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In Brief

Mammalian embryos transiently exhibit aerobic glycolysis (Warburg effect). Oginuma and colleagues identify a glycolysis gradient in mouse and chick downstream of FGF in the amniote tail bud that controls posterior embryonic axis elongation. Glycolysis regulates presomitic mesoderm cell motility and Wnt signaling for specification of tail bud paraxial mesoderm fate.
A Gradient of Glycolytic Activity Coordinates FGF and Wnt Signaling during Elongation of the Body Axis in Amniote Embryos

Masayuki Oginuma,1,2,3 Philippe Moncuquet,1 Fengzhu Xiong,2,3 Edward Karoly,4 Jérôme Chal,1,2,3 Karine Guevorkian,1,2,3 and Olivier Pourquie1,2,3,5,*

1Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire (IGBMC), CNRS (UMR 7104), Inserm U964, Université de Strasbourg, Illkirch 67400, France
2Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA
3Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, 60 Fenwood Road, Boston, MA 02115, USA
4Metabolon, 617 Davis Drive, Suite 400, Morrisville, NC 27560, USA
5Lead Contact
*Correspondence: pourquie@genetics.med.harvard.edu
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.02.001

SUMMARY

Mammalian embryos transiently exhibit aerobic glycolysis (Warburg effect), a metabolic adaptation also observed in cancer cells. The role of this particular type of metabolism during vertebrate organogenesis is currently unknown. Here, we provide evidence for spatiotemporal regulation of glycolysis in the posterior region of mouse and chicken embryos. We show that a posterior glycolytic gradient is established in response to graded transcription of glycolytic enzymes downstream of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling. We demonstrate that glycolysis controls posterior elongation of the embryonic axis by regulating cell motility in the presomitic mesoderm and by controlling specification of the paraxial mesoderm fate in the tail bud. Our results suggest that glycolysis in the tail bud coordinates Wnt and FGF signaling to promote elongation of the embryonic axis.

INTRODUCTION

Early studies in chicken and mouse embryos have established that energy metabolism is tightly regulated during development (Johnson et al., 2003; Spratt, 1948). The early mouse preimplantation embryo does not rely on glucose as its main source of energy but rather uses pyruvate and lactate to feed the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and produce ATP (Brinster, 1965). Around the time of implantation a major metabolic transition occurs, leading the embryo to increase glucose uptake and glycolytic activity (Clough and Whittingham, 1983; Shepard et al., 1997). Most of this glycolytic activity coexists with an active TCA cycle and oxidative phosphorylation and results in lactate production (Johnson et al., 2003), thus resembling the Warburg metabolism or aerobic glycolysis of cancer cells (Vander Heiden et al., 2009). Subsequently, this intense glycolytic activity of the embryo decreases during organogenesis while respiration becomes the major mode of energy production (Wales et al., 1995). Aerobic glycolysis has been proposed to play a role in sustaining the intense proliferative activity of cancer and embryonic cells (Papaconstantinou, 1967; Vander Heiden et al., 2009). In the mammalian embryo, however, downregulation of the glycolytic activity occurs during early organogenesis, when very high levels of proliferation are observed, thus questioning the role of this metabolic adaptation. To date, most studies of the metabolic status of vertebrate embryonic cells in vivo are based on metabolic tracing which can only provide crude spatial resolution. Recent studies, however, have shown that aerobic glycolysis can be regulated in a cell-type- and stage-specific manner as for instance in the developing retina, in osteoblasts, or in endothelial cells (Agathocleous et al., 2012; Esen et al., 2013; Mousaieff et al., 2015). This raises the possibility of an instructive role for this particular type of metabolism in development (Shyh-Chang et al., 2013).

Here we investigated the role and regulation of metabolism in patterning and morphogenesis using musculoskeletal development as a paradigm. Skeletal muscles and vertebrae derive from the paraxial mesoderm, which is continuously produced by gastrulation first in the primitive streak and then in the tail bud. Newly generated paraxial mesoderm appears as bilateral strips of mesenchyme called presomitic mesoderm (PSM), which periodically segment to generate the embryonic somites (Hubaud and Pourquie, 2014). Somites provide the blueprint for the metameric arrangement of vertebrae and associated muscles. Periodic somite formation is driven by a molecular oscillator, termed the segmentation clock, which drives rhythmic activation of the Wnt, fibroblast growth factor (FGF), and Notch pathways in the PSM (Hubaud and Pourquie, 2014). The segmental response to the oscillator is gated to a specific level of PSM called the determination front by a system of traveling posterior-to-anterior gradients of Wnt and FGF signaling. Cells of the PSM exhibit a gradient of random motility (cell diffusion) controlled by FGF, which has been proposed to control the posterior elongation movements involved in body axis formation (Benazeraf et al., 2010). In the paraxial mesoderm, the differentiation process is associated with a striking modular compartmentalization of...
the transcription of essential components of translation and oxidative metabolism, which become upregulated as cells differentiate (Ozbudak et al., 2010). Furthermore, hypoxia can downregulate FGF signaling in the paraxial mesoderm, leading to an arrest of the segmentation clock and ultimately to vertebral defects (Sparrow et al., 2012). These observations support a crosstalk between signaling and metabolism and argue for a dynamic regulation of metabolism during paraxial mesoderm development.

In this report, combining metabolomic and transcriptomic approaches we identify a posterior-to-anterior gradient of glucose uptake and glycolysis in the tail bud region of the mouse and chicken embryos. We show that the tail bud glycolytic gradient is established in response to graded transcription of rate-limiting glycolytic enzymes downstream of FGF signaling. Inhibiting glycolysis in the chicken embryo leads to an arrest of axis elongation associated with increased extracellular pH and decreased cell motility in the posterior PSM. The elongation arrest is also accompanied by premature differentiation of the SOX2-BRACHYURY double-positive neural-mesodermal precursors (NMPs) toward a neural fate, resulting from inhibition of Wnt signaling in the tail bud. Thus our work identifies a striking role for glycolysis in integrating cell signaling during body axis formation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of a Posterior Gradient of Glycolysis in the Mouse Tail Bud

To explore the regulation of metabolism during paraxial mesoderm differentiation, we performed a comprehensive metabolomic analysis of the developing posterior body axis in mouse embryos. To this end, we dissected the posterior part of 300 embryonic day 9.5 (E9.5) into three adjacent domains corresponding to the progressively more differentiated levels of the posterior PSM (P-PSM) including the tail bud, anterior PSM (A-PSM), and newly formed somites (Figure 1A). The relative abundance of a set of 2,400 metabolites was analyzed for each sample by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). This strategy identified a total of 129 metabolites that were reliably detected in the embryo samples (Figure S1A and Table S1). Th...
variation during differentiation (Figure 1B and Table S1). Several metabolites involved in glycolysis, such as lactate or glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), were detected at significantly higher levels (1.35- and 1.49-fold respectively, p < 0.05) in P-PSM compared with A-PSM (Figures 1B–1D and S1B; Table S1). Other glycolytic metabolites including glucose, fructose-1,6-diphosphate (FBP), and 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PG) also exhibited trends suggesting enrichment in the P-PSM (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1B; Table S1). Other important nutrients such as glutamine also showed a similar posterior gradient (Figures 1B and S1B). Using an enzymatic assay, we found significantly higher lactate levels in the P-PSM and tail bud, compared with A-PSM and somites (Figure 1E), consistent with more active glycolysis in more posterior regions. We also found that cytochrome c oxidase activity was increased in A-PSM and somite but was still detected in the posterior region experiencing high glycolytic activity (Figure 1F). Cytochrome c oxidase activity forms a gradient opposite to that of glycolysis, consistent with the increased regulation of translation and oxidative metabolism reported in the zebrafish anterior PSM (Ozbudak et al., 2010). This supports the notion that glycolysis plays a more important role than respiration in the posterior part of the embryo. We did not detect any significant difference in ATP concentration along the PSM (Figure 1G).

