Modulation of brainstem activity and connectivity by respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation in migraine patients
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Abstract

Migraine pathophysiology includes altered brainstem excitability, and recent neuromodulatory approaches aimed at controlling migraine episodes have targeted key brainstem relay and modulatory nuclei. In this study, we evaluated the impact of respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation (RAVANS), a novel neuromodulatory intervention based on an existing transcutaneous vagus nerve stimulation approach, in the modulation of brainstem activity and connectivity in migraine patients. We applied 3T–functional magnetic resonance imaging with improved in-plane spatial resolution (2.62 × 2.62 mm) in episodic migraine (interictal) and age- and sex-matched healthy controls to evaluate brain response to RAVANS (gated to either inhalation or exhalation) and sham stimulation. We further investigated RAVANS modulation of tactile trigeminal sensory afference response in the brainstem using air-puff stimulation directed to the forehead during functional magnetic resonance imaging. Compared with sham and inhalatory-gated RAVANS (iRAVANS), exhalatory-gated RAVANS (eRAVANS) activated an ipsilateral pontomedullary region consistent with nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS). During eRAVANS, NTS connectivity was increased to anterior insula and anterior midcingulate cortex, compared with both sham and iRAVANS, in migraine patients. Increased connectivity was inversely correlated with relative time to the next migraine attack, suggesting clinical relevance to this change in connectivity. Poststimulation effects were also noted immediately after eRAVANS, as we found increased activation in putative pontine serotonergic (ie, nucleus raphe centralis) and noradrenergic (ie, locus coeruleus) nuclei in response to trigeminal sensory afference. Regulation of activity and connectivity of brainstem and cortical regions involved in serotonergic and noradrenergic regulation and pain modulation may constitute an underlying mechanism supporting beneficial clinical outcomes for eRAVANS applied for episodic migraine.
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1. Introduction

Migraine is a prevalent (~22%) and highly disabling disorder.\textsuperscript{70} Despite this impact, the pathophysiology of migraine remains to be elucidated.\textsuperscript{14} Hyperosensitization of the brainstem trigeminal sensory complex may underlie the primary brain dysfunction in migraine,\textsuperscript{2,11,58,71} leading to brainstem-mediated upregulation of cortical excitability.\textsuperscript{15,40} Therapeutic options have targeted brainstem neuromodulatory centers, including serotonergic (raphe nuclei) and noradrenergic (locus coeruleus) nuclei,\textsuperscript{20,63} through dihydroergotamine, triptans, and other 5HT1B/1D agonists.\textsuperscript{22,41,62} More recently, novel neuromodulation therapies have been proposed.\textsuperscript{44,65} Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) has demonstrated efficacy in migraine prevention and reduction of headache severity,\textsuperscript{33,48,80} and although the precise analgesic mechanisms of VNS are unknown, vagal afference relayed to nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS) in the medulla may modulate trigeminal sensory complex excitability and connectivity with higher brain structures.\textsuperscript{38}

Despite the therapeutic potential of VNS, adverse events associated with surgery and chronic stimulation limit broad applicability.\textsuperscript{24} Importantly, the NTS and spinal trigeminal nucleus (Sp5) also receive somatosensory afference through the auricular branch of the vagus nerve (ABVN).\textsuperscript{36,56} Non-invasive (transcutaneous) methods of ABVN stimulation (tVNS) have been proposed,\textsuperscript{76} and preliminary neuroimaging studies have found that tVNS modulates brainstem and cortical areas similar to classical VNS,\textsuperscript{21,25,37} whereas a clinical trial suggested that tVNS may also reduce the frequency of migraine episodes.\textsuperscript{72}
Interestingly, the dorsal medullary vagal system operates in tune with respiration. Second-order relay neurons in the NTS receive afference from pulmonary stretch receptors and aortic baroreceptors. The NTS also receives inhibitory inputs from ventral respiratory group (VRG) nuclei in the medulla during inhalation and facilitation during exhalation.6,46,47 Our group has proposed that ABVN stimulation gated to exhalation may optimize tVNS.53

Brainstem functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) methods have begun to address the inherent difficulties in imaging this subcortical structure. The brainstem is especially prone to physiological noise, and its proximity to the steep magnetic susceptibility gradient from the air-tissue boundary makes imaging this subcortical structure especially challenging. Methods have begun to address the inherent difficulties in optimizing tVNS.53

In this study, we applied fMRI to evaluate brainstem response to respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation (RAVANS) in interictal migraine patients. We also investigated RAVANS modulation of brainstem response to tactile stimulation along the trigeminal pathway. We hypothesized that compared with sham stimulation (SHAM), exhalatory-gated RAVANS (eRAVANS) will more effectively target NTS and modulate NTS-cortical connectivity and trigeminal sensory processing in brainstem neuromodulatory (eg, serotonergic, noradrenergic) nuclei. An exploratory analysis then compared brain response to eRAVANS vs inhibitory-gated RAVANS (iRAVANS).

