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Prologue
Illustrating a text or a story with pictures was a common practice in ancient South Asia. On the walls of the famed Ajanta caves in Deccan (Maharashtra, India) survive a great number of stories told in picture from the fifth century. A reference to the practice of showing painting (citra) to tell a story in Patañjali’s Mahābhāṣya, first discussed by Coomaraswamy in 1929, also seems to suggest the longevity of the tradition of story-telling in picture in South Asia. In Bengal (West Bengal in India and Bangladesh), the celebrated patua or pat painting tradition may go back many centuries before the earliest extant examples (c. 18th century). Given this longstanding tradition of illustrating stories with pictures, it may come as a surprise to find that the earliest surviving illustrated manuscripts from South Asia date to the turn of the first millennium, and that most surviving early manuscripts with paintedfolios belong to the Buddhist circles, and they are not of some well-known Indic epic like the Rāmāyaṇa or the Bhāgavata purāṇa.

The Buddhist stories may not be the most action-packed kinds, and they are deeply didactic, but the Indic Buddhist literature does not lack narratives and fables, and the Buddha himself is featured as a master storyteller. However, the most common text chosen to make an illustrated manuscript during the period from the turn of the first millennium into the 13th century is the Prajñāpāramitā, especially the Aṣṭasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā [the Perfection of Wisdom in Eight Thousand Verses, henceforth AsP]. This is an important Mahāyāna sūtra expounding the doctrinal concept of emptiness/voidness (śūnyatā), which is epitomized in the Buddhist axiom, “Form is Emptiness, Emptiness is Form.” Why was this metaphysical text chosen for illustration? How was it illustrated?

Answers to these questions explain what a book (pustaka), a term used to refer to these manuscripts in accompanying colophons, might have meant for those who made and used them in medieval South Asia. First, the Prajñāpāramitā articulates most clearly the idea that a manuscript in Indic Buddhist circles was
not just a text but also a potent cultic object. This is one of the foremost reasons why the *Prajñāpāramitā* was chosen for making illustrated manuscripts. Secondly, this text’s doctrinal and cultic importance was communicated through a programmatic and holistic approach to illustration. The method of manuscript illustration thus developed is akin to designing a temple’s iconographic program, which ultimately conveys the power and presence of its central deity through statues in niches and relief panels on the highly regulated surface.

A manuscript was conceived as a three-dimensional space in which rectangular painted panels were systematically placed to signify the doctrinal and cultic importance of the text, which from about 1100 CE onwards became increasingly interpretive and innovative. It is in this context of burgeoning illustrated manuscript production that we find one of the most profusely illustrated manuscripts known to us, which attempts to illustrate the text directly, and not just at the metonymic level. And this manuscript, now in the British Library (Or.13940), most likely hails from somewhere in southern Bengal.

**Illustrated manuscripts of Bengal**

This chapter explores notable characteristics of illustrated manuscripts produced in Bengal (Bangladesh and West Bengal in India). Most of the surviving illustrated palm-leaf manuscripts from Bengal were prepared in the 12th century. As we will see in this chapter, they bear out and confirm the general cultural map of the period already identified in other visual and archaeological evidence, especially the distinctive artistic styles of Southern Bengal (the ‘Vaṅga style’) vis-à-vis Northern Bengal (the ‘Varendra style’). The manuscripts bearing the Varman-era dates exemplify a distinctive pictorial style, and were written in a script akin to Gaudiya (so called proto-Bengali) script, distinct from the kutiḷa (hooked) or siddhamātrikā script commonly used in manuscripts prepared in a
Magadhan monastic center like Nalanda in Bihar, India (see fig. 1). In fact, their production site may have been somewhere in today’s Munshiganj district (area where the ancient capital of Vikramapura was located), where copper plate inscriptions of the Varman kings have been found. Recent archaeological excavations in the area by a team led by Sufi Mostafizur Rahman of Jahangirnagar University support the strong presence of Buddhist institutions along with a number of superb examples of Buddhist sculptures collected from the area earlier in the twentieth century.9

Identifying manuscripts prepared elsewhere in Bengal proves much more problematic: they are not as homogeneous in terms of their style as the Vaṅga group, and the production sites are often unknown or difficult to identify. Exact geographical locations of the medieval toponyms are seldom identifiable even if there is a colophon providing patronage and scribal information with place names. Nonetheless, a number of 12th century manuscripts collectively seem to represent the artistic creativity and ingenuity of manuscript makers of Bengal. In comparison to contemporaneous examples from Magadhan sites, these manuscripts feature paintings with more ornate backdrops, which aligns well with the characteristics of the ‘Varendra’ style in contemporary sculpture. They also use pictorial space on each painted page more creatively, which seems to have begun with the experiments seen in the Vaṅga group and was quickly picked up elsewhere in Bengal and beyond.