We next analyzed the expression of key glycolytic enzymes in a microarray series from consecutive fragments of the developing chicken PSM similar to that performed in mouse (Chal et al., 2015)(Figures 2A and S2). Most genes coding for glycolytic enzymes showed a posterior expression gradient in the chicken microarray series (Figures 2A–2C and Table S3). Graded expression of several glycolytic enzymes was confirmed by in situ hybridization (Figure 2D). The glucose transporter GLUT3 was not detected in the chicken PSM, but GLUT1 (SLC2A1) showed a conservation of the posterior glycolytic gradient in developing chicken embryos.

Conservation of the Posterior Glycolytic Gradient in Developing Chicken Embryos

We next investigated whether this graded glycolytic activity is conserved between mouse and chicken embryos. We generated a microarray series from consecutive fragments of the developing chicken PSM similar to that performed in mouse (Chal et al., 2015)(Figures 2A and S2). Most genes coding for glycolytic enzymes showed a posterior expression gradient in the chicken microarray series (Figures 2A–2C and Table S3). Graded expression of several glycolytic enzymes was confirmed by in situ hybridization (Figure 2D). The glucose transporter GLUT3 was not detected in the chicken PSM, but GLUT1 (SLC2A1) showed a

Statistical significance was assessed with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
posterior expression gradient (Figures 2B–2D and Table S3). Furthermore, glucose uptake analysis with fluorescent glucose (2-NDBG) (Itoh et al., 2004; Yoshioka et al., 1996) demonstrated a clear posterior gradient peaking in the tail bud (Figure 2D). While this is not a direct measure of glucose uptake, because the fluorophore on this molecule is much larger than glucose, it is expected to show some correlation with glucose uptake. 2-NDBG most likely interacts with glucose transporters and is a surrogate for glucose transporter expression. In the chicken embryo, analysis of lactate production using an enzymatic assay also shows a posterior gradient (Figure 2E). As observed in mouse, cytochrome c oxidase activity is also detected in the posterior glycolytic regions, and increased in A-PSM and somite (Figure 2F). No significant difference in ATP concentration was found to remain relatively stable in the 2-day-old chicken embryo with a duration of around 9–11 hr (Gomez et al., 2008; Primett et al., 1989; Venters et al., 2008). Therefore, the spatiotemporal regulation of glycolysis in the trunk is unlikely to reflect changes in the proliferation regime of embryonic cells. In the 2-day-old chicken embryo, fluorescent glucose uptake is strikingly regionalized, peaking in the tail bud, posterior PSM, and neural tube, the forming limb buds, the rhombomere 4 region, and the anterior neural ridge, which are regions that largely overlap with regions where FGF8 and its target SPRY2 are expressed (Figures 3A–3C). In the posterior region of the embryo, the gradient of glucose uptake and glycolytic activity is parallel to the gradient of FGF signaling, which controls segmentation and elongation of the body axis (Benazeraf and Pourquié, 2013). To investigate the interactions between FGF signaling and the regulation of glycolysis, we examined the metabolic activity following treatment with FGF/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) inhibitors (Figures 3D–3F). Lactate production was inhibited following treatment with SU5402 (an FGFR1 inhibitor) and PD0325901 (a MAPK inhibitor) (Figure 3D). In contrast, these inhibitors had no effect on mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase activity or ATP concentration (Figures 3E and 3F). Inhibitors of other signaling pathways important for PSM patterning including Notch (DAPT) and retinoic acid (BMS204493) (n = 6 for each condition). Graphs represent triplicate experiments. Values are normalized by untreated control embryos. Error bars denote ±SD. Statistical significance was assessed with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test: ***p < 0.001; ns (not significant, p > 0.05).

FGF Signaling Regulates the Transcription of Rate-Limiting Glycolytic Enzymes

High levels of glycolysis have often been associated with the need to produce important quantities of substrates for anabolic reactions required to sustain the rapid proliferation of cancer or embryonic cells (Vander Heiden et al., 2009). The proliferation rate and cell-cycle length in the tail bud and the somite region have been measured using a variety of approaches and were found to be associated with the rapid proliferation of these regions (Vander Heiden et al., 2009). The proliferation reactions required to sustain the rapid proliferation of cancer or embryonic cells require a large amount of substrates for anabolic reactions. High levels of glycolysis have often been associated with the need to produce important quantities of substrates for anabolic reactions required to sustain the rapid proliferation of cancer or embryonic cells (Vander Heiden et al., 2009).
coding for the rate-limiting glycolytic enzymes: PFKP, PGK1, PKM, and LDHB (0.83-, 0.77-, 0.73-, and 0.52-fold, respectively; p < 0.01) was observed by qPCR after PD0325901 treatment compared with control untreated embryos (Figure 3G). Downregulation of this subset of glycolytic enzymes after PD0325901 treatment was subsequently confirmed by in situ hybridization (Figures 3H–3K; data not shown). These results suggest that the posterior gradient of FGF/MAPK signaling controls the high glycolytic activity in the posterior PSM/tail bud by regulating the transcription of rate-limiting glycolytic enzymes.

**Glycolysis Regulates Body Axis Elongation, Extracellular pH, and Cell Motility**

To explore the role of glycolysis in tail bud development, we analyzed the effect of 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2DG), a competitive inhibitor of hexokinase, on stage 9–10 Hamburger and Hamilton (HH) (Hamburger and Hamilton, 1992) chicken embryos cultured in vitro using the Early Chick (EC) culture system (Chapman et al., 2001). 2DG treatment led to strong downregulation of lactate production (Figure 4A), but not of cytochrome c oxidase activity in the embryo (Figure 4B). ATP concentration was not
Figure 5. Glycolysis Inhibition Decreases Cell Motility and Increases pH in the Posterior PSM

(A–F) Effect of 2DG treatment on cell motility (diffusion) and PSM elongation in 2-day-old chicken embryos. (A) Electroporated PSM cells expressing H2B-Venus are shown in yellow. (B and C) PSM cell trajectories for control and 2DG-treated embryos, respectively. Only tracks of cells located in the posterior PSM inside the box were used in the analysis. Scale bars, 100 μm. (D) Elongation curves showing the posterior displacement of the tail bud (orange box) as a function of time in

[legend continued on next page]
significantly changed upon 2DG treatment suggesting that
glycolysis in the posterior PSM/tail bud plays a limited role in
energy production (Figure 4G). In contrast, treating chicken em-
bryos with the respiration inhibitor sodium azide (NaN₃)
inhibited energy production (Figure 4C). In contrast, treating chicken em-
bryos with sodium azide (NaN₃) decreased cytochrome c oxidase activity and ATP concentration in the tail bud region of the embryo (Figures 4A–4C).