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study population

Sixteen migraine patients (MIG, 15 women, 35.8 ± 13.4 years, mean ± SD) and 16 sex- and age-matched healthy control subjects (HC, 15 women, 36.0 ± 13.7 years, P = 0.96) participated in this study (Table 1). Patients were diagnosed with episodic migraine based on classification of the International Headache Society.1 Seven patients (43.7%) were diagnosed with migraine with aura and none suffered from any other major neurological or psychiatric disorders. Subjects completing this study were not prescribed opioids, benzodiazepines, or cannabinoids; however, 7 subjects were receiving prophylactic medications (Table 2). Only migraine patients with uncomplicated cases of 2 to 15 attacks per month were enrolled. Healthy control subjects had no history of primary headache or other pain syndromes. All studies were performed at the Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging in Boston, Massachusetts. The experimental procedure was approved by the Partners Human Research Committee, and all research participants were fully informed and gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

#### 2.2. Clinical characterization

In migraine patients, clinical characterization included assessment of self-reported episodes per month and time since diagnosis. All migraine patients were scanned interictally (ie, between episodes). Subjects were asked on the day of the MRI scan the time since the presentation of their preceding ictal event (PRE; number of days between previous migraine episode and MRI scan visit), and were followed up by phone to collect information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the MRI scan visit), and were followed up by phone to collect information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode). An “interictal phase index” was then calculated (Equation 1) to take into account variability in information about their subsequent migraine episode after the scan visit (NEXT; number of days between MRI scan visit and subsequent migraine episode).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Demographic characteristics and clinical features of migraine patients and healthy controls.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy controls (HC, n = 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>36.0 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical measures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preceding attack, d</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next attack, d</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interictal phase index (0-100)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine Duration, y</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodes per mo</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychophysics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eRAVANS current intensity, mA</td>
<td>1.22 ± 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iRAVANS current intensity, mA</td>
<td>0.85 ± 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of breaths—eRAVANS</td>
<td>90.4 ± 15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of breaths—iRAVANS</td>
<td>94.5 ± 18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eRAVANS air-puff intensity (0-10, NRS)</td>
<td>3.31 ± 1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-eRAVANS air-puff intensity (0-10, NRS)</td>
<td>3.12 ± 2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-SHAM air-puff intensity (0-10, NRS)</td>
<td>3.31 ± 1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-SHAM air-puff intensity (0-10, NRS)</td>
<td>3.18 ± 1.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean ± SD (minimum-maximum). Interictal phase index = ratio between preceding (=0) and subsequent (=100) attacks from experiment visit. n/a, not applicable; NRS, numerical rating scale (0: no sensation, 10: pain detection threshold).
To investigate the effects of eRAVANS on input directed along the trigeminal sensory pathway, we evaluated stimulus-evoked fMRI brainstem response to an innocuous somatosensory (air-puff) stimulation over a trigeminal nerve area. For both migraine patients and healthy controls, MR-compatible air tubing (inner diameter = 12 mm) was positioned over the right supraorbital region of the forehead (ophthalmic (V1) spinal trigeminal nerve branch, Figure 2A). The tubing was passed through the MR scanner penetration panel and connected to an air compressor controller (AIRSTIM, San Diego Instruments, Inc, San Diego, CA) located outside the scanner. Air-puff stimulation (80 Psi, 5 Hz) was delivered to the subjects using a block design (14-second ON and 20-second OFF, 11 repetitions, total: 370 seconds) (Fig. 2B). After the fMRI experiment, the intensity of air-puff sensations was rated by subjects on a numerical rating scale (NRS) of 0 to 10 (0: no sensation, 10: pain detection threshold, ie, on the verge of painful sensation). Differences in air-puff ratings after RAVANS (Air-puff post vs Air-puff pre) were evaluated according to GROUP (MIG, HC) and the type of STIMULATION (eRAVANS, SHAM). These comparisons were performed using a 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc testing (STATA 14; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). Our previous study35 found altered trigeminal air-puff processing in MIG, and the current study investigated how eRAVANS affects brainstem response to such trigeminal input.