In thinking about illustrated manuscripts in regional terms, I follow in the footsteps of J.P. Losty who in a series of pioneering publications suggested three regional categories of Bengal, Bihar, and Nepal, in Buddhist manuscripts. We should also consider what the regional differentiation means in the larger historical and cultural context. In the context of this chapter, I suggest that the distinctive visual languages developed in 12th-century Bengal relate to how the people at the time saw their world in both regional and trans-regional contexts. Given the unique visual languages seen in the Vaṅga group, we may even suggest that illustrated manuscripts from this corner of Bengal may be signaling a sense of a vernacular identity rooted in a specific place.

Fig. 2: Folios 536b-537a, Vaṅga Ms 1, Metropolitan Museum of Art, 55.121.36.6 (top); 55.121.36.4 (bottom). Image: www.metmuseum.org.
On paleography, provenance, and a vernacular Identity

Losty departs from previous art historical scholarship by paying close attention to palaeography and accompanying colophons. I believe we can take this methodological intervention further by considering the implication of palaeographic variations in contemporary manuscript writing practices in comparison to epigraphic practices seen in stone and copper plate inscriptions. It is easy to take the manuscript’s palaeographic characteristics as signaling the provenance of its production, but this is not straightforwardly so, partly due to the cultic nature of illustrated manuscripts outlined above. The most formal type of a script like the siddhamātrkā seems to have been deemed most suitable for creating a cultic, often ceremonial, object. In fact, the study of illustrated manuscripts would benefit greatly from a more in-depth analysis of palaeographic types developed in the 11th and the 12th centuries to identify a pattern of variations in scribal practices that may or may not have been tied to a region. For example, I would challenge a century-old assumption that every rounded top script is ‘Newari’ (called bhuji mmola), which then supports the Nepalese provenance of a manuscript. Scholars have proposed various hypotheses to explain the combination of ‘Pāla miniatures with Nepalese script’ or a Pāla regnal year with a supposedly Nepalese script. But if we accept the possibility of this particular type of a round-top script being in use for writing manuscripts in the area of Magadha (today’s Bihar, India), we do not need to hypothesize so much about the circumstances of their production and can appreciate the contribution of the makers of these manuscripts more straightforwardly.

The use of a round-top script in manuscripts prepared in the Pāla domain may point to loosening of the convention in painted manuscript production in Magadhan monastic centers where the norm was to use the siddhamātrkā script also commonly used in official documents (i.e. land grants and royal and monastic prāṣasti inscriptions). In a similar vein, that some manuscripts from Bengal were written in a script specific to manuscript writing that scholars associate with the development of the Gauḍiṣya or proto-Bengali script is an important historical phenomenon to recognize (see the example in figure 1). A Sanskrit Buddhist scripture prepared as a cultic object was written in a script that could be tied to the development of the vernacular as a written language, whether it is Maithili or Bengali. This palaeographic choice in these illustrated manuscripts can be understood in the context of the formation of a vernacular identity.

Manuscripts of Vaṅga

We know of at least two illustrated manuscripts bearing the regnal years of a Varman king, Harivarman, whose political center was located in the area
around Vikramapura (today’s Munshiganj district near Dhaka, Bangladesh). A complete manuscript of the AsP, finished in Harivarman’s 19th regnal year, belongs to the Varendra Research Museum, Rajshahi [henceforth Varendra AsP Ms]. A set of twenty-two illustrated folios from a manuscript of the Pañcaviṃśatisārasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā [henceforth PvP], including a colophon folio bearing the date of Harivarman’s 8th regnal year, is in the collection of the Baroda Museum and Picture Gallery in India [Baroda PvP Ms]. The latter entered the Baroda Museum in early 1940s as reported by Bhattacharya. The former was purchased and presented to the Varendra Research Society [parent organization to the Varendra Research Museum] by the founder of the Society, Sarat Kumar Ray (died in 1945) sometime between 1910 and 1940. As previously noted by other scholars like Claudine Bautze-Picron, the manuscripts bearing dates in the regnal years of Varman kings are distinct from the manuscripts known from Magadhan monastic sites.

Two manuscripts may not seem to form a critical mass for us to understand the practice and the aesthetics associated with the production and use of illustrated manuscripts in Southern Bengal. However, their distinct formal characteristics make it possible to recognize a sizable group of examples among stray folios dispersed around the globe. In addition, a unique illustrated manuscript of the Kāraṇḍavyūha sutra [henceforth Kv] in the British Library (Or. 13940) also belongs to this group as noted in previous scholarship.