2DG treatment severely affected axis elongation, generating
shorter embryos, whereas somite formation continued at a
normal pace, leading to a progressive shortening of PSM length
(Figures 4D–4F and Movie S1). In contrast, axis elongation was
not affected by sodium azide treatment, while somite segmenta-
tion was blocked (Figures 4D and 4G; Movie S1), indicating a
specific requirement of glycolysis for axis elongation. We next
established a chemically defined culture system for chicken em-
bryos in which we can control the level of energy substrates.
When embryos were cultured in medium containing 0.15% glucose, elongation proceeded normally, albeit at a slower pace compared with control EC cultures (Figures 4H and 4J).

In embryos cultured for 3–6 h in the same medium but devoid of
glucose, elongation was around 4-times slower when compared with controls cultured in glucose-containing medium (Figures 4I and 4J). In embryos cultured in glucose-free medium, a strong downregulation of lactate production accompanied by a slight but significant decrease of ATP concentration was
observed in the posterior region (Figures 4K and 4L). No signifi-
cant effect on cell proliferation or cell death was observed in em-
bryos cultured in glucose-free medium after 3 h (Figure S3). To
explore the role of glucose, which exhibits a gradient parallel to the glycolytic metabolites, we cultured embryos inglutamine-free medium (Figures 4I and 4J).

A gradient of random cell motility (diffusion) controlled by FGF/
MAPK signaling in the PSM has been proposed to drive posterior elongation movements in the chicken embryo (Benazeraf et al., 2010). To test whether glycolysis controls motility in the posterior
PSM, we measured the diffusion and analyzed trajectories of posterior PSM cells labeled by electroporation with H2B-Venus in embryos treated or not with 2DG (Figures 5A–5C and Movie S2). We showed that inhibition of glycolysis leads to a progressive
downregulation of cell diffusion, which correlates with the slowing down of elongation (Figures 5D–5F). We observed a similar reduction of cell diffusion in embryos electroporated with H2B-Venus cultured in the chemically defined glucose-
free medium when compared with control embryos (Figures 5G–5K and Movie S3).

In tumors or blastocyst embryos, cell motility is promoted by
aerobic glycolysis, which leads to acidification of the extracel-
larular environment as a result of lactic acid excretion (Gardner,
2015; Parks et al., 2013). This in turn triggers activation of matrix
metalloproteinases (MMP) involved in remodeling extracellular
matrix. In the PSM, the pH sensitive enzyme MMP2 is expressed in
a posterior gradient (Figures S4A and S4B). We observed that 2-day-old chicken embryos labeled with the pH sensor pHRodo Red
(Ogawa et al., 2010) exhibit a posterior-to-anterior gradient of extracellular pH, with the lowest pH found in the tail bud where
glycolysis is most active (Figures 5L and 5M). This pH gradient
can be abolished by incubating embryos on alkaline plates (pH 11) (Figure 5N). Treatment of the embryos with 2DG prior to
labeling also results in a uniformly higher pH (Figure 5N), sug-
uggesting that glycolysis is involved in the acidification of the extra-
cellular environment at the posterior end of the embryo. Embryos
cultured on alkaline plates also exhibit much slower axis elonga-
tion (Figure 5O) while somite formation continues to proceed at a
normal pace (Figures 5C and 5D; Movie S4). Paraxial meso-
derm markers such as CMESO1 or T were still expressed in embryos cultured on alkaline plates (Figures S4C–S4H).

Analysis of embryos in which paraxial mesoderm precursors were elec-
troporated with an H2B-Venus construct cultured on alkaline plates
revealed a decrease in cell diffusion parallelizing the decrease in the speed of axis elongation (Figures 5O–5Q and Movie S4). Together, these experiments suggest that glycolysis acts downstream of FGF signaling to promote acidification of the extracellular environment and cell motility.

the wild-type and 2DG-treated embryos. (E) Elongation rates of the embryos shown in (D); error bars denote ±SD of the linear adjustments to data in (D). (F) Cell diffusion for control and 2DG-treated embryos shown from 2 to 6 hr and from 6 to 10 hr (mean ± SD, *p = 0.0004, **p < .0001, t test). See also Movie S2.

(G–K) Cell motility (diffusion) and PSM elongation in chemically controlled conditions. (G and H) PSM cell trajectories for 2-day-old chicken embryos cultured in DMEM and DMEM without glucose, respectively. Only tracks of cells located in the posterior PSM inside the box are used in the analysis. Scale bars, 100 μm. (I) Elongation curves showing the posterior displacement of the tail bud as a function of time in the DMEM and DMEM without glucose conditions. (J) Elongation rates of the embryos shown in (I); error bars denote ±SD of the linear adjustments to data in (I). (K) Cell diffusion for DMEM and DMEM without glucose conditions shown. (L) Extracellular pH in the posterior part of a 2-day-old chicken embryo labeled with pHRodo red showing the gradient of extracellular pH (pHe). Red fluorescence labels regions of lower pH. Electroporated PSM cells expressing MEM-GFP are shown in green (Okada et al., 1999). Maximum projection of confocal sections of a 2-day-old chicken embryo. Ventral views, anterior to the top, pHrodo intensity was measured specifically in the PSM in the region highlighted by a white dashed box. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(M) Quantification of intensity of pHRodo red. pHRodo Intensity measured in three different embryos along the posterior-anterior axis using stripes in PSM as described in (L). Measurements were binned every 200 μm and the average intensity (±SD) is shown. Absolute pHRodo intensity values may vary as a result of different embryo/imaging conditions. Embryo 1 is (L).

(N) Effect of culturing embryos on 2DG-containing and alkaline plates on the pHe gradient. Each dot represents average fluorescence intensity measured in a ~0.26-mm² area of each embryo along the posterior-anterior axis. Lines represent average intensity (±SD) (control, n = 7; alkaline, n = 8; 2DG, n = 7). *p = 0.001, **p = 0.001, ***p = 0.003, ****p = 0.006; n.s. (not significant), p = 0.15 (t tests). ****p = 0.002 (red, control); n.s. (blue, 2DG), p = 0.14; n.s. (black, NaOH), p = 0.35. Paired t tests compared the anterior-posterior ends of the pHe gradient.