2.3. Study design
All subjects attended one scanning session and completed a cross-over design fMRI experiment which was composed of multiple fMRI scan runs. Two stimulation scan runs (eRAVANS, duration = 360 seconds; SHAM, electrode setup but no stimulation, duration = 360 seconds) were completed in a randomized order. Before and after each stimulation scan run, subjects experienced an air-puff stimulation scan run (Air-puff pre, duration = 370 seconds; Air-puff post, duration = 370 seconds). Structural MRI scans were acquired between the cross-over for these 2 experimental fMRI scan sequences (wash-out interval = 30 minutes). At the end of this sequence, an iRAVANS (iRAVANS; duration = 360 seconds) scan run was performed as an exploratory comparison with eRAVANS stimulation (Fig. 1). This comparison was deemed exploratory, as stimulation order between eRAVANS and iRAVANS was fixed and not counterbalanced (see Limitations section of the Discussion).

2.4. Air-puff stimulation
To investigate the effects of eRAVANS on input directed along the trigeminal sensory pathway, we evaluated stimulus-evoked fMRI brainstem response to an innocuous somatosensory (air-puff) stimulation over a trigeminal nerve area. For both migraine patients and healthy controls, MR-compatible air tubing (inner diameter = 12 mm) was positioned over the right supraorbital region of the forehead (ophthalmic (V1) spinal trigeminal nerve branch, Figure 2A). The tubing was passed through the MR scanner penetration panel and connected to an air compressor controller (AIRSTIM, San Diego Instruments, Inc, San Diego, CA) located outside the scanner. Air-puff stimulation (80 Psi, 5 Hz) was delivered to the subjects using a block design (14-second ON and 20-second OFF, 11 repetitions, total: 370 seconds) (Fig. 2B). After the fMRI experiment, the intensity of air-puff sensations was rated by subjects on a numerical rating scale (NRS) of 0 to 10 (0: no sensation, 10: pain detection threshold, ie, on the verge of painful sensation). Differences in air-puff ratings after RAVANS (Air-puff post vs Air-puff pre) were evaluated according to GROUP (MIG, HC) and the type of STIMULATION (eRAVANS, SHAM). These comparisons were performed using a 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc testing (STATA 14; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). Our previous study35 found altered trigeminal air-puff processing in MIG, and the current study investigated how eRAVANS affects brainstem response to such trigeminal input.

2.5. Respiratory-gated auricular vagus nerve stimulation
For RAVANS setup, 2 MRI compatible electrodes (8-mm diameter; Astro-Med, Inc, West Warwick, RI) were placed in the auricle of the left ear, fixed in place by a custom-designed plastic armature that wrapped around the ear. Auricular locations were (1) the cymba concha and (2) the slope between the antihelix and cavum concha (Fig. 3). These locations were chosen based on auricular subregions with the greatest probability of vagal innervation of the human auricle, as determined by cadaver dissection.61 Electrodes were passed through the penetration panel with inline low-pass radio frequency filtering (80 MHz). Electrical stimulation to these electrodes was provided by a current-constant stimulator (S88X GRASS stimulator; Astro-Med Inc, Warwick, RI). Stimuli consisted of rectangular pulses with 450 μs pulse width, delivered at 30 Hz, and pulse train duration of 0.5 seconds, similar to our previous eRAVANS study in chronic pain patients.53 Stimulation was gated, with 0.5-second delay, after peak inhalation (ie, during exhalation, for eRAVANS) or after peak exhalation (ie, during inhalation, for iRAVANS).