The Baroda PvP manuscript seems to have been a massive production, with a total of five hundred sixty folios, each folio measuring about 56.6cm by about 6cm. While only twenty-two illustrated folios entered the Baroda Museum’s collection, the manuscript as a whole should have had at least seventy painted folios [two folios at the beginning of each chapter for a total of thirty-four chapters, and two at the beginning and two at the end of the entire manuscript.] This was an ambitious undertaking, not seen in known Magadhan examples of the 11th century. Luckily, we know of at least thirty-five additional painted folios that may belong to this manuscript (seventeen in the Chester Beatty Library, Dublin, ten in the Metropolitan Museum, New York, two in the McGill University Library, and six in private collections) and the original wooden book covers (the Chester Beatty Library). Along with the Baroda folios, they add up to fifty-seven folios, giving us a good sense of the pictorial language developed for illustrating manuscripts in the Varman ruled area of Southern Bengal. For convenience of discussion, I refer to this group associated with the Baroda folios as Vaṅga Ms1, the Rajshahi AsP manuscript as Vaṅga Ms2, and the British Library Kv manuscript as Vaṅga Ms3.
Overall Structure and Design of Vaṅga manuscripts

Two horizontally facing pages, that is, on the reverse or verso side of a folio [as in ‘folio 536b’] and on the front or recto side of a folio [as in ‘folio 537a’], are illustrated with painted panels in a 12th century palm-leaf manuscript, as we see in figure 2. A non-illustrated page is divided into three blocks of text, but they are not meaning-blocks or paragraphs. Each line of the text reads continuously regardless of the spaces between these blocks. The vertical rectangular spaces around the holes between the text blocks create a visual break in the sea of letters, as if they are the columns rhythmically dividing the surface of a temple. An illustrated page in Vaṅga Ms1 bears a single painted panel in the center flanked by two decorative bands around the holes and another two on the both ends of the folio. The latter two bands contain stūpas. 21 These stūpas are not identical. In addition to color variations, such as white, yellow, and blue, we can notice subtle variations in the shape of stūpas. They are consistently represented with a staff and flying streamers as if depicting ‘living’ stūpas as sites of devotional activities (fig. 3). 22 This active use of the available pictorial space within a single page is a noticeable feature in Vaṅga manuscripts. 23 Most illustrated manuscripts from Magadha feature only geometric and vegetal decorative motifs in these bands. 24 Given Vaṅga manuscripts’ early 12th century dates, this may have been the beginning of a new trend in illustrated manuscript production, which developed throughout the 12th century. 25 In addition to the use of the more expanded pictorial space for painting, another unique

![Fig. 3: From left to right, stūpa border details on Folios 351b, 266b and 491a, Vaṅga Ms1.](image-url)
elements seen in Vaṅga manuscripts is the use of a plain single color border to frame each painted panel. Even the decorative bands around the holes and the stūpa bands on either end are framed by a single color border, which enhances each painted page’s uniform appearance.

The effort to make the manuscript’s pictorial program coherent and systematic is clear from pairing of decorative patterns on facing pages in the Vaṅga manuscripts. The choice of similar compositional schemes on facing pages also enhances this impression. Thus, in Vaṅga Ms1, folio 536b features a Buddha displaying the dharmacakra-pravartana mudrā (preaching gesture) seated against a plain white body halo inside a flat-roofed, white, raised chamber like structure, which is set within the blue background, and a thick yellow border frames the panel (fig. 4). The facing page, folio 547a, features almost identical compositional and color schemes: Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara in varadā mudrā is on folio 537a, but the rest of the panel is fairly similar to what we see in folio 536b, including tiny flame-like swirly motifs on the white body halo (fig. 5). Avalokiteśvara is also seated inside a white chamber like structure. While this structure appears a little more elaborate than the Buddha’s with an addition of a central finial and a thicker projection of the horizontal roof member decorated with a zigzag pattern, the two panels harmoniously connect with each other.