(O–Q) Effect of culture on alkaline plates on cell motility and PSM elongation in 2-day-old chicken embryos. (O) Elongation curves for control and embryos cultured on alkaline plates. (P) Elongation rate corresponding to the curves in (O). (Q) Cell diffusion for control and embryos cultured on alkaline plates (mean ± SD, p < 0.01 between control and alkaline plates and not significant among alkaline plates using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s test). See also Movie S4 and Figure S4.
Figure 6. Inhibition of Glycolysis Phenocopies Wnt Signaling Inhibition in the Tail Bud

(A–C) Whole-mount in situ hybridizations showing the posterior region of 2-day-old control (A, n = 24), 2DG-treated (B, n = 16), and NaN₃-treated (C, n = 13) chicken embryos hybridized with LFNG probe. Asterisk indicates newly formed somites.

(D) qPCR analysis of the posterior region of 2-day-old chicken embryos treated or not with 2DG and incubated for 10 hr. Values are normalized to the mean of control embryos. Each bar represents one embryo. Statistical significance was assessed with unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

(E–N) Whole-mount in situ hybridizations showing the posterior region of 2-day-old control (E–I) or 2DG-treated (J–N) chicken embryos hybridized with the following probes: T (BRACHYURY) (E, n = 4; J, n = 6); CMESPO (F, n = 5; K, n = 5); SOX2 (G, n = 7; L, n = 4); CMESO1 (H, n = 5; M, n = 4); AXIN2 (I, n = 5; N, n = 4). Asterisks indicate the last formed somite and arrowheads indicate the tail bud region. Ventral view, anterior to the top.
Glycolysis Regulates Wnt Signaling and Maintenance of the NMPs in the Tail Bud

Rhythmic somite formation is driven by a molecular oscillator, termed the segmentation clock, which drives cyclic activation of the Wnt, FGF, and Notch pathways (Hubaud and Pourquie, 2014). Dynamic patterns of Lunatic Fringe (LFNG), a cyclic gene controlled by the segmentation clock, were observed in 2DG-treated embryos, suggesting that periodic signaling driven by the clock remains functional (Figures 6A and 6B). This contrasts with data obtained on PSM explants from mouse embryos cultured in absence of glucose, where Lunatic Fringe periodic expression was blocked (Bulusu et al., 2017 [this issue of Developmental Cell]). The two sets of experiments, however, differ in that 2DG inhibition of glycolysis is incomplete (Figure 4A). In the chicken embryo, the presumptive segment is first visible as a stripe of expression of the gene CMESO1 (a chicken homolog of the mouse Mesp2 gene). Upon 2DG treatment, CMESO1 mRNA expression was normally expressed as a bilateral stripe in the anterior PSM (Figures 6H and 6M). In contrast, expression of Lunatic Fringe was downregulated in presence of NaHCO3, consistent with the segmentation defects observed (Figure 6C). Accordingly, exposing developing mouse embryos to hypoxic conditions disrupts FGF signaling and segmentation (Spyckova et al., 2012). Thus, 2DG treatment impairs body elongation but not segmentation.

Maintenance of the posterior elongation movements requires a constant supply of motile cells in the forming posterior PSM (Benazeraf and Pourquie, 2013). In 2DG-treated embryos, the size of the expression domains of the posterior PSM markers, T/BRACHYURY (Figures 6E and 6J) and CMESPO (the chicken homolog of Mesogenin1) (Figures 6F and 6K) strongly decreased, suggesting an arrest of PSM cell production. In contrast, expression of the neural marker SOX2 was expanded posteriorly in the tail bud of treated embryos (Figures 6G and 6L). No significant effect of 2DG treatment on proliferation and apoptosis in the paraxial mesoderm could be detected (Figure 5S). The posterior paraxial mesoderm and neural tube derive from a group of NMPs found in the tail bud region, which co-express SOX2 and BRACHYURY (Henrique et al., 2015; Kimelman, 2016; Tzouanacou et al., 2009) (Figures 4O–4Q). In chicken embryos treated with 2DG, the SOX2–BRACHYURY double-positive expression domain was replaced by cells only expressing SOX2 (compare Figures 6O–6Q with 6R–6T). This suggests that NMPs differentiated into neural cells, leading to the termination of paraxial mesoderm production. We electroporated the NMP region in the anterior primitive streak with an H2B–RFP construct in transgenic chicken embryos expressing GFP ubiquitously and monitored the fate of the descendents of the electroporated NMPs. In control embryos, NMPs contribute to both neural and paraxial mesoderm lineages whereas in embryos treated with 2DG, NMPs stop producing paraxial mesoderm cells while continuing to populate the forming neural tube (Movie S5). Since axis elongation is largely driven by the posterior paraxial mesoderm (Benazeraf et al., 2010), the arrest of its production can contribute to explaining the arrest of elongation. Therefore, the high glycolytic state in the tail bud is also required for the maintenance of the NMP cells and the regulation of the balance of the differentiation rate between paraxial mesoderm and neural lineages. This phenotype resembles that observed when either Wnt3a or its targets Brachyury and Tbx6 are mutated in mouse where ectopic neural tissue forms instead of paraxial mesoderm (Chapman and Papaioannou, 1998; Greco et al., 1996; Nowotschin et al., 2012; Takemoto et al., 2011; Yamaguchi et al., 1999). In the chicken embryo, the termination of axis elongation is also associated with a decrease in Wnt signaling and the differentiation of the SOX2+/BRACHYURY+ cells into SOX2+/BRACHYURY− cells (Olivera-Martinez et al., 2012). Thus, the phenotype elicited by 2DG treatment phenocopies Wnt loss of function in the tail bud of mouse and chicken embryos. This led us to examine whether glycolysis inhibition downregulates Wnt signaling. In control chicken embryos, nuclear β-catenin (CTNNB1) shows a posterior gradient in the PSM as reported for mouse embryos (Figures 6U–6W) (Aulehla et al., 2008). This high nuclear β-catenin domain coincides with the expression domain of CMESPO (Figure 6U), a direct target of Wnt signaling in the PSM (Buchberger et al., 2000; Wittler et al., 2007). 2DG treatment strongly reduced nuclear β-catenin localization in the posterior PSM region (Figures 6X–6Z). By qPCR on 2DG-treated embryos’ posterior domain, we detected a significant downregulation of the Wnt targets AXIN2 and BRACHYURY, whereas the neural markers SOX2 and SOX1 were significantly upregulated (Figure 6D). Together, these data argue that glycolysis regulates Wnt signaling in the posterior PSM/tail bud of the chicken embryo.

Wnt and FGF signaling are known to mutually regulate each other in the PSM (Aulehla and Pourquie, 2010; Naiche et al., 2011). Accordingly, embryos treated with the FGF/MAPK inhibitor PD0325901 also stopped elongating and showed reduced phosphorylated MAPK and Wnt activity (Figures 7A–7J and 7O–7Q). Glycolysis inhibition also strongly reduced expression of markers of Wnt activation such as AXIN2 (Figure 7M) as well as targets of FGF signaling such as phosphorylated MAPK and SPROUTY2 (Figures 7F, 7G, 7M, and 7N). Since Wnt3a in the PSM controls Fgf8 expression in the mouse embryo (Aulehla et al., 2003), our data support the existence of a closed regulatory loop linking FGF and Wnt signaling via glycolysis in the PSM. From these results we conclude that glycolysis downstream of FGF signaling is required to maintain the Wnt gradient in the
posterior PSM. In turn, the Wnt gradient is required to maintain FGF activation.