Respiratory gating for stimulation required real-time evaluation of the respiratory cycle. We used a magnetic resonance-compatible belt system constructed in-house, based on the system devised by Binks et al.10 and similar to the system used in several of our previous studies.35,52 A pneumatic belt was placed around the

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prophylactic medication</th>
<th>No. of subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atenolol</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricyclic antidepressants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nortriptyline</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topiramate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Other measurements such as the number of days after or preceding an attack, because it takes into account the variability of attack frequency between patients, normalizing the time since last attack by the total duration of the interictal period. This measurement has been previously shown by our group to correlate with fMRI activation in brainstem trigeminal nuclei in response to ophthalmic nerve somatosensory input,40 and our current analysis explored whether the interictal phase index is also sensitive to brainstem processing of RAVANS stimulation.

\[
\text{INTERICTAL PHASE} = \frac{\text{PRE}_I}{\text{PRE}_I + \text{NEXT}_I} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

---

**Figure 1.** Overview of our cross-over experimental design.
subject’s lower thorax. Low-compliance tubing connected this belt to a pressure transducer (PX138-0.3DSV; Omegadyne, Inc, Sunbury, OH), thereby producing voltage output that corresponded to changes in respiratory volume. The voltage signal from the transducer was acquired by a laptop-controlled device (National Instruments DAQ USB-6009, 14 bit i/o, with Labview 7.0 data acquisition software, Austin, TX). Computer code detected peak inspiration and peak expiration in real-time, and a TTL signal was output to a miniature high-frequency relay (G6Z-1P-DC5; Omron Electronics Components, Schaumburg, IL) to open the gate and allow for electrical stimulation to pass to the subject. Correct exhalatory and inhalatory cycle stimulation was confirmed by the experimenter through real-time inspection of respiration and stimulation signals on a graphical chart display programmed with our custom Labview software code. Post hoc review of these tracings was also performed to confirm accurate stimulation.

Once electrodes were set up, subjects were asked to rate stimulation intensity on an NRS of 0 to 10 (0: no sensation, 10: pain detection threshold). Current intensity was set to achieve moderate to strong (but not painful) sensation (NRS target score: 5/10), and this current intensity was used on subsequent stimulation runs. For SHAM, the electrodes in the ear remained in place, but the leads were disconnected from the stimulator. Subjects were instructed that for this fMRI scan run, they may or may not feel pulsing in their ear, and that we wanted to ensure that the stimulus was not painful.

2.6. Magnetic resonance imaging and physiological data collection

All fMRI scans were collected using a 3T MRI scanner (Trion®; Siemens Medical, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 12-channel head coil. Subjects were instructed to relax and lay supine in the scanner with their eyes closed while staying alert and awake. They were also asked to not move their head and focus on the sensation experienced on the forehead (air-puff) or ear (RAVANS) during functional scan runs. Earplugs were provided to attenuate noise during data collection.

High-resolution (1 × 1 × 1 mm3 voxels) structural MRI scans were acquired with a standard T1-weighted MP-RAGE pulse sequence (repetition time [TR] = 2530 ms, echo time [TE] = 1.64 ms, flip angle = 7˚, field of view [FOV] = 256 × 256 mm2) and contained 176 axial slices. Whole-brain fMRI data were acquired using a T2*-weighted blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD) pulse sequence with increased matrix size to enable relatively improved in-plane spatial resolution and increase sensitivity to localize brainstem nuclei with small cross-sectional area (TR = 2500 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90˚, FOV = 220 × 220 mm2, matrix = 84 × 84, 43 axial slices, slice thickness = 2.62 mm, gap = 0.5 mm, voxel size = 2.62 × 2.62 × 3.12 mm3).

Peripheral physiological data (eg, respiration volume, cardiac pulse pressure) were acquired using the Powerlab system (ML880; ADInstruments Inc, Colorado Springs, CO) at a 400 Hz sampling rate. In addition to the respiration volume signal noted above, cardiac pulsatility data were acquired using a piezoelectric pulse transducer (AD Instruments Inc., Colorado Springs, CO) attached to the right index finger.

2.7. Magnetic resonance imaging data preprocessing

Functional MRI data were preprocessed using the validated FMRIB software library (FSL) version 5.0 (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl),26 Analysis of Functional Neuroimages (afni.nimh.nih.gov/afni) and FreeSurfer (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) software packages. For each scan, pulse traces and respiration signals were resampled at 40 Hz, and were used for physiological noise correction using Analysis of Functional Neuroimages’ RETROICOR function.27 Cardiac pulse annotation was performed using an automated method followed by manual confirmation, while respiratory volume per time was calculated using an automated algorithm and custom-made MATLAB scripts (The MathWorks Inc, Natick, MA). Functional data underwent motion correction using FSL–MCFLIRT,34 and skull removal was performed using FSL–BET.35 The fMRI data were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian kernel of full width at half maximum 5 mm, and temporally high-pass filtered (f = 0.0147 Hz). Structural MRI data were registered to fMRI data using boundary-based registration (Freesurfer, bbrегист-29), followed by coregistration of the structural data to standard space (MN152) template using nonlinear warping (FNIRT),4 with the resultant transform applied to the functional parameter estimates calculated in the first-level analysis.
2.8. Magnetic resonance imaging data analysis