A systematic placement of images in a manuscript prepared with over five hundred folios, as in Vaṅga Ms1, would have been difficult without a production master or an authority that controls the design and the overall program in place. While putting painted panels on a single side was the norm, a pragmatic modification seems to have been made when the text’s allotment demanded it. For example, a single folio now in the Metropolitan Museum of Art.
Art bears painted panels on both sides, which is unusual in the corpus of Buddhist illustrated manuscripts from South Asia. However, when we imagine the folios in their original context of the manuscript, it becomes clear that folio 543 is double sided because chapter 30 ends on folio 542b and chapter 31 titled ‘anugama’ [lit. approach; ways to approach the Prajñāpāramitā] is very short; it ends on folio 544a. Folio 543 is thus paired on both sides: the end of chapter 30 (folio 542b) on the one side, and with the end of chapter 31 (folio 544a) on the other side, as seen in figure 6. The central image on folio 542b is the four-
armed yellow goddess Bhṛkuṭi seated to the left on a chaise-like chair with her feet crossed on a support with a small female attendant intently looking at her (fig. 7).²⁷ Facing this panel on folio 543a is an image of a rare two-armed form of goddess Mārici standing in pratyālīdha pose (with her right leg bent and left leg stretched).²⁸ Her three eyes are widely open, and she holds a vajra in her raised right hand and a twig of aśoka flowers in her left hand displaying the threatening (tarjanī) mudrā (fig. 8).

These two panels do not seem to mirror each other in their composition in comparison to what we see in many paired painted panels, but there seems to have been a certain rationale in the arrangement. When we flip the folio 543 to the reverse side, the central panel depicts a two-armed form of goddess Tārā, seated and displaying varadā mudrā (boon giving gesture) in her right hand and holding a blue lotus (utpala) in her left hand (fig. 9). Facing Tārā on folio 544a...
is an eight-armed, three-faced form of the goddess Mārici, who stands in the same pratyālīḍha pose in front of the raising flame painted like a round orb of a body halo just as the two-armed Mārici on the previous spread on folio 543a (fig. 10). Along with a blue sow face on her left side and a twig of aśoka flowers in her raised left hand, a boar (most likely a sow) in between her lunging legs clearly conveys Mārici’s identity. From a modest two-armed form on folio 543a seen in figure 8, flipping the folio produces Mārici’s more formidable form on folio 544a as seen in figure 10 with multiple arms and heads, wearing a tall crown and a fitted choli-like blouse that often appears in sculptural depictions of martial goddesses from early medieval Bengal. The sequence of the goddesses in a way imparts a sense of dynamic progression, which is enhanced by the manuscript’s inherent kinetic quality.
Style of Painting

One of the most distinctive traits of illustrated manuscripts from Vaṅga is simplicity in the painting’s style. As we can see from the goddess Bhṛkūṭi panel in figure 7, for example, the red lines delineating the figures and many other details are light and cursory, while the black lines detailing the physiognomic features, especially the eyes, and in the case of Bhṛkūṭi, the rolling locks of hair drawn on the shoulders, appear almost spontaneous and swift. Colors are bold and flat with no efforts for shading or volumetric illusion, and details like jewelry and decorative patterns on garments are kept minimalistic, often represented with simple, whimsical dots and squiggly lines. Simplicity in style does not compromise the clarity in execution, however. Some of the iconographic attributes may appear rather indistinct as in the case of eight-armed Mārici on folio 544a (fig. 10), but the identity of the deity is unmistakable.29 That this style is cherished and practiced in the region is clear from Vaṅga Ms2 [Varendra Research Museum], prepared about eleven years after the completion of Vaṅga Ms1. This manuscript is much modest in its scale and features only six painted folios, two facing pages placed at the beginning, in the middle and at the end of the manuscript (folios1b-2a, 90b-91a,190b-191a). On folio 91a of Vaṅga Ms2, we find the same eight-armed Mārici drawn and painted in a very similar manner as the one on folio 544a of Vaṅga Ms1. Thin and swift red lines delineate the overall figure and decorative details including the dotted pattern on her lower garment and her ornaments (fig. 11). The color palette also remains simple and bold, and it displays a nearly identical distribution of colors as in the previous example. This painting appears more whimsical and cursory because the lines are bolder, as seen in the three eyes on the goddess’s principal yellow face. Some details are simplified and abbreviated. Even the sow in between her stretched legs appears more cursorily drawn. Yet abbreviation and simplification did not compromise the painting’s iconographic clarity and

![Fig. 11: Eight-armed Mārici, folio 91a, Vaṅga Ms2, Varendra Research Museum no.689.](image-url)
visual impact. In fact, this painting of Mārici appears more dynamic and energetic than the earlier image. The sow’s switched direction, facing Mārici’s left leg instead of the right, contributes to this dynamism, as the eyes of Mārici seem to meet the sow’s upward facing gaze.