Conclusion
Our study shows that glycolysis acts independently of energy production in specific developmental processes that are necessary to sustain posterior elongation of the embryonic axis: the Wnt-dependent production of paraxial mesoderm cells from tail bud NMPs and the control of their motility. How glycolysis regulates Wnt signaling remains to be established. In yeast and cancer cells, glycolysis regulates intracellular pH, which in turn controls V-ATPase assembly (Dechant et al., 2010). The recent implication of V-ATPase in Wnt signaling might provide a link between glycolysis and Wnt in the embryo (Cruciat et al., 2010). The effect on cell motility might be linked to the role of localized glycolytic activity, ensuring rapid delivery of ATP for actin polymerization to the forming protrusions, which was hypothesized in cancer or endothelial cells (De Bock et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2000).

Our data are corroborated by a companion study that used a different set of approaches to identify a similar gradient of glycolytic activity in the posterior PSM of mouse embryos (Bulusu et al., 2017). Using metabolic labeling, this study demonstrated an increase in glycolytic flux in the posterior PSM of mouse embryos. The striking similarities between the embryonic metabolic state described in these two reports and the Warburg metabolism suggest that cancer cells could redeploy a specific embryonic metabolic program with significant consequences on cell signaling and proliferation. Strikingly, however, the increased aerobic glycolysis observed in the tail bud and posterior PSM does not appear to correlate with an increased proliferation rate, supporting different roles for this type of metabolism such as coordinating cell signaling.
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# STAR Methods

## Key Resources Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent or Resource</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-CMESPO</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti glut3 (1:300)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat#ab41525, RRID: AB_732609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti T/BRACHYURY (1:1000)</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems</td>
<td>Cat#AF2085, RRID: AB_2200235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti Sox2 (1:1000)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#ab5603, RRID: AB_2286686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti CTNNB1/b-Catenin (1:500)</td>
<td>BD transduction laboratories</td>
<td>Cat#610153, RRID: AB_397554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti phosphorylated MAPK (1:400)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat#4370, RRID: AB_2315112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti phospho Histone H3 (1:1000)</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat#06-570, RRID: AB_310177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Deoxy-D-glucose</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# D8375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium azide</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# S8032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU5402</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# SML0443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD 0325901</td>
<td>AXON MEDCHEM BV</td>
<td>Cat# Axon 1408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPT</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# D5942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS204493</td>
<td>synthesized by Novalix</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM/F12 w/o glucose medium</td>
<td>USBiological</td>
<td>Cat# D9807-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# S5761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin from chicken egg white</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# A5503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-glucose</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# G7021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BactoAgar</td>
<td>BD Bioscience</td>
<td>Cat# 214050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-NDBG</td>
<td>Life technologies</td>
<td>Cat# N13195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHrodo Red AM Intracellular pH Indicator</td>
<td>Fischer scientific</td>
<td>Cat# P35372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LysoTracker Red DND-99</td>
<td>Fischer scientific</td>
<td>Cat# L7528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApopTag Red In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# S7165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate assay kit</td>
<td>BioVision</td>
<td>Cat# K607-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPlite Luminescence Assay System</td>
<td>Perkin-Elmer</td>
<td>Cat# 6016943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c Oxidase assay kit</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# cytocox1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>Cat# 172-5122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantifast SYBR Green RT-PCR Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Cat# 204154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken microarray series</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>GSE75978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse microarray series</td>
<td>Chal et al., 2015</td>
<td>GSE39613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: CD1</td>
<td>ICS mouse facility</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type chicken eggs</td>
<td>Charles River</td>
<td>Cat#10100331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP chicken eggs</td>
<td>Clemson University, Dr Susan Chapman</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for GLUT1 (F: AGTACGGAGAGGATTCCCCT, R: CTCAGGAA GGTGGAAGCTG)</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for HK1 (F: GAGTCTAAGCCACCACCCAGA, R: CTTCTTCA GG CCTGCTTCAA)</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for G3P (F: GAAGCTGAGCGGTGGTGAAG, R: CCACATG GCATCCAGGGT)</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent or Resource</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primers for T (F: CGAGGGAGATCACAGCTTTAAAAATT, R: TCCATTCTTTTGCGTGCA)</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for CMESPO (F: AAAGCCAGTGAGGGGAGAA, R: GGTC CACTGGGTCTGTA)</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for SOX2 (F: GCAAGAATCACCAGATGCAC, R: CTCGCCAGTCACACTTCCAC)</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for SAX1 (F: CAGTTTTCACCTAGGCAGC, R: TGGGAACC AGATCTTCCA)</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for BETα ACTIN</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_ACTB_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for GPI</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_GPI_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for PGK1</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_PGK1_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for PKF</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_PKF_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for ENO1</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_ENO1_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for PKM</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_PKM2_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for LDHB</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_LDHB_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for AXIN2</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Gg_AXIN2_1_SG QuantiTect Primer Assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken GLUT1 RNA probe</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>NCBI:NM_205209.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken PFKP RNA probe</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>NCBI:NM_001031511.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent or Resource</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken PGK1 RNA probe</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>NCBI:NM_204985.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken PKM RNA probe</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>NCBI: NM_205469.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken LDHB RNA probe</td>
<td>this paper</td>
<td>NCBI: NM_204177.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken FGF8 RNA probe</td>
<td>Crossley and Martin, 1995</td>
<td>NCBI: U41467.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent or Resource</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken AXIN2A RNA probe</td>
<td>Krol et al., 2011</td>
<td>chEST 371a17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken CMESO1 RNA probe</td>
<td>Buchberger et al., 1998</td>
<td>NCBI: Y17043.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken cMESPO RNA probe</td>
<td>Buchberger et al., 2000</td>
<td>NCBI: AJ292363.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken SPRY2 RNA probe</td>
<td>Minowada et al., 1999</td>
<td>NCBI: AF176904.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
### Reagent or Resource

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent or Resource</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken LFNG RNA probe</td>
<td>Dale et al., 2003</td>
<td>NCBI: U91849</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Chicken BRACHYURY (T) RNA probe | Denans et al., 2015 | NCBI: U67086.1 |

### Recombinant DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recombinant DNA</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid pCAGG-H2B-Venus</td>
<td>Denans et al., 2015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid pCAGG-H2B-RFP</td>
<td>Denans et al., 2015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid pCAGG-Gap-GFP</td>
<td>Okada et al., 1999</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Software and Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software and Algorithms</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Image J fiji</td>
<td>NIH <a href="https://fiji.sc/">https://fiji.sc/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (Bioconductor package 2.8)</td>
<td>Bioconductor <a href="https://bioconductor.org">https://bioconductor.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad 6</td>
<td>Prism <a href="https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/">https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Olivier Pourquie (pourquie@genetics.med.harvard.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals
E9.5 mice embryos were obtained from breeding pairs of CD1 female and male by Institut Clinique de la Souris (Strasbourg, France). Fertilized chicken eggs were obtained from commercial sources. GFP-chicken eggs were obtained from Clemson University (McGrew et al., 2004). Eggs were incubated at 38°C in a humidified incubator and embryos were staged following the Hamburger and Hamilton (HH) table (Hamburger and Hamilton, 1992). All animal experiments were performed according to the institutions guidelines (IGBMC).