2.8.1. Brainstem response to respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation

A first-level event-related general linear model (GLM) was performed with the FMRI Expert Analysis Tool (IFEAT, FSL) to estimate brainstem response to RAVANS and SHAM. The explanatory variable was defined with a text file of the electrical stimuli onset and duration convolved with the canonical hemodynamic response function (Double-Gamma). The results of this first-level analysis (ie, parameter estimate and its variance) were transformed into standard space (MN1T52), and submitted to group analyses (Randomize, FSL) using permutation-based nonparametric tests (5000 permutations) with voxel-based correction for multiple comparisons (P < 0.05). A brainstem mask, defined by thresholding the Harvard–Oxford Subcortical Structural Atlas brainstem label at 20%, was used to spatially restrict this analysis. Group activation was calculated for a combined sample from both the MIG and HC groups to maximize SNR for brainstem response to the auricular stimulation and to define regions of interest (ROIs) with unbiased localization for subsequent analyses.

After contrasting eRAVANS vs SHAM, a pontomedullary cluster consistent with purported left NTS (based on the Duvernoy brainstem atlas, see Results) was found. An exploratory analysis then contrasted eRAVANS with iRAVANS, using data (mean percent signal change of activated voxels) taken from a 3-mm radius sphere mask centered on the peak activation voxel from this cluster. A Shapiro–Wilk test was used to evaluate normality of the distribution of data, and comparisons were performed with appropriate statistical test (eg, paired t test).

2.8.2. Functional connectivity response to RAVANS

Functional connectivity was computed using seed-based correlation analysis. The seed was defined on the previously described Nucleus tractus solitarii-region of interest [peak Montreal Neurological Institute voxel coordinates X, Y, Z; mm]: −8, −38, −42 with 3-mm radius). Specifically, the extracted fMRI time series from this seed was used as a GLM regressor (FEAT, FSL) for eRAVANS and SHAM data. Nuisance regressors included fMRI signals from deep cerebral white matter and cerebral ventricles using previously validated masks. Notably, we did not include the global fMRI signal in this GLM. Resultant whole-brain parameter estimates and their variance from each individual were passed up to group-level analyses to evaluate NTS connectivity differences between eRAVANS and SHAM, for both MIG and HC, using FMRIB’s Local Analysis of Mixed Effects (FLAME 1 + 2). The results were thresholded using cluster correction for multiple comparisons (Z > 2.3, cluster-size threshold of P < 0.05). For further exploratory analyses with iRAVANS, functional connectivity values from significant clusters’ peak voxels were used for region of interest comparisons.

We then performed correlation analyses to investigate the link between purported NTS connectivity (eRAVANS–SHAM change score from analysis above) and clinical measures such as interictal phase index, number of migraine episodes per month, and duration of the disease. After testing for normal distribution, a Pearson correlation coefficient, r, was calculated, significant at P < 0.05.

2.8.3. Respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation modulation of brainstem response to air-puff stimulation

Brainstem responses to air-puff stimulation collected in pre- and post-RAVANS runs were calculated in a first-level GLM analysis (FEAT, FSL) using a regressor for air-puff stimulation. The results of this analysis were submitted to nonparametric permutation analysis (Randomize, FSL) to evaluate the effects of RAVANS on the modulation of brainstem response to air-puff stimulation. Significant voxels from the above analysis were used to calculate mean percent signal change to perform an ANOVA with factors GROUP (MIG, HC) and STIMULATION (eRAVANS, SHAM), followed by post hoc testing (STATA 14, Stata Corporation).

