## Sakha Quantificational Particles in Comparative Perspective

Ian Lewis Kirby

(Harvard University)

Website: ilkirby.com / scholar.harvard.edu/ikirby Email: ikirby@g.harvard.edu

Всероссийской научно-практической конференции с международным участием «XVIII Семеновские чтения», посвященной 120-летию А.И. Семенова (All-Russian scientific and practical conference with international participation 18th Semenov Readings)

link: scholar.harvard.edu/ikirby/handouts



#### Introduction

- Sakha has a many intriguing quantificational particles. This paper explores two series:

  - $\triangleright$   $\partial yy$ ,  $\partial yo$ —particles which form questions.
- Here we describe the main functions of these particles, based elicitations with native speakers of the Vilyuy dialect (вилюйская).
  - ▶ Multifunctionality in  $\ni p\ni$ ,  $\partial a$ ,  $\partial yy$
  - Cross-linguistic comparison of Sakha and Japanese particles

#### Main functions: Indefinites with эрэ, эмэ

- ▶ *эрэ* (*ere*) forms existential quantifiers:
- (1) Muh δəҕəhəə κυми əpə κορ∂γм.
   I yesterday who-ACC PTCL see-PST-1SG
   'I saw somebody yesterday.'
  - > эмэ (eme)—speaker-unknown, e.g. in conditionals (2a), yes-no questions (2b), with modal predicates (2c):
- - b. **Ханнык эмэ** сылаас утах баар дуо? which PTCL warm drink exist Q 'Are there any warm drinks available?'
  - c. Мин сарсын **myzy** эмэ аадыхлын сөп. I tomorrow what-ACC PTCL read-PROSP-1SG can 'I can read something (or other) tomorrow.'

## Main functions: Indefinites with $\partial a/\partial a_5 a \mu \omega$ , $\delta a_5 a p a p$

- ► δα5apap (bayarar)—universal, free-choice indefinites:
- (3) Мин тугу бађарар аађыхпын сөп. I what-ACC PTCL eat-PROSP-1sg can 'I can read anything (anything whatsoever).'
  - $\triangleright$   $\partial a$  (da), and the longer variant  $\partial a_5 a_1 b_1 (dayani)$  indefinites licensed by negation (4a), in comparatives (4b)
- (4) a. *Muн бэђэһээ* **myzy да/дађаны** аахпатым.

  I yesterday what-ACC PTCL read-PST-NEG-1sG
  'I didn't read anything yesterday.'
  - b. Мин бэдэнээ кимнээдэр да/даданы түргэнник I yesterday what-CMPR PTCL quickly  $c\gamma\gamma p\partial\gamma M$ . run-PST-1SG 'I ran faster than anyone yesterday.'

## Main functions: Question particles $\partial yo$ , $\partial yy$

- Sakha has two question-particles:  $\partial yo$ , which appears at the end of a yes/no question (5) (see also (2b)), and  $\partial yy$ , which appears doubled, at the end of two clauses in alternative or questions (6):
- (5) Студэннарын бэбэнээ кэлэ сылдыйыттара дуо? student-Poss-2sg yesterday come-сvb come-Pst-3pl Q 'Did your students come over yesterday?'
- (6) Чэй инэрин дуу пирожнай сиирин дуу? tea drink-AOR-2SG Q cookie eat-AOR-2SG Q 'Would you like to drink tea or eat cookies?'
  - ▶ Neither  $\partial yo$  nor  $\partial yy$  combine with interrogative pronouns to form indefinite pronouns.

#### Multifunctionality

- ▶ In addition to the large number of distinct particles in Sakha, another important aspect is the fact that many are MULTIFUNCTIONAL (i.e. perform more than one syntactic role).
  - ► Accidental homophony?
  - ▶ Reflective of a shared meaning across roles?
- ▶ Multifunctionality is a major theme in the literature on particles, e.g. König (1991), Haspelmath (1997), Slade (2011), Szabolcsi (2015, 2018), Uegaki (2018), Xiang (2020), Mitrović (2021).