Chicken Embryo Culture

EC Culture
In this study, we started to culture chicken embryos mainly from stage 9HH at 37°C using the Early Chick (EC) culture system (Chapman et al., 2001). For drug treatments, 2mM 2DG (2-Deoxy-D-glucose; Sigma) and 1mM NaN₃ (sodium azide; Sigma) albumin plates were prepared. For signaling inhibitors, 500μM SU5402 (Sigma), 50μM PD0325901 (AXON MEDCHEM BV), 100μM DAPT (Sigma) or 50μM BMS204493 (synthesized by Novalix) were diluted in PBS, and 100 μl of the inhibitor solution was added under and on top of the embryos. For alkaline plates, 23mM NaOH albumin plates (2 ml) were prepared, so that they reached pH11.0-11.3 (the pH of control albumin plates is 9.4-9.6).

Chemically Defined Culture System
Embryos were prepared and cultured as described above on culture plates which include DMEM/F12 w/o glucose medium (USBiological, D9807-02), 0.12% Sodium bicarbonate (Sigma), 1% chicken ovalbumin (Sigma) and 0.3% BactoAgar (BD Bioscience) adding 0.15% glucose in DMEM-plate.

METHOD DETAILS

Mouse Embryos Dissection and Metabolome Analysis
The posterior end of three hundred day 9.5 CD1 mouse embryos was dissected into three consecutive fragments of roughly equivalent size along the antero-posterior axis as shown in Figure 1A. The most posterior fragment includes the tail bud and the level of the posterior PSM, the next level correspond to the level of the anterior PSM and the most anterior level corresponds to the most posterior somitic region. Embryos were dissected in cold PBS on silicone-covered Petri dishes and frozen by batches of five in liquid nitrogen. Triplicate pools of fragments of each level were created. Samples were then shipped to Metabolon Inc. (Durham, NC, USA) for metabolomics analysis and Metabolomic profiling analysis was performed by Metabolon as described below and in (Evans et al., 2009; Reitman et al., 2011).

Sample Accessioning
Each sample received was accessioned into the Metabolon LIMS system and was assigned by the LIMS a unique identifier that was associated with the original source identifier only. This identifier was used to track all sample handling, tasks, results etc. The samples (and all derived aliquots) were tracked by the LIMS system. All portions of any sample were automatically assigned their own unique identifiers by the LIMS when a new task is created; the relationship of these samples is also tracked. All samples were maintained at -80°C until processed.

Sample Preparation
Samples were prepared using the automated MicroLab STAR® system from Hamilton Company. A recovery standard was added prior to the first step in the extraction process for QC purposes. Sample preparation was conducted using aqueous methanol extraction process to remove the protein fraction while allowing maximum recovery of small molecules. The resulting extract was divided into four fractions: one for analysis by UPLC/MS/MS (positive mode), one for UPLC/MS/MS (negative mode), one for GC/MS, and one for backup. Samples were placed briefly on a TurboVap® (Zymark) to remove the organic solvent. Each sample was then frozen and dried under vacuum. Samples were then prepared for the appropriate instrument, either UPLC/MS/MS or GC/MS.

Ultrahigh Performance Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy (UPLC/MS/MS)
The LC/MS portion of the platform was based on a Waters ACQUITY ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) and a Thermo-Finnigan linear trap quadrupole (LTQ) mass spectrometer, which consisted of an electrospray ionization (ESI) source and linear ion-trap (LIT) mass analyzer. The sample extract was dried then reconstituted in acidic or basic LC-compatible solvents, each of which contained 8 or more injection standards at fixed concentrations to ensure injection and chromatographic consistency. One aliquot was analyzed using acidic positive ion optimized conditions and the other using basic negative ion optimized conditions in two independent injections using separate dedicated columns. Extracts reconstituted in acidic conditions were gradient eluted...
using water and methanol containing 0.1% formic acid, while the basic extracts, which also used water/methanol, contained 6.5 mM Ammonium Bicarbonate. The MS analysis alternated between MS and data-dependent MS² scans using dynamic exclusion. Raw data files are archived and extracted as described below.

**Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectroscopy (GC/MS)**

The samples destined for GC/MS analysis were re-dried under vacuum desiccation for a minimum of 24 hours prior to being derivatized under dried nitrogen using bistrimethyl-silyl-trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA). The GC column was 5% phenyl and the temperature ramp was from 40°C to 300°C in a 16 minute period. Samples were analyzed on a Thermo-Finnigan Trace DSQ fast-scanning single-quadrupole mass spectrometer using electron impact ionization. The instrument was tuned and calibrated for mass resolution and mass accuracy on a daily basis. The information output from the raw data files was automatically extracted as discussed below.

**Quality Assurance/QC**

For QA/QC purposes, additional samples were included with each day’s analysis. These samples included extracts of a pool of well-characterized human plasma, extracts of a pool created from a small aliquot of the experimental samples, and process blanks. QC samples were spaced evenly among the injections and all experimental samples were randomly distributed throughout the run. A selection of QC compounds was added to every sample for chromatographic alignment, including those under test. These compounds were carefully chosen so as not to interfere with the measurement of the endogenous compounds.

**Data Extraction and Compound Identification**

Raw data was extracted, peak-identified and QC processed using Metabolon’s hardware and software. These systems are built on a web-service platform utilizing Microsoft’s .NET technologies, which run on high-performance application servers and fiber-channel storage arrays in clusters to provide active failover and load-balancing (Dehaven et al., 2010). Compounds were identified by comparison to library entries of purified standards or recurrent unknown entities. Metabolon maintains a library based on authenticated standards that contains the retention time/index (RI), mass to charge ratio (m/z), and chromatographic data (including MS/MS spectral data) on all molecules present in the library. Furthermore, biochemical identifications are based on three criteria: retention index within a narrow RI window of the proposed identification, nominal mass match to the library ± 0.2 amu, and the MS/MS forward and reverse scores between the experimental data and authentic standards. The MS/MS scores are based on a comparison of the ions present in the experimental spectrum to the ions present in the library spectrum. While there may be similarities between these molecules based on one of these factors, the use of all three data points can be utilized to distinguish and differentiate biochemicals. More than 2400 commercially available purified standard compounds have been acquired and registered into LIMS for distribution to both the LC and GC platforms for determination of their analytical characteristics.

