

Please take a read the following articles:

- Group 1: [Drifting definitions of terrorism endanger us all](#) (Pantucci 2020)
- Group 2: [EU countries urged to step up counter-terrorism effort](#) (Khan and Peel 2020)

One describes the political implications of terrorism and the other suggests political motivations for labeling action as terrorism. We'll discuss after around ten minutes, so you may want to think about the following:

1. What's a 1-2 sentence summary of the article (so everyone's on the same page)?
2. Group 1: Do you agree with the definition of terrorism provided by Pantucci (2020)? How did different definitions of terrorism appear in this year's presidential campaigns?
3. Group 2: What does the EU declaration suggest? Do you think the terrorists would anticipate this response? If not, do you think it helps them in any strategic way?
4. Both groups: how do the two articles tie into Kydd and Walter (2006)? Do they fall in a clear category? (I understand this may be tricky for Group 1).

References

- [1] Mehreen Khan and Michael Peel. *EU countries urged to step up counter-terrorism effort*. 2020.
- [2] Andrew H Kydd and Barbara F Walter. "The strategies of terrorism". In: *International Security* 31.1 (2006), pp. 49–80.
- [3] Raffaello Pantucci. "Drifting definitions of terrorism endanger us all". In: *The Financial Times* (2020).