
**A Note about Sources**

N.B. For readers who’d like to read more, or who are undertaking their own research, here is a select bibliography of my sources for this piece. As with all the bibliographies for New Yorker essays that I post on my Harvard faculty website, this brief discussion mentions a good number of works consulted but it’s neither an exhaustive inventory of my sources nor a survey of the scholarship in a given field. Instead, I’ve listed works I found most useful or especially provocative. I have generally only included manuscripts, journal and magazine articles, and books; I haven’t listed interviews here at all; I’ve generally not included things like newspapers, advertisements, patents, legislation, and policy statements; and I’ve left out citations from specialized bodies of literature in fields like medicine and law. A last caveat: these brief bibliographies are all frozen in time: I do not update them, and they therefore don’t include anything written on these subjects after the date on which my essay was published.

My special thanks to Louise Trubek and Jessica Trubek for speaking with me and to Louise and Dave Trubek for sending me legal documents relating to their case, along with an unpublished 2015 essay of Louise Trubek’s. And thanks to the Schlesinger Library at Radcliffe for Estelle Griswold’s 1976 oral history.

This essay, which considers a five-decades-long chain of cases linking *Griswold v. CT* (1965) to *Obergefell v. Hodges* (2015), draws on material in a variety of fields, including political history, constitutional law, and political theory, too rich and wide to do justice to here. My essay concerns privacy and equality arguments in reproductive rights, gay rights, and same-sex marriage cases. The debate about the relationship between and relative force of privacy and equality arguments in such cases is a longstanding one, and has been revisited many times over the years. Moreover, the underlying historical, political, and legal issues are much larger than any literature review confined to such cases would suggest: privacy arguments have been made about many things other than reproduction, equality arguments about many things other than marriage. This bibliography, then, touches only on a small part of the relevant scholarship.