**Chicken Embryo PSM Microdissection and Microarray**

PSM microdissection was performed as described (Chal et al., 2015). Stage 12HH chicken embryos were pinned on a silicon-coated petri dish in PBS. After removing the endoderm and the ectoderm, both the left and right posterior paraxial mesoderm from the same embryo were dissected into 10 pieces each. All the fragments were stored in Trizol (Invitrogen) at −80°C for subsequent RNA extraction. Biotinylated cRNA targets were prepared from total RNA using a double amplification protocol according to the GeneChip® Expression Analysis Technical Manual: Two-Cycle Target Labeling Assay (P/N 701021 Rev.5, Affymetrix, Santa Clara, USA). Following fragmentation, cRNAs were hybridized on GeneChip chicken Genome arrays. Each microarray was then washed and stained on a Genechip fluidics station 450 and scanned with a GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G. Finally, raw data (.CEL Intensity files) were extracted from the scanned images using the Affymetrix GeneChip Command Console (AGCC) version 3.1. CEL files were further processed with MASS and RMA algorithms using the Bioconductor package (version 2.8) available through R (version 2.12.1). Probe sets were filtered based on their expression intensity value (MASS value). Fold changes were compared between average of MAS values of each fragment for mouse triplicate microarray series (Chal et al., 2015) or chicken duplicate microarray series. Statistical comparisons were performed with unpaired two-tailed student t-test. Microarray data were deposited in GEO database under the accession number of GEO: GSE39613 for Mouse and GEO: GSE75798 for chicken samples.

**Measurement of Metabolic Activity**

The posterior end of day 9.5 CD1 mouse embryos and stage 11 HH chicken embryos were dissected into 3 parts corresponding to the levels of the posterior PSM (P-PSM), the anterior PSM (A-PSM) and the newly formed somites in cold PBS using tungsten needles (Figure 1A). For each analysis, fragments of the same level from 3 embryos were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and pooled. Whole cell lysates were prepared by pipetting and vortexing in PBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 and protease inhibitor cocktails (Roche). Each sample was normalized by measuring total protein levels using Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad). To analyze the effect of drugs on metabolic activity, stage 9 HH chicken embryos were incubated at 37°C with inhibitors in EC culture as described above. After 10 h of incubation, the posterior end of treated embryos was dissected and samples were prepared as described above. For chemically defined culture system, after 6h of incubation on culture plates which include DMEM/F12 w/o glucose medium, the posterior end of embryos was dissected and samples were prepared as described above. Cellular lactate levels were measured using a Lactate assay kit (biovision) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Cellular ATP levels were measured using ATPlite Luminescence ATP Detection Assay System (Perkin-Elmer) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Cytochrome-C oxidase activity was measured using CytoCHROME C oxidase assay kit (Sigma) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.
instructions. Biological triplicates of the experiments were performed, and experiments were reproduced at least twice for each measurement of metabolic activity. Values obtained for these assays were normalized by P-PSM or by control values to compare the different AP levels.

Time-Lapse Imaging and Axis Elongation Measurement
Stage 9HH chicken embryos were cultured ventral side up on a microscope stage using a custom built time-lapse station (Benazeraf et al., 2010). We used a computer controlled, wide-field (10 x objective) epifluorescent microscope (Leica DMR) workstation, equipped with a motorized stage and cooled digital camera (QImaging Retiga 1300i), to acquire 12-bit grayscale intensity images (492 x 652 pixels). For each embryo, several images corresponding to different focal planes and different fields were captured at each single time-point (frame). The acquisition rate used was 10 frames per hour (6 min between frames). To quantify axis elongation length, the image sequence was first registered to the last formed somite at the beginning of the time-lapse experiment (yellow asterisk in Figure 3), and the advancement of the Hensen’s Node was tracked as a function of time using the manual tracking plug-in in Image J (Denans et al., 2015).

Whole Mount In Situ Hybridization
Stage 9HH embryos were cultured with and without drugs at 38°C in EC culture. After 16 h of incubation with 2DG, 10hr incubation with D035901 embryos or 6h culture on alkaline plate (pH11) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Whole mount in situ hybridization was carried out as described (Henrique et al., 1995). Briefly, formaldehyde-fixed embryos were treated with protease and refixed with 4% formaldehyde/0.1% glutaraldehyde. Hybridization with DIG-labelled RNA probes was performed under stringent conditions (1.3 X SSC, 50% formamide at 65°C, pH5) in a buffer containing 0.2% Tween-20 and 0.5% CHAPS. Washed embryos were treated with Boehringer blocking reagent and incubated overnight in alkaline phosphatase-coupled anti-DIG antibody. After extensive washes, embryos were stained from 30 minutes to 16 hours. Probes for FGFl8 (Crossley and Martin, 1995), AXIN2 (Krol et al., 2011), CMESO1 (Buchberger et al., 1998), CMESPO (Buchberger et al., 2000), SPRY2 (Minowada et al., 1999), L-fng (Dale et al., 2003) and BRACHYURY (Denans et al., 2015) have been described. Probes for GLUT1, PFKP, PGK1, PKM, LDHB were generated from chicken embryo cDNA by PCR using published sequences (NCBI).

CMESPO Antibody Generation
cDNA coding for the full length chicken CMESPO was cloned in pET vector expression system (Novagen), expressed in E.coli. The recombinant protein was purified with His-Bind Kit (Novagen) and used to immunize rabbits (Cocalico Biologicals, Inc.). Sera were collected, assayed and validated by immunohistochemistry and used as anti-CMESPO/MESOGENIN1 polyclonal antibody.

Immunohistochemistry
For whole mount immuno-histochemistry, 9.5 day CD1 mouse embryos and stage 12HH chicken embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4°C overnight. Embryos were incubated with an antibody against Glut3 (1/300, Abcam) at 4°C overnight, and next with secondary antibodies conjugated with AlexaFluor (Molecular probes) at 4°C overnight. Images were captured using a laser scanning confocal microscope (TCS LSi; Leica) for Glut3 staining.

For histological analysis, stage 9HH chicken embryos cultured with or without 2DG for 16h were fixed in 4% PFA. Embryos were then embedded in OCT compound and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen sections (20µm) were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody, and after washing they were incubated overnight at 4°C with the secondary antibody conjugated with AlexaFluor (Molecular probes). We used antibodies against T/BRACHYURY (1/1000, R&D Systems: AF2085), SOX2 (1/1000, Millipore: ab5603), AXIN2 (1/1000, Millipore: ab5603), CTNNB1/β-Catenin (1/500, BD transduction laboratories: #610153): For CTNNB1 staining, antigen retrieval (Incubation with Target Retrieval Solution Citrate pH6 (DAKO) at 105°C for 10min) was needed before first antibody incubation. The BRACHYURY and SOX2 staining images were captured using a laser scanning confocal microscope with a 40X objective (TCS SP5; Leica). To image the whole PSM, we used the tiling and stitching function of the microscope (3 by 2 matrix). For CMESPO and CTNNB1 stainings, images were captured using a laser scanning confocal microscope with a 20X objective (TCS SP5; Leica). Whole images were created by tiling the scans of 8 images. High magnification images were captured with a 63X objective.