## Multifunctionality: Focus marking with $pp_{\vartheta}$ , $\partial a$

- ▶  $\partial p_{\partial}$  and  $\partial a \sim \partial a_{\delta}a_{H}u$  can both function as **focus** markers.  $\partial p_{\partial}$  signals exclusive *only* focus (7a);  $\partial a \sim \partial a_{\delta}a_{H}u$  signals counter-expectational scalar additive focus (7b).
- (7) **Context:** A dinner where there are multiple types of food served, including {bread, fish, berries}.
  - a. Дъулус килиэл эрэ сиэбитэ.
    Djulus bread PTCL eat-PST-3SG
    'Djulus ate only BREAD.' (=D. ate bread and no other alternatives)
  - b. Дъулус килиэп да/дађаны сизбитэ.
     Djulus bread PTCL eat-PST-3SG
     'Djulus even ate BREAD.' (=D. ate and 1+ alternative; bread unexpected)
  - ► Focus=reasoning about relationship between **ordinary** value (i.e. the proposition without focus) and its contextual focus alternatives (Rooth 1985, 1992, Chierchia 2013).
  - $\triangleright$   $\ni p_{\vartheta}$ : ordinary value is uniquely true among alternatives.  $\mathcal{A}a$ : ordinary value is least expected alternative.

#### Multifunctionality: coordination

- ► Another function: marking each member of a coordination structure in declarative sentences. A ∂a Б ∂a (A ∂аҕаны Б ∂аҕаны) means 'both A and B' (8a), A ∂yy Б ∂yy means 'A or B' (8b).
- - Дъулус кофе дуу чэй дуу испитэ.
     Djulus coffee Q tea Q drink-pst-3sg
     'Djulus drank (either) coffee or tea.'
  - ▶ The difference between  $\partial yy...\partial yy$  in declaratives (8b) and in alternative questions (6) is what the particle attaches to: the right of a clause for questions, the right of the alternatives (sub-clausal) for declaratives.

#### Cross-linguistic considerations

- ▶ Do multifunctional particles have a single meaning across their uses?
- Growing literature on the cross-linguistic distribution of quantificational particles.
  - ▶ Japanese -mo and -ka are two well-studied quantifier particles, and often serve as an analytical baseline (see Kratzer & Shimoyama 2002, Shimoyama 2006, Slade 2011, Szabolcsi 2015, 2018, Uegaki 2018, Mitrović & Sauerland 2014, 2016, Mitrović 2021). They display wide meanings across narrow grammatical contexts.

#### In comparison to Japanese -mo, -ka

▶ Japanese *dare* means 'who,' while *dake* means 'only.' See Appendix for examples.

		Sakha		Japanese
i.	yes-no question	дуо	(5)	ka
ii.	or question	дуудуу	(6)	kaka
iii.	declarative $or$	дуудуу	(8b)	kaka
iv.	someone (or other)	ким эмэ	(2)	dare-ka
v.	someone	ким <b>эрэ</b>	(1)	
vi.	exclusive focus (only)	эрэ	(7a)	dake
vii.	conjunction	дада	(8a)	momo
viii.	scalar focus (even)	да/ дађаны	(7b)	$\mathbf{mo},  \mathrm{demo}$
ix.	anyone (negative)	ким да/ дађа-	(4)	dare-mo
		ны		
x.	anyone (free-choice)	ким бађарар	(3)	dare-de <b>mo</b>

Table: Comparison of Japanese and Sakha particle systems. (Kratzer & Shimoyama 2002, Shimoyama 2006, Szabolcsi 2015, 2018, Mitrović & Sauerland 2014, 2016, Mitrović 2021, Uegaki 2018). Examples in appendix.

- ▶ Blue: Jpn. -ka translates to Sakha  $\partial yo$  (i),  $\partial yy$  (ii, iii),  $\mathfrak{I}M\mathfrak{I}$  (iv),  $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}$  (v).
- ▶ Red: Jpn. -mo/-demo translates to Sakha  $\partial a \sim \partial a_5 a n \omega$  (vii, viii, ix) and  $\delta a_5 a p a p$  (x).
- ► Gray: Sakha *∋pэ* translates to -ka (iv), dake (vi).

