

Multiplexed Single-Cell Imaging: Past, Present and Future

Running title: Multiplexed Single-Cell Imaging

Jia-Ren Lin

HMS LINCS Center, Laboratory of Systems Pharmacology

Harvard Medical School Boston, MA. 02115

Jia-Ren Lin from the Laboratory of Systems Pharmacology at Harvard Medical School, was awarded best poster at the annual Society of Biomolecular Imaging and Informatics (SBI2) meeting held in Boston, September 2016. His work focuses on single-cell imaging, especially on developing new methods for simultaneously detecting many antigens, named Cyclic Immunofluorescence (CycIF). This method could be applied in different stages of drug development, from discovery phase, preclinical research to clinical research. The current works and future directions of CycIF method are summarized in the following overview.

1 **The need for high-dimensional single-cell measurements**

2 Our lab, in common with many others in the field of cancer research and drug discovery, is
3 highly interested in studying the molecular and cellular heterogeneity in human preclinical (e.g.
4 cell lines) and clinical samples (e.g. tumor sections, blood). This is particularly important for a
5 better understanding of the efficacy of drugs and the molecular origins of drug resistance. To
6 this end, a comprehensive view of intercellular heterogeneity involving measurements at single-
7 cell resolution is crucial. Multiplexed imaging is an ideal tool to probe not only high-dimensional
8 single-cell data in heterogeneous samples, but also the encoded spatial information in such
9 samples.

10 **Multiplexed imaging, a decade ago**

11 Early attempts toward multiplexed single-cell imaging included a “toponome” which used
12 photobleaching fluorophores prior to applying the next round of antibodies.¹ A related method,
13 array tomography, used serial sections to reconstruct “3D” multiplexed images to investigate, for
14 example, brain synaptic “molecular architectures”.² Although toponomics is a powerful method
15 for high-content and highly multiplexed imaging, it has not been widely adopted in either basic
16 or clinical research. This may be due to an unstable and ineffective photobleaching step. This
17 process is highly antibody-specific and is typically done by scanning the sample using a
18 microscope, a process that is too slow for large regions of interest. Thus the search for new
19 multiplexed methods continued.

20 **Current technology of multiplexed imaging**

21 *Imaging CyTOF and Multiplexed ion-beam imaging*

22 Recently, several new technologies based on state-of-the-art mass cytometry have been
23 developed for multiplexed imaging of tissue sections. Imaging CyTOF (Cytometry by Time of
24 Flight) and multiplexed ion-beam imaging (MIBI) are two platforms that enable simultaneous
25 probing of up to 100 targets in a single sample.^{3,4} These methods have opened up new avenues
26 for understanding heterogeneity in solid tumors, analogous to the use of mass cytometry for
27 liquid tumors.⁵ Furthermore, the data from these approaches have stimulated the development of
28 a variety of statistical and computational tools for understanding multidimensional single-cell
29 behaviors. However, the cost of instruments and reagents required to carry out such experiments

30 has proven prohibitive in many cases, and the scanning speed remains a significant throughput
31 bottleneck.

32 *CLARITY, SWITCH and Expansion microscopy*

33 A more conventional way to achieve multi-color imaging is to strip antibodies and restrain
34 samples. Many methods using this principle have been developed in recent years, but most of
35 them are optimized for formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections with only a small
36 number of antibodies, limited by the number of rounds of immunolabeling. The main problem
37 lies in the stripping step; complete removal of antibodies often requires treatments deleterious to
38 the sample. More recently, methods like CLARITY, SWITCH and expansion microscopy have
39 combined chemical transformation of samples and antibody stripping to probe more than 20
40 different markers in modified tissue sections.⁶⁻⁸ Despite the advantage of these “sample
41 strengthening” approaches in removing auto-fluorescence, application of different processing
42 steps might change antigenicity toward antibodies used in current clinical workflows.

43 *Cyclic immunofluorescence and related approaches*

44 In 2013, the GE global research center published a multiplexed imaging method called MxIF
45 (brand name: Multiomyx).⁹ This method combined novel chemical inactivation procedures with
46 iterative staining and imaging to achieve highly multiplexed images of FFPE tissue sections.
47 However, the method has not been widely used since its inception, due to the requirement for the
48 end user to purchase several costly proprietary components. Two academic groups, including our
49 own, have published “open-source” methods to accomplish high-dimensional analysis in tissue
50 sections (Multi-Dimensional Microscopic Molecular Profiling (MMMP) by Pat Brown and
51 colleagues at Stanford university) and in cultured cells (Cyclic Immunofluorescence (CycIF) by
52 our group).^{10,11} All three methods are based on the same principle: using chemical inactivation
53 followed by re-immunolabeling with fluorophore-conjugated antibodies. Among the advantages
54 of these cyclic immunolabeling methods are: easier implementation, no requirement for special
55 instrumentation, and compatibility with other fluorescence-based assays. In addition, our CycIF
56 approach can be easily applied to high-content and high-throughput screens at very low cost, so
57 it should be highly useful method for drug discovery and preclinical research.