Plasmid Preparation and Electroporation
pCAGG-H2B-Venus and pCAGG-H2B-RFP have been described (Denans et al., 2015). Chicken embryos ranging from stage 6HH to stage 7HH were prepared for EC culture. A DNA solution (1.0-5.0 µg/µl) was microinjected in the space between the vitelline membrane and the epiblast surrounding the anterior primitive streak level, which contains the precursors of the paraxial mesoderm. In vitro electroporations were carried out with five successive square pulses of 8V for 50ms, keeping 4mm distance between anode and cathode using Petri dish type electrodes (CUY701P2, Nenpa Gene, Japan) and a CUY21 electroporator (Nepa Gene, Japan). This procedure only labels the superficial epiblast layer. For time-lapse analysis, after electroporation, embryos were re-incubated in a humidified incubator until they reached stage 9HH at 38°C, then embryos were transferred to the microscope stage for time-lapse imaging.
Cell Proliferation and Apoptosis Analysis
Stage 9 HH chicken embryos were cultured on agar plates containing 2DG or NaN3 at 38°C for 12 h. Embryos were fixed in 1% PFA at 4°C for 20 min. Whole mount TUNEL staining was performed using the ApopTag Red In Situ kit (#S7165; Millipore), and proliferating cells were stained using anti-Phospho-histone H3 (pH3) antibody (1/1000, Millipore). For the chemically defined culture system (in Figure S3), stage 11 HH chicken embryos were cultured on culture plates which include DMEM/F12 w/o glucose medium (with LysoTracker Red DND-99 (1/500, Fischer scientific) diluted in PBS for detecting apoptotic cells), after 3 hr culture embryos were fixed in 4% PFA 4°C for 20 min. Apoptotic cells were stained by LysoTracker Red and proliferating cells were stained as described above. Images were captured using a laser scanning confocal microscope (TCS SP5; Leica). Ratios of proliferating and apoptotic cells were calculated by manual counting for DAPI, pH3 and TUNEL positive cells.

Quantitative RT-PCR
Stage 9 HH embryos were cultured with or without 2mM 2DG and PD035901 at 38°C for 10 h. Then total RNA was extracted from dissected tailbud regions. 500ng~1μg total RNA was used as template for cDNA synthesis using the QuantiTect kit (Qiagen) or Super script III (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RT-PCR was performed using QuantiFast SYBR Green RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen) primers or iTag Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and run on a LightCycler 480II (Roche) or CFX384 Touch qPCR System (Bio-Rad). Beta-Actin was used as an internal control. Data were normalized by control samples.

Glucose Uptake
100μl 1mM 2-NDBG (Life technologies) was added both on top and below stage 11 to 12 HH chicken embryos and incubated at 38°C for 2 h in EC culture. After culture, embryos were fixed in 4% PFA for 20 min, and then washed with PBS for 5 min at least 3 times. Images were captured using a laser scanning confocal microscope (TCS SP5; Leica) or macroscope (TCS LSI; Leica).

Image Acquisition and Processing
All fluorescent images were acquired on Leica SP5 or LSI systems. Images were processed with Imagej Fiji and Adobe Photoshop, and MAX projection images are shown in Figures. Whole mount in situ images were captured on Leica Z16 APO systems and DFC 420C camera, and Images were processed with Adobe Photoshop. Scale bars were measured using Imagej Fiji for confocal images, and by referencing somite size of control embryos for in situ images.

Extracellular pH Measurement
Stage 9HH embryos were incubated with and without 2DG in EC culture at 38°C for 7 h. Next, embryos were transferred either to control plates (pH 9.6), 2DG-containing plates or alkaline plates (pH 11). Then 100μl 25μM pH sensitive dye (pHrodo Red intracellular pH Indicator: Lifetechonologies) was added both on top and below embryos, which were then incubated at 38°C for 3 h. Embryos were then washed once in PBS, and mounted on MatTek glass-bottom dishes dorsal side up on a thin albumin agar layer (control, 2DG or Alkaline). Images were captured with a laser scanning confocal microscope (TCS SP5; Leica) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere. In this protocol, pH indicator was mainly trapped on extracellular matrix or cellular membrane regions, indicating that it measures the extracellular pH. After image capture, z-stacks (.lif) of individual embryos were rendered in FluoRender to create maximum projection images centered on the midline of each embryo (cropped to leave ~400μm on each side of the midline). Intensities were measured on these images using the region of interest (ROI) and plot z-profile functionalities in ImageJ. Unpaired t-tests were applied when comparing average intensities between different experimental conditions. Paired t-tests were applied when comparing between regions the embryo.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Cell Trajectory Analysis
To study the motility of cells in the presomitic mesoderm, we analyzed cell trajectories in the posterior region of the PSM in an area of about 400 μm representing 1/3 of the PSM length, as shown schematically with a box in Figures 3G–3I. Because of the small depth of the PSM with respect to its area, the motion of the cells can be considered two dimensional. From the trajectories of cells we obtain their time-averaged displacement, or the mean square displacement, given by: 

\[
\text{MSD} = \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle, \quad \text{where } \Delta r(t) = r(t) - r(0)
\]

defines the distance that the cell travels in a time t, known as the lag time. When the diffusive motion in coupled with a drifting flow, the MSD is given by

\[
\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle = 4Dt + \nu^2 t^2.
\]  

(Equation 1)

where \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient and \(\nu\) is the drift, related to the overall movement of the tissue (Qian et al., 1991). For each cell trajectory, the MSD is calculated and adjusted with Equation 1 to obtain \(D\). Cell tracking was performed on fluorescent images using the Mosaic plugin (MOSAIC Group, MPI-CBG, Dresden) for ImageJ (Sbalzarini and Koumoutsakos, 2005). Further analyses to extract \(D\) were done using custom made Matlab (MathWorks) routines.
**Metabolome Statistical Analysis**

Missing values (if any) are assumed to be below the level of detection. However, biochemicals that were detected in all samples from one or more groups but not in samples from other groups were assumed to be near the lower limit of detection in the groups in which they were not detected. In this case, the lowest detected level of these biochemicals was imputed for samples in which that biochemical was not detected. Following log transformation and imputation with minimum observed values for each compound, Welch’s two-sample t-test was used to identify biochemicals that differed significantly between experimental groups. Pathways were assigned for each metabolite, allowing examination of overrepresented pathways. Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using Pearson correlation coefficient with the MeV 4.9 (TM4) software.

**Other Statistical Analyses**

Statistical significance for the comparisons between two groups of data (Table S1 and S2; Figure 3G and 6D), were assessed with unpaired two-tailed student t-test. Statistical significance for multiple groups comparison, (Figures 1E–1G, 2E–2G, 3D–3F, 4A–4C, 4K–4L, and S5), were performed with one-way ANOVA and Tukey multiple comparison tests using GraphPad 6 (Prism).

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

Chicken embryos microarray data described in this paper have been deposited in GEOMNIBUS under accession code GEO: GSE75798.