3. Results

Demographic characteristics and clinical features of migraine patients are presented in Table 1. Migraine subjects with aura did not differ from others in terms of average number of episodes per month (5.9 ± 2.1 vs 5.9 ± 3.1, P = 0.98), migraine duration...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brainstem response and connectivity to RAVANS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A- Brainstem response to eRAVANS vs SHAM stimulation (all subjects included, migraine patients + healthy controls)</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Cluster size (voxels)</th>
<th>MNI coordinates, mm</th>
<th>Obex, mm</th>
<th>Peak T-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus tractus solitarii</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−8, −38, −42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B- NTS connectivity to higher brain regions: eRAVANS vs SHAM stimulation in migraine patients</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Cluster Size (voxels)</th>
<th>MNI coordinates, mm</th>
<th>Peak Z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Insula</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>−30, 18, −6</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midcingulate Cortex</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>−2, 26, 30</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C- Effects of eRAVANS on the brainstem response to tactile (air-puff) trigeminal sensory stimulation: eRAVANS vs SHAM in migraine patients</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Cluster size (voxels)</th>
<th>MNI coordinates, mm</th>
<th>Obex, mm</th>
<th>Peak T-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus raphe centrales</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0, −36, −28</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus coeruleus</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−4, −36, −26</td>
<td>−34</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(14.3 ± 15.1 years vs 15.4 ± 12.0 years, P = 0.87), or interictal phase index (66.4 ± 21.6 vs 60.5 ± 31.9, P = 0.68).

All subjects tolerated the air-puff procedures and auricular electrical stimulation, and both were rated as nonpainful (below 10, “on the verge of being painful,” on the 0–10 NRS). There were no group differences between HC and MIG in average electrical current intensity during eRAVANS or iRAVANS (Table 1). There were no differences in the number of breaths taken during SHAM compared with eRAVANS (SHAM: 92.9 ± 16.5 vs eRAVANS: 90.9 ± 16.1, P = 0.21) or eRAVANS compared with iRAVANS (90.9 ± 16.1 vs 92.4 ± 16.1, P = 0.39). We again found no differences between HC and MIG for these variables (Table 1). Subject ratings of air-puff stimulation intensity, after vs before RAVANS (air-puff post vs air-puff pre) did not show a significant GROUP (F = 0.01, P = 0.93), STIMULATION (F = 0.01, P = 0.93) or STIMULATION by GROUP interaction (F = 0.19, P = 0.66).

3.1. Brainstem response to respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation

No subjects were removed from analysis because of excessive head motion during RAVANS stimulation, which did not differ between groups during eRAVANS (MIG: 0.09 ± 0.07 mm, HC: 0.08 ± 0.06 mm, P = 0.59), iRAVANS (MIG: 0.10 ± 0.05 mm, HC: 0.10 ± 0.08 mm, P = 0.81), or SHAM (MIG: 0.09 ± 0.08 mm, HC: 0.08 ± 0.05 mm, P = 0.74). When contrasting eRAVANS and SHAM across all subjects, permutation analysis revealed activation of a small cluster in the pontine-medullary junction (peak MNI voxel coordinates [X, Y, Z; mm]: −8, −38, −42, obex +18 mm, using verticalized brainstem coordinate), consistent with purported NTS (Table 3 and Fig. 4).

To evaluate potential order effects of the stimulation due to our cross-over design, we performed an region of interest analysis contrasting purported NTS response to eRAVANS for subjects randomized to having eRAVANS first in order (ie, before SHAM) vs those randomized to having eRAVANS second in order (ie, after SHAM). This analysis found no significant differences in NTS BOLD % signal response to eRAVANS when delivered first vs second in order (1.08% ± 0.45% vs 0.88% ± 0.53%, P = 0.77), suggesting that the order of stimulation did not have a significant effect on NTS response. We then performed an exploratory paired t test which showed a significant greater NTS BOLD % signal change during eRAVANS compared with iRAVANS (0.93% ± 0.35% vs −0.09% ± 0.43%, P = 0.02).
3.2. Functional brain connectivity response to respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation

We used the purported NTS activation reported above as a seed for whole-brain functional connectivity analyses. When contrasting eRAVANS vs SHAM in all subjects, we did not see a significant difference. However, in MIG subjects alone during eRAVANS, compared with SHAM, NTS connectivity was increased to left anterior insula (aIns), anterior midcingulate cortex (aMCC)/presupplementary motor area (preSMA, with some spread to SMA) (Table 3, Fig. 5A). We also analyzed differences in seed functional connectivity according to order of stimulation and did not find significant differences in NTS connectivity values to aIns ($0.81 \pm 0.95$ vs $0.71 \pm 0.95$, $P = 0.75$) or aMCC ($0.93 \pm 1.45$ vs $0.14 \pm 1.39$, $P = 0.12$) when comparing subjects randomized to having eRAVANS first in order vs those randomized to have eRAVANS after SHAM. A further exploratory ROI analysis revealed greater NTS connectivity to aIns ($0.9 \pm 0.29$ vs $0.02 \pm 0.32$, $P = 0.03$) and aMCC ($1.09 \pm 0.33$ vs $0.01 \pm 0.39$, $P = 0.04$) in MIG during eRAVANS administration compared with iRAVANS stimulation.