**Action-packed Pictures**

The simplicity goes in tandem with the iconographic clarity seen in the Vaṅga manuscripts while the dynamism created through spontaneous, whimsical lines and bold application of colors contributes to the painting’s lively quality. As mentioned in the beginning, Vaṅga Ms3, a profusely illustrated manuscript of the *Karanḍavyūha sūtra*, that extols the virtues of Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara, includes painted panels that illustrate moments from Avalokiteśvara’s adventures. The iconographic program and the visual narrative’s role in the Vaṅga Ms 3 deserve a more in depth analysis, and here the discussion will be limited to the animated quality of painting. For example, an unusual two-armed form of Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara dancing appears on folio 32b (29b) of the Vaṅga Ms3. The panel does not necessarily tell a story, yet the dynamic composition and lively execution of three figures give a sense of witnessing an active scene of interactions (fig. 12). Avalokiteśvara twists his body and extends his right arm across his upper body while his left arm is raised up and bent. He turns his head down to his right and meets Tārā’s upward looking gaze. Tārā’s legs are bent in dancing posture echoing Avalokiteśvara’s. Avalokiteśvara’s posture is also echoed in the dwarf-like figure of Hayagrīva, who despite his pot-bellied stature is up and dancing imitating the arm movement of Avalokiteśvara. Hayagrīva’s wide eyes look intently at Avalokiteśvara’s
dramatically splayed right fingers and direct our gaze upwards to Avalokiteśvara’s extended right arm. Bold and flat application of colors along with swiftly executed lines help create an impression of ecstatic enjoyment that the three figures seem to embody.33

Depictions of widely circulated narrative scenes like the moments from the historical Buddha’s biography follow the iconographic conventions already established by the early 11th century, but the above outlined characteristics of the style, i.e. how the painting was executed, contribute to animating each scene with lively, cartoon like qualities, even when it portrays a rather static moment. For example, on folio 559b, the panel depicts the scene of Buddha’s first sermon at Sarnath following a conventional iconography: the Buddha in the center displays dharmacakra-pravartana mudrā with hands held in front of chest, while two monks kneeling in aṇjali mudrā look towards the preaching Buddha (fig. 13). Two deer flanking the wheel in the center right under the Buddha’s seat make a clear reference to the Buddha’s first sermon at Sarnath. The main actions, preaching and listening, are stationary and rather monotonous, yet swift lines and bold coloring energize the scene. The eyes of all the characters, including the deer reverently looking up, are drawn impressionistically, adding certain dynamism to the scene.

Locating Vaṅga manuscripts
Folio 559 featuring the scene of the first sermon is now in the Metropolitan Museum, New York along with eleven other folios from Vaṅga Ms1. In its original context, this folio would have been paired with folio 560 now in the Baroda Museum and Picture Gallery.34 On folio 560a, the last folio of the manuscript, we see a ritual scene depicting a pustaka pūjā (lit. book worship)
with a lay male and a monk kneeling on either side, paying homage to an over
life size manuscript placed on a bulbous shaped pedestal in the center (fig.14).
Such a self-referential scene does not appear in illustrated manuscripts
prepared elsewhere. A ritual scene depicting the donor family and a ritual
specialist begins to appear in some Nepalese manuscripts from the 13th
century onwards, and none of the surviving manuscripts from Magadhan sites
feature a *pustaka pūjā* scene on an illustrated page.

The scene of a ritual refers to the lived world of the donor, in this case,
Rāmadeva, as named in the accompanying colophon. It is noteworthy that the
painting’s cartoon-like style contributes to the impression of earthliness (see
fig.14). The painting is executed in the simplest possible terms, using bold colors
and swift lines. There is no attempt at shading, and every detail seems
abbreviated. Rāmadeva and his companion monk, who is seated on the other side
of the book, are holding their hands in *aṅjali mudrā*. Yet, this gesture varies
slightly from what we see in the previous scene of First Sermon. Instead of two
hands folded together tightly like the monks in the facing page (folio 559b), the
artist managed to depict a single finger (pinky) extended outwards in folded
hands of both the monk and the layman. It is as if they are about to make a pact.
The monk’s whimsically drawn wide-open eyes make him look like he would
wink at the kneeling man (Rāmadeva) at any moment, while Rāmadeva is
intently looking at a simple *mandala* drawn for the ritual in front of him.

The pairing of the First Sermon (fig.13) and the ritual scene (fig.14)
effectively collapses the narrative time of the Śākyamuni Buddha and the
experienced time of the donor, Rāmadeva. This arrangement reminds us of the
common inclusion of the ritual scenes on the bottom registers of contemporary
sculptures. For example, in the stone stele of Tārā from Vajrayogini (Munshiganj district, Bangladesh), we see a manuscript on a bulbous shaped pedestal (fig. 15). Unlike our painting, the book is attended by a ritual specialist, a vajrācārya holding a vajra and a ghanṭā (fig. 16). Due to the overall composition, the ritual scene remains in the periphery of the stele. If the ritual scene in the stone stele places the donor (named Saṅghesāgupta in the inscription) in the world of the divine (Tārā), collapsing of the time in a manuscript moves in the opposite direction to the world of the human, not only due to the compositional balance between the painted panels but also thanks to the simple and animated pictorial language.