58 **The origin of Cyclic Immunofluorescence**

59 The path toward CycIF began when I joined the Laboratory of Systems Pharmacology (LSP) at
60 Harvard Medical School. Inspired by other multiplexed imaging methods, I began to develop an
61 efficient way to profile high-dimensional heterogeneity in cultured cells. Trained as a molecular
62 biologist, I was familiar with the “stripping” technique used in Western blotting for probing
63 multiple targets in the same sample. However, antibody stripping is often too aggressive to be
64 applied to adherent cells in culture. Another Western blotting technique is the application of
65 sodium azide to quench enzymatic activity of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated to
66 secondary antibodies; it allows for re-application of antibodies from different species. This type
67 of “chemical quenching” is the key to CycIF. With some luck, I found that an easy-to-make
68 solution consisting of hydrogen peroxide and sodium hydroxide is highly effective at inactivating
69 Alexa-based fluorophores without damaging the samples. We also developed different methods
70 for combining CycIF with live-cell imaging and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH),
71 allowing us to expand the measurements to include both time and transcription dimensions. The
72 power of high-dimensional single-cell data has perhaps been most clearly demonstrated by mass
73 cytometry, a technology from which we have inherited many analysis tools. CycIF data have
74 also been analyzed using these tools as published in our original paper and follow-up studies.
75 We are now applying CycIF to blood samples, where the “imaging cytometry” approach not only
76 allows us to obtain multiparametric readings of liquid biopsies, but also enables us to
77 immunoprofile clinical specimens.

78 **The future of CycIF**

79 We continue to optimize our protocols and update the list of antibodies compatible with CycIF; a
80 list of these antibodies is available on our website ([http://lincs.hms.harvard.edu/lin-natcommun-](http://lincs.hms.harvard.edu/lin-natcommun-2015/)
81 [2015/](http://lincs.hms.harvard.edu/lin-natcommun-2015/)). By promoting CycIF as an open-source multiplexed imaging platform, we allow a larger
82 scientific community to access otherwise costly propriety methods; this may lead to the rapid
83 development of new applications and tools for multiplexed imaging and analysis. In the
84 meantime, we continue to explore further applications of CycIF in our group: from super-
85 resolution imaging of molecular architectures to whole organism imaging for developmental
86 studies. We have also extended our method to FFPE tissue sections from patients to achieve
87 “multidimensional digital pathology”. Such highly multiplexed molecular maps with spatial
88 information will allow us to ask questions unaddressable by several contemporary technologies.

89 For example, we can now ask: “How many different cell types are in the samples and how are
90 the cell types spatially organized?”, “How much heterogeneity can we detect before and after
91 drug treatment?”, and “How do different subpopulations respond to different treatments?” In
92 conclusion, we envision that the translation of the aforementioned experimental methodologies
93 and analytic workflows from bench to bedside will permit more rapid drug development and
94 biomarker discovery.

References

1. Schubert W, Bonnekoh B, Pommer AJ, et al. Analyzing proteome topology and function by automated multidimensional fluorescence microscopy. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2006;24:1270–8. doi:10.1038/nbt1250.
2. Micheva KD, Smith SJ. Array tomography: a new tool for imaging the molecular architecture and ultrastructure of neural circuits. *Neuron.* 2007;55:25–36. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.06.014.
3. Angelo M, Bendall SC, Finck R, et al. Multiplexed ion beam imaging of human breast tumors. *Nat Med.* 2014;20:436–442. doi:10.1038/nm.3488.
4. Cappella P, Gasparri F. Highly multiplexed phenotypic imaging for cell proliferation studies. *J Biomol Screen.* 2014;19:145–57. doi:10.1177/1087057113495712.
5. Bendall SC, Davis KL, Amir E-AD, et al. Single-cell trajectory detection uncovers progression and regulatory coordination in human B cell development. *Cell.* 2014;157:714–25. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.005.
6. Chen F, Tillberg PW, Boyden ES. Optical imaging. Expansion microscopy. *Science.* 2015;347:543–8. doi:10.1126/science.1260088.
7. Murray E, Cho JH, Goodwin D, et al. Simple, Scalable Proteomic Imaging for High-Dimensional Profiling of Intact Systems. *Cell.* 2015;163:1500–14. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.025.
8. Chung K, Deisseroth K. CLARITY for mapping the nervous system. *Nat Methods.* 2013;10:508–13. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2481.
9. Gerdes MJ, Sevinsky CJ, Sood A, et al. Highly multiplexed single-cell analysis of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded cancer tissue. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013;110:11982–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.1300136110.
10. Riordan DP, Varma S, West RB, Brown PO. Automated Analysis and Classification of Histological Tissue Features by Multi-Dimensional Microscopic Molecular Profiling.

PLoS One. 2015;10:e0128975. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128975.

11. Lin J-R, Fallahi-Sichani M, Sorger PK. Highly multiplexed imaging of single cells using a high-throughput cyclic immunofluorescence method. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:8390. doi:10.1038/ncomms9390.