We then evaluated potential links between these brain responses and MIG clinical variables of interest. For the evaluation of purported NTS connectivity correlations with interictal phase index, 2 subjects who were scanned shortly after or before a migraine attack (interictal phase index of 4 and 100) were excluded, as the perictal period may differentially modulate functional connectivity. We found a significant negative correlation between NTS connectivity (eRAVANS vs SHAM) to aIns ($r = -0.83$, $P = 0.0003$) and aMCC ($r = -0.76$, $P = 0.001$) with the interictal phase index (Fig. 5B). This association was also present if the 2 perictal phase subjects were included in the analysis (aINS: $r = -0.80$, $P = 0.0002$; aMCC: $r = -0.54$, $P = 0.02$). Thus, MIG patients who were closer to their next migraine attack showed a reduced increase in connectivity in response to eRAVANS. No significant correlations were found to any other clinical variables.

**NTS connectivity during eRAVANS in Migraine**

![Diagram showing functional connectivity from left nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS) to higher brain regions in response to RAVANS stimulation.](image)

(A) Difference in maps contrasting functional NTS connectivity during RAVANS vs SHAM stimulation noted increased connectivity in left aIns (aIns), left anterior midcingulate cortex and presupplementary motor area in migraine patients. (B) Correlation between NTS connectivity (eRAVANS–SHAM) to regions found in (A) and interictal phase at the time of the scan (a relative ratio between preceding and subsequent attacks). Greater interictal phase index was correlated with lower NTS connectivity to aIns and anterior midcingulate cortex—i.e., NTS connectivity with cortical regions was reduced as migraine subjects approached their next migraine attack. HC, healthy controls; MIG, migraine patients.
3.3. Respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation modulation of brainstem response to air-puff stimulation

For air-puff stimulation scans, no subjects were removed from analysis because of excessive head motion, and average displacement did not differ between MIG and HC (root mean square displacement: $0.11 \pm 0.09$ mm and $0.11 \pm 0.05$ mm, respectively, $P = 0.91$). When contrasting air-puff post- vs air-puff pre-eRAVANS stimulation in all subjects (MIG plus HC), we did not see any significant difference. However in MIG patients alone, permutation analysis revealed a significantly increased activation in small clusters in the upper pons consistent with nucleus raphe centralis (peak MNI voxel coordinates [X, Y, Z; mm]: $0, -36, -28$, obex $+32$ mm, using verticalized brainstem coordinate) and left locus coeruleus (peak MNI voxel coordinates [X, Y, Z; mm]: $-4, -36, -26$, obex $+34$ mm, using verticalized brainstem coordinate) (Table 3, Fig. 6). We further interrogated these results by performing a $2 \times 2$ ANOVA with both STIMULATION (eRAVANS, SHAM) and GROUP (MIG and HC) factors, and a significant STIMULATION by GROUP interaction for both nucleus raphe centralis ($F = 6.59, P = 0.01$) and locus coeruleus ($F = 3.99, P = 0.04$) was found. Post hoc analyses showed a significant increase in activation of these nuclei to air-puff stimulation for MIG after eRAVANS vs SHAM compared with HC (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

Our fMRI results show that exhalatory-gated RAVANS (eRAVANS) effectively activates a pontomedullary nucleus consistent with purported ipsilateral (left) NTS. Activation was stronger for exhalatory-gated, compared with SHAM and inhalation-gated RAVANS. Furthermore, during eRAVANS in episodic migraine patients, functional connectivity was increased between NTS and aIns, aMCC and preSMA, which are regions

![Effects of eRAVANS on brainstem response to air-puff stimulation](image)