The painting’s simplicity conveys a sense of rootedness in the lived world. That this scene of ritual appears in a manuscript prepared in Southern Bengal at the turn of the 12th century becomes even more meaningful when we locate this manuscript in the world of artistic production of the time. As scholars have noted, the corpus of surviving sculptures from the ancient regions of Vaṅga and Samataṭa (today’s southern and eastern Bengal) signal a strong sense of a regional style from the 11th century onwards. It is in this context that the Vaṅga manuscripts were prepared using a plain visual language akin to a vernacular, and also written in a script that shares many features with a proto-Bengali script. The provenance of the Vaṅga manuscripts has been speculated as somewhere in the Comilla district (ancient region of Samataṭa). However, it is possible that they may have been prepared somewhere closer to the heartland of Vaṅga, in the vicinity of the ancient capital of Vikramapura (in today’s Munshiganj near Dhaka).

The stone stele of Tārā (figure 15) was found at Sompara, a hamlet in the village of Vajrayogini in today’s Munshiganj district of Bangladesh. According to N.K. Bhattacharji, this image was found in the vicinity of an old tank, southern side of which bore the fragments of basement walls of an old temple at the time of discovery. Adjacent to this site, a copper plate grant issued by Sāmalavarma...
was found, and it records a religious gift to the Prajñāpāramitā (śriprajñāpāramitābhaṭṭārikā). Sāmalavarman is believed to have been Harivarman’s brother, and when taken together with the depiction of a manuscript as a central ritual object on the Tārā stele, it is not difficult to imagine illustrated manuscripts discussed here being produced in nearby sites. This is a speculative suggestion, and it is possible that we may find an even closer match in terms of locality for production of illustrated Prajñāpāramitā manuscripts somewhere in Samataṭa (the present day Comilla district in Bangladesh). However, evidence at hand seems compelling to locate objects bearing regnal years of Varman kings in the vicinity of its capital, Vikramapura, given the geographic distribution of the epigraphic evidence relating to the Varmans.37

The Varman regnal years in Vaṅga manuscripts are appreciated for their value in establishing the limits of the ruling period of the Varman kings and for attributing Southern Bengal as their production site. If the Varmans indeed came from somewhere in Kaliṅga (in today’s Odisha, India) as suggested by A.M. Chowdhury almost half a century ago, their movement may help us direct our attention to recognizing illustrated Buddhist manuscripts from Odisha that thus far remain elusive in our understanding, despite abundant sculptural evidence suggesting prevalent use of manuscripts as cultic objects in rituals and the celebrated production of illustrated palm-leaf manuscripts in the later centuries of the second millennium. In other words, Vaṅga manuscripts may hold a key to understand what illustrated Buddhist manuscripts prepared in Odisha may have looked like, and understanding their unique pictorial style and design strategies as attempted here is an important first step for this future task.

Illustrated manuscripts of Bengal outside Vaṅga: Brief observations

As stated at the beginning of this essay, illustrated manuscripts prepared in the areas of Bengal outside Vaṅga are difficult to identify with certainty. Manuscripts identified as potentially prepared in northern Bengal in previous scholarship
share one distinct formal feature: an architectural motif is consistently used to frame the deities and the narrative scenes of the Buddha’s biography.\textsuperscript{38} Most known dated examples bearing this feature are dated to the latter half of the 12th century.\textsuperscript{39} The earliest dated example that showcases this feature is the Benkaim Pañcarakṣā manuscript (39th year of Rāmapāla, c. 1116 CE), which postdates Vaṅga Ms 1 (8th year of Harivarman, c. 1100 CE). As seen in figure 17, the architectural motif behind the eight-armed goddess Mahāpratisarā on folio 86b of the Benkaim ms shows a three-tiered pyramidal roof with deep recesses in between the horizontal tiers, topped by an āmalaka, and a trefoil arch shaped opening, which S.K. Saraswati categorizes as ‘bhadra (tiered) type.’ It may represent a specific type of temple architecture once prevalent in Bengal, but very little survives in terms of super structures of temple architecture. Here, comparison with surviving sculptural examples provides a useful insight regarding the geographic spread of this particular motif, which suggests an interesting connection back to the art of Vaṅga.