		Sakha	Japanese
i.	yes-no question	дуо	ka
ii.	or question	дуудуу	ka…ka
iii.	declarative $or$	дуудуу	ka…ka
iv.	someone (or other)	ким <b>эмэ</b>	dare- <b>ka</b>
v.	someone	ким эрэ	
vi.	exclusive focus (only)	эрэ	dake
vii.	conjunction	дада	momo
viii.	scalar focus (even)	да/ дађаны	$\mathbf{mo}$ , de $\mathbf{mo}$
ix.	anyone (negative)	ким да/ дађа-	dare-mo
		ны	
x.	anyone (free-choice)	ким бађарар	dare-de <b>mo</b>

▶ We do not observe 'mix-and-match' patterns. Subset relations.

## Grammatical comparison: -ka, $\partial yy$ coordination

- ▶ In or questions, -ka,  $\partial yy$  attach to a clause (9), (10).
- (9) Hanako-ga hasitta-mitai-ka Jiro-ga hashitta-mitai-ka (osheite). Hanako-nom ran-seem-Q Jiro-nom ran-seem-Q (tell)
  '(tell me): Was it either Hanako or Jiro who seemed to run?'
  (Uegaki 2018: 7) (Japanese)
- (10) Дъулус кофе ucnumə дуу, Туйара (кофе (ucnumə)) дуу?

  Djulus coffee drank Q Tuyara (coffee (drank)) Q

  'Was it Djulus or Tuyara who drank coffee?' (Sakha)
  - ▶ In declaratives (11), (12), particle attaches to constituents smaller than clause (to the alternatives):
- (11) [Hanako-ka Jiro-ka]-ga hashitta. [Hanako-Q Jiro-Q]-NOM run.PST 'Either Hanako or Jiro ran.' (Uegaki 2018: 3) (Japanese)

#### Conclusion

- ▶ We have examined the distribution of two main groups of Sakha quantificational particles: those that build indefinites with question pronouns (i.e.  $\partial a \sim \partial a \delta a u u$ ,  $\partial p \partial u$ ,  $\partial a \partial u \partial u$ , and those that build questions (i.e.  $\partial y u$ ,  $\partial u \partial u$ ).
- ▶ We have also examined multifunctionality observed in  $\partial a \sim \partial a_5 a \mu u$ ,  $\partial p_{\bar{j}}$ ,  $\partial yy$ .
- ▶ While Sakha particles present unique arrays of functions, they can nevertheless be situated within broad classes of cross-linguistic particles that have been identified in the literature.

# Mахтал! Спасибо! Thank you!

Glossing: 1,2,3= first- second-, third-person, ACC=accusative case, AOR=aorist (non-past), COND=conditional mood, CVB=converb, NOM=nominative case, NEG=negation, PL=plural, POSS=possessive, PROSP=prospective participle, PST=past tense, past participle; PTCL=particle, Q=question/disjunction particle, SG=singular.

I would like to thank my Sakha consultants for sharing their language with me.

#### References I

- Chierchia, Gennaro. 2013. Logic in grammar: Polarity, free choice, and intervention. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 1997. *Indefinite pronouns*. Clarendon Press.
- Imani, Ikumi. 2020. Negation. In Wesley M. Jacobsen & Yukinori Takubo (eds.), Handbook of Japanese Semantics and Pragmatics, 495–535. De Gruyter.
- König, Ekkehard. 1991. The Meaning of Focus Particles: A Comparative Perspective. Routledge.
- Kratzer, Angelika & Junko Shimoyama. 2002. Indeterminate pronouns: The view from japanese. In Yukio Otsu (ed.), *The proceedings of the third tokyo conference on pyscholinguistics*, Hituzi Syobo, Tokyo.
- Mitrović, Moreno. 2021. Superparticles: A microsemantic theory, typology, and history of logical atoms. Springer.