Figure 6. Poststimulus effects of eRAVANS on brainstem response to tactile (air-puff) trigeminal sensory stimulation. eRAVANS increased activation to air-puff stimulation in nucleus raphe centralis (Obex $+32$ mm) and left locus coeruleus (Obex $+34$ mm). Images are overlayed on MNI space template rotated for consistency with the Duvernoy brainstem atlas. Increased nucleus raphe centralis and locus coeruleus activity after eRAVANS vs SHAM was significantly greater in migraine subjects compared with healthy controls. HC, healthy controls; MIG, migraine patients. **$P < 0.01$. Plot error bars denote standard error of the mean. *z-coordinates refer to MNI space results and not to the particular image visualized. 
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known to be involved in pain experience and/or regulation. Increased connectivity was inversely correlated with relative time to the next migraine attack, which might suggest clinical relevance to this change in connectivity. Poststimulation effects were also noted, immediately after eRAVANS. Specifically, we found increased activation in putative pontine serotonergic (ie, nucleus raphe centrals) and noradrenergic (ie, locus coeruleus) brainstem nuclei in response to trigeminal sensory afference, after eRAVANS. These results support a neurophysiological model by which tVNS in general, and eRAVANS in particular, may modulate brain processing in episodic migraine.

Our group has previously proposed that ABVN stimulation gated to exhalation may optimize tVNS. Previous studies have shown that the NTS receives (1) afference from pulmonary stretch receptors and aortic baroreceptors, (2) inhibitory inputs from VRG nuclei in the medulla during inhalation, and (3) facilitatory inputs during exhalation. Exhalatory stimulation, when NTS is not receiving inhibitory VRG influence, may thus enhance NTS-mediated modulation of upstream brainstem and cortical circuitry involved in pain regulation. Furthermore, by supplying afference with intermittent, naturally irregular inspiration, respiratory-gated tVNS may limit the neural habituation occurring with repeated stimulation over tens of seconds or even minutes, common with most VNS and tVNS applications.

A preliminary study has suggested a potential therapeutic effect of tVNS in migraine; however, the mechanisms underlying such focused somatosensory afference therapy have not been elucidated. Imaging studies have shown interictal abnormalities in migraineurs in subcortical and brainstem regions supporting somatosensory processing. These regions might also modulate activity in nonsomatosensory regions that regulate the wide range of symptoms characteristic of migraine, such as yawning, nausea, fatigue, craving and motor clumsiness, allostasia, photophobia, phonophobia, ophthalmia, and irritability. More specifically, the NTS in the dorsal medulla of the brainstem is the recipient of most afferent vagal fibers, including the ABVN, and is an important processing relay center for a variety of vital functions. Studies indicate that the rostral segment of the NTS sends axons to the facial, trigeminal, and hypoglossal nuclei, whereas the caudal segment sends axons to efferent (premotor) parasympathetic nuclei, including the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus and nucleus ambiguous (Namb). Our results suggest activation of the rostral NTS segment, supporting a potential modulatory effect on trigeminal sensory complex pathways. This is in line with previous animal studies reporting that invasive direct vagal stimulation suppresses Sp5 response to noxious stimulation of the face or dura. The area activated by eRAVANS is consistent with NTS according to the commonly used brainstem atlas. It is possible that stimulation also activated Sp5, which is located just ventral to NTS. In fact, previous animal studies using horseradish peroxidase applied to ABVN have demonstrated labeling in both NTS and Sp5. However, our previous IMRI study in MIG subjects found that somatosensory stimulation over the right forehead in the ophthalmic nerve (V1) trigeminal territory also produced activation in a pontomedullary junction cluster, but was more cranial and ventrolateral compared with the cluster noted in response to eRAVANS, and more consistent with atlas definitions of Sp5.

Importantly, NTS also transfers information to monoamine nuclei in the brainstem such as locus coeruleus (noradrenergic) and raphe (serotonergic) nuclei. Our results showing brainstem activation immediately after eRAVANS suggest that this intervention may increase the response of putative raphe nuclei to tactile stimulation over the ophthalmic trigeminal nerve area. The raphe nuclei play an important role in the release of serotonin in the central nervous system and antinociceptive processing. These nuclei are part of a descending pain inhibitory system, which also receives strong inputs from the cortex and animal experiments have shown that raphe activation can modify nociceptive input at the level of Sp5. Moreover, raphe activity can be modulated by common migraine medications, suggesting a pivotal role of these nuclei in the therapeutic response to