As noted in the chapter on Brahmanical and Buddhist sculpture of Bengal, many examples of architectural sculpture depicting deities inside a bhadra type shrine structure hail from the Vaṅga region, and some examples are found in the ancient region of Rādha (western Bengal, for example, in Hooghly, Burdwan, Bankura and Purulia districts), and northern Odisha.\textsuperscript{40} While none of these examples are securely dated, most are dated to the late 11th or 12th century based on stylistic analysis.\textsuperscript{41} Most sculptural examples hail from somewhere in Bengal (West Bengal and Bangladesh) with a potential

---

Fig. 17: Mahāpratisarā, folio 86b, Pañcarakṣā ms, 39th year of Rāmapāla, c. 1116 CE, Varendra (northern Bengal?), Catherine Glynn Benkaim collection.
genesis in the Vaṅga region. They feature particular architectural details similar to those seen in contemporary manuscripts. For example, a ten-armed image of the goddess Durgā found in Dulmi in today’s Purulia district in West Bengal is represented within a prominent shrine structure, and this architectural frame is quite similar to the one depicted in a rare 12th century manuscript of the Kāraṇḍavyūha sūtra, now in the Varendra Research Museum, Rajshahi.

In the Varendra Kv manuscript, there are six painted folios (1b-2a, 28b-29a, 66b-67a), and each painted panel depicts a deity enclosed in a shrine space, the formal features of which succinctly delineate the bhadra type. As seen in figure 18, a four-armed form of Avalokiteśvara stands inside a bhadra-type structure with three horizontal tiers and an āmalaka finial (faintly visible due to paint loss). The similarity between the painted version and the sculpture goes beyond their shared use of a bhadra-type framing device. The decorative patterns used on the surface of the shrine structure backdrops are also nearly identical, featuring flower and foliage patterns combined with certain geographic patterns like zigzag and diamond patterns and a beaded band pattern (fig. 19). In fact, the shape of the opening is a round arch with slightly raised apex in both sculpture and painting. Except for the replacement of kirtimukha with a cakra or lotus like roundel in the painting, the two are nearly identical in form.

The Varendra Kv manuscript exemplifies a typical problem in identifying a provenance of a relatively late painted Buddhist manuscript from Bengal. The colophon on folio 67b of the Varendra Kv Ms tells us that the manuscript was prepared for a lay female donor Sahoka, a daughter-in-law of Naiśupadri, who is identified as a resident of Jāpura village (pāṭaka).
location of Jāpura is unclear, and the manuscript is undated. The painting’s style (harder lines, limited palette of black, yellow, vermillion and white, and ornateness) and the use of an ornamental script (kuṭila) with particularly pronounced hooks suggest this manuscript may be closely related to a manuscript in the Sam Fogg collection, bearing the date of Govindapāla’s elapsed 32nd regnal year (fig. 20).45

The illustrated manuscripts bearing Govindapāla’s “elapsed” or “passed” regnal years present a serious challenge because none of them can be securely located despite the presence of toponyms identifying the places of residence of

Fig. 19: Details of decorative patterns on architectural frames on Durgā (Mahiśāsura-mardini) stele and on painted folios of the Kṛ manuscript. Durgā, Stone stele, Dulmi, Purulia, West Bengal, c. early 12th century, Indian Museum, Kolkata. Kṛ manuscript, c. second half of the 12th century, Bengal (?), Varendra Research Museum No.852.

Fig. 20: Vajratārā with donors, fol.216b, center panel, AsP Ms, Govindapāla’s 30th year, c. 1195CE. Photo courtesy of Sam Fogg, London.
their donors and even their scribes. Understanding where and why some Buddhists of the late 12th century used the regnal years of Govinda-pâla remains an important future task. These dated late 12th century manuscripts were prepared for lay donors, both male and female, and in provincial locations outside monastic centers. They offer a glimpse of what the visual culture of the people at a more local level may have been like at a moment when Bengal faced tremendous pressure from impending changes in political order.

Epilogue

It is rare to find a donor/lay devotee of the manuscript represented inside the pages of an illustrated manuscript as in Vaṅga Ms 1 (see fig.14). On folio 216b of the Sam Fogg AsP manuscript, we see a family of donors painted, not in a painted panel, as in Vaṅga Ms1, but outside the frame of the central panel depicting eight-armed Vajratâra seated inside a shrine (see fig. 20). Five human figures portrayed against a block of red background most likely represent the family members of the donor, identified in the accompanying colophon on folio 217b as Maitaka, son of Cchinna who was a resident of Sīaucaya village, and they are paying homage to the goddess, Vajratâra. The figures are drawn in swift and simple lines, and painted with a thin wash and minimal color variations: the two male figures on Vajratâra’s right are in green and the three female figures on her left are in yellow (fig. 21). Their placement outside a regulated painted panel embraces a new possibility to maximize a pictorial space available within a manuscript page, and this unique intervention portrays the human devotees in utmost proximity to the goddess, Vajratâra. In comparison to the sculptural depiction of the donors in stone steles as seen in the image of Târâ from Vajrayogini (see fig. 15) where the human scale is rendered minute in the divine realm, the relative scale of human figures is about one third to the size of the goddess in the painting (see fig.20). The architectural frame surrounding the goddess, the relative scale of human figures and the use of red backdrop create an impression of a lived world where the devotees are seated inside a grand shrine with Vajratâra in the center.