#### References II

- Mitrović, Moreno & Uli Sauerland. 2014. Decomposing coordination. In Jyoti Iyer & Leland Kusmer (eds.), Nels 44, .
- Mitrović, Moreno & Uli Sauerland. 2016. Two conjunctions are better than one. *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 63.
- Nakanishi, Kimiko. 2006. Even, only, and Negative Polarity in Japanese. In M. Gibson & J. Howell (eds.), Salt XVI, 138–155.
- Rooth, M. 1985. Association with focus: University of Massachusetts, Amherst dissertation.
- Rooth, M. 1992. A theory of focus interpretation. *Natural Language Semantics* 1, 75–117.
- Shimoyama, Junko. 2006. Indeterminate phrase quantification in japanese. *Natural Language Semantics* 14.
- Shimoyama, Junko. 2011. Japanese Indeterminate Negative Polarity Items and their scope. *Journal of Semantics* 28.

#### References III

- Slade, Benjamin M. 2011. Formal and Philological Inquiries into the Nature of Interrogatives, Indefinites, Disjunction, and Focus in Sinhala and Other Languages: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign dissertation.
- Szabolcsi, Anna. 2015. What do quantifier particles do? Linguistics and Philosophy 38. 159–204.
- Szabolcsi, Anna. 2018. Two types of quantifier particles: Quantifier-phrase internal vs. heads on the clausal spine. Glossa~3(1).~1-32.
- Uegaki, Wataru. 2018. A unified semantics fo the Japanese Q-particles ka in indefinites, questions and disjunctions. Glossa: a journal of general linguistics (14). 1–45.
- Xiang, Yimei. 2020. functional Alternations of the Mandarin Particle *Dou*: Distributor, Free Choice Licensor, and 'Even'. *Journal of Semantics* (37). 171–217.

#### Appendix: Japanese data I

b.

- ▶ Jpn. -mo appears as an even focus marker (13a), doubled conjunction (13b), negative indefinites (13c). -demo focus marker (13a), free-choice indefinites (13d).
- (13)John-wa [Hon A]-mo/-demo yon-da. John-top [book A]-Ptcl/-ptcl read-pst 'John even read BOOK A.' (Nakanishi 2006: 142) Takashi-wa [tuukan-siken-ni-mo] kimatu-siken-ni-mo]
  - Takashi-top [midterm-exam-dat-ptcl term.end-exam-dat-ptcl] ukatta. passed 'Takashi passed both the midterm and the final.' (Shimoyama **2011**: 439)
  - Dare-mo utaw-ana-katta. C., who-PTCL sing-NEG-PST 'Nobody sang.' (Imani 2020: 497)
  - d. Dare-demo utae-masu. who-ptcl sing-can 'Anyone can sing.'
  - When the interrogative pronoun carries pitch accent and is marked for case, -mo also forms universal quantifiers (14).



## Appendix: Japanese data II

- (14) **Dáre-mo-ga** utatta. who-PTCL-NOM sing.PST 'Everybody sang.' (Imani 2020: 498)
  - ▶ Jpn. -ka appears in a variety of types of questions, including yes-no questions (15a), in content questions (15b) (i.e. wh-questions), and doubled in alternative questions (15c).
- (15) a. Hanako-ga hashitta-**ka**? Hanako-nom run.pst-q 'Did Hanako run?' (Uegaki 2018: 13)
  - b. Dare-ga hashitta-ka? who-nom run.pst-q 'Who ran?' (Uegaki 2018: 12)
  - c. Hanako-ga hasitta-mitae-**ka** Jiro-ga hashitta-mitai-**ka** Hanako-nom ran-seem-Q Jiro-nom ran-seem-Q (osheite).

    (tell)

'(Tell me) which is true: It seems that Hanako ran or it seems that Jiro ran?' (Uegaki 2018: 7)

#### Appendix: Japanese data III

- ▶ -ka also appears in declarative disjunction for sub-clausal elements (16a) (note that the second -ka is optional), as well as with existential quantifiers when -ka appears with a host interrogative pronoun (16b)
- (16) a. [Hanako-**ka** Jiro-**ka**]-ga hashitta. [Hanako-Q Jiro-Q]-NOM ran.PST 'Either Hanako or Jiro ran.' (Uegaki 2018: 3)
  - b. **Dare-<u>ka</u>-ga** hashitta. who-Q-NOM run.PST 'Somebody ran.' (Uegaki 2018: 3)
- (17) Hanako-**dake**-ga hashitta. Hanako-only.PTCL-NOM run.PST 'Only HANAKO ran.'