7.62	-5.216	-11.40
Adjusted $R^2$	7.09%		4.27%		3.13%	
Number of observations	102,731		102,731		102,731	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when underdiversification is proxied by the share of direct stockholdings in the risky portfolio. Risky share inertia is proxied by the absolute value of changes in the log risky share. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. The estimation is based on participants at  $t$  and  $t+1$  with direct stockholdings at  $t$  for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A27. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Underdiversification proxied by share of direct stockholdings in risky portfolio*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	15.42%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	-7.63%	5.08%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	26.63%	16.26%	7.34%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	20.94%	20.67%	14.31%	78.65%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	11.05%	16.72%	17.69%	41.50%	80.89%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A26. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A26.

**TABLE A28. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Underdiversification proxied by share of direct stockholdings in risky portfolio*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	Estimate	t-stat	Correlation
<b>Financial Characteristics</b>			
Disposable income	-2.302	-25.50	0.020
Private pension premia/income	0.939	10.70	0.180
Log financial wealth	8.637	71.10	0.901
Log real estate wealth	-0.025	-0.26	0.242
Log total liability	-0.558	-5.11	-0.157
Retirement dummy	1.258	3.83	0.103
Unemployment dummy	-2.042	-5.84	-0.127
Entrepreneur dummy	-8.342	-21.30	-0.146
Student dummy	2.272	3.25	-0.053
<b>Demographic Characteristics</b>			
Age	-0.016	-1.68	0.145
Household size	2.094	26.50	0.274
High school dummy	1.355	5.47	0.075
Post-high school dummy	-0.378	-1.86	0.114
Dummy for unavailable education data	-2.228	-5.84	0.016
Immigration dummy	-4.716	-16.90	-0.166
Number of observations	102,731		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**

	Proportionality Coefficient	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>
Underdiversification	-	6.10%
Risky share inertia	1.178	3.89%
Disposition effect	0.609	1.57%

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A26 and A27. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup> of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A29. UNRESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Imputed Sharpe ratio*

	Underdiversification		Risky Share Inertia		Disposition Effect	
	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>						
Disposable income	1.440	20.60	2.329	13.00	-0.626	-4.27
Private pension premia/income	-0.774	-11.20	-0.387	-2.18	0.076	0.52
Log financial wealth	-10.533	-136.00	-11.510	-58.10	-7.179	-44.40
Log real estate wealth	-0.773	-10.10	1.597	8.14	0.632	3.94
Log total liability	-0.227	-2.62	-1.205	-5.42	-1.196	-6.59
Retirement dummy	-0.611	-2.35	-1.710	-2.56	1.065	1.95
Unemployment dummy	1.870	6.76	-0.390	-0.55	2.340	4.04
Entrepreneur dummy	4.412	14.40	10.835	13.80	6.481	10.10
Student dummy	1.606	2.90	-4.288	-3.01	-1.919	-1.65
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>						
Age	0.099	13.10	-0.070	-3.61	0.016	1.04
Household size	-1.785	-29.20	-0.991	-6.32	2.022	15.80
High school dummy	-1.701	-8.67	-1.166	-2.31	-2.705	-6.58
Post-high school dummy	-0.297	-1.85	-0.089	-0.22	-3.834	-11.40
Dummy for unavailable education data	2.139	7.09	0.113	0.15	-3.969	-6.28
Immigration dummy	4.489	20.50	4.289	7.62	-5.216	-11.40
Adjusted $R^2$	21.35%		4.27%		3.13%	
Number of observations	102,731		102,731		102,731	

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled regressions of investment mistakes on household characteristics when underdiversification is proxied by the imputed Sharpe ratio loss relative to the unhedged index under the CAPM. Risky share inertia is proxied by the absolute value of changes in the log risky share. The disposition effect measure is the difference between the proportion of gains realized and the proportion of losses realized during the year. A stock is classified as a gain if it outperforms the unhedged world index during the year, and as a loss otherwise. The estimation is based on participants at  $t$  and  $t+1$  with direct stockholdings at  $t$  for which the immigration dummy is available. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.

**TABLE A30. CORRELATION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES**  
*Imputed Sharpe ratio*

		Observed			Predicted		
		Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect	Underdiversification	Risky share inertia	Disposition effect
<b>Observed</b>	Underdiversification	100.00%					
	Risky share inertia	23.27%	100.00%				
	Disposition effect	2.97%	5.08%	100.00%			
<b>Predicted</b>	Underdiversification	46.21%	18.69%	13.15%	100.00%		
	Risky share inertia	41.77%	20.67%	14.31%	90.39%	100.00%	
	Disposition effect	34.36%	16.72%	17.69%	74.36%	80.89%	100.00%

*Notes:* This table reports the cross-sectional correlation of investment mistakes. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Table A29. In the top left panel we compute the correlation of *observed* mistakes, in the bottom right panel the correlation of *predicted* mistakes, and in the bottom left panel the correlation between predicted and observed mistakes. All mistakes are demeaned year by year, and predicted mistakes are computed using the pooled regressions reported in Table A29.

**TABLE A31. RESTRICTED REGRESSION OF INVESTMENT MISTAKES ON HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS**  
*Imputed Sharpe ratio*

**A. Sophistication Index**

	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>t-stat</b>	<b>Correlation</b>
<b><i>Financial Characteristics</i></b>			
Disposable income	-1.396	-21.80	0.155
Private pension premia/income	0.672	10.60	0.176
Log financial wealth	10.805	142.00	0.938
Log real estate wealth	0.366	5.24	0.301
Log total liability	0.464	5.87	-0.041
Retirement dummy	0.595	2.50	0.010
Unemployment dummy	-1.734	-6.86	-0.119
Entrepreneur dummy	-5.646	-20.20	-0.070
Student dummy	-0.574	-1.13	-0.070
<b><i>Demographic Characteristics</i></b>			
Age	-0.074	-10.80	0.062
Household size	1.361	24.30	0.262
High school dummy	1.827	10.20	0.152
Post-high school dummy	0.670	4.56	0.201
Dummy for unavailable education data	-1.331	-4.83	-0.050
Immigration dummy	-3.631	-18.10	-0.121
Number of observations	102,731		

**B. Proportionality Coefficients and Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>**

	<b>Proportionality Coefficient</b>	<b>Adjusted R<sup>2</sup></b>
Underdiversification	-	21.17%
Risky share inertia	0.977	3.73%
Disposition effect	0.576	1.97%

*Notes:* This table reports the pooled restricted regression of the negative of investment mistakes on household characteristics. The measure of each mistake and the set of households are the same as in Tables A29 and A30. In Panel A, we compute the coefficients of the sophistication index, their *t*-statistics, as well as the simple correlation of the index with each characteristic. In Panel B, we report the proportionality coefficient of risky share inertia and the disposition effect measure, and the adjusted *R*<sup>2</sup> of all three mistakes. The proportionality coefficient of underdiversification is by definition equal to unity and is not reported. Mistakes are expressed in percentage points. All mistakes and characteristics are demeaned year by year, and continuous characteristics are also standardized year by year.