Recognizing the capacity to represent a glimpse of the lived reality of the period seen in this late 12th century manuscript from Bengal allows us to draw an interesting connection between illustrated manuscripts from Bengal and the celebrated painting tradition of western Himalayan kingdoms of Guge-Purang/Ngari regions. As noted in previous scholarship, famed murals of Buddhist monasteries at Tabo (c. 11th century, completed around c. 1046 CE) and Alchi (completed around 1300 CE) showcase a clear sense of historicity and a strong interest in representing the laity, both royal and otherwise, in pictorial records. Interestingly, a folio from a paper manuscript of the Śatasāhasrikā Prajñāpāramitā from the Tholing monastery (Western
Tibet) now in the Los Angeles County Museum of Art (M.81.90.6) features a remarkably similar composition to the portrayal of the donor family in the Sam Fogg manuscript. \(48\) In the center of the folio is a painted section with a six-armed goddess seated on a lotus seat and hovering over a ritual scene underneath her (fig. 22). This ritual scene features a monk and a lay patron on the right side of the goddess and two male devotees with large-brimmed hats placed next to them on the other side. A detailed rendition of ritual offerings appears in between the two groups.

The styles in the two painted pages are quite different: two distinct sets of pictorial vocabularies are used to depict the goddesses and the lay donors. The image of Vajrārā is seated inside a shrine structure, and the proportion of her swaying torso and the rendering of dramatically active multiple arms convey a sense of fluidity and volume akin to the stylistic features seen in Pāla period stone sculptures. To the contrary, the six-armed goddess Prajñāpāramitā in the Tholing manuscript page is slender and her torso is elongated and her multiple arms are...
depicted as stiff additions behind her elbows and do not convey a sense of dynamism apparent in the Bengali example. Differences are also noticeable in the treatment of clothing and ornaments: Tholing Prajñāpāramitā is depicted with a tight fitting choli and a checkered patterned lower garment, whereas Vajrārā is shown with a diaphanous scarf wrapping across her chest and the rest of her upper body is left bare. How physiognomic features are portrayed differs considerably as well. All of these formal traits point to two divergent traditions of artistic practices. It is remarkable, then, that the idea of representing the lay donors underneath the goddess against a red backdrop in the center of the folio is shared in the two examples despite their geographic and temporal disparity and seemingly unrelated artistic genealogies.

While it is impossible to know how this idea may have traveled between these two regions, it is worth remembering that illustrated manuscripts are portable objects that can serve as material vehicles for transmission of knowledge, both textual and visual. The distance between their artistic languages noticed here suggests copying through a proxy, be it an object (as in an illustrated manuscript) or a human agent (as in through oral retelling/report), rather than traveling artisans. If later Tibetan hagiographic records about a famed Bengali Buddhist master, Atiśa (Dīnpīkara Śrījāna, c. 982-1054 CE), hold certain historical veracity, they point to frequent trans-regional travels between Buddhist communities of medieval Bengal and those in the Western Himalayas during the 11th century. The shared idea seen in the two manuscripts may have been a direct result of one such travel. The cultural and artistic connections between Bengal and its Himalayan neighbors through Buddhist activities have been repeatedly noted in previous scholarship. Illustrated manuscripts from Bengal can provide a new body of evidence to substantiate these historical connections. They can tell many stories when we appreciate their artistic and historical value beyond the confines of an individual painted page.
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\[\begin{align*}
de\text{yadharmo } & \text{ya} \\
m & \text{pravr}a\text{mahaha} \\
a & \text{na} \\
h & \text{a} \\
nayina \\
ja \\
purapa \\
aka \\
vasita \\
xu \\
\text{padri-vadhu} \\
sa \\
yaha \\
yada \\
puna \\
adbhavatv\text{caryyadhyayam} \\
tipṝrṇavangamaṇ kṛtvā \\
śakalasyatvarāśeramuttarajñanaphala/vaptaya itil}]
\end{align*}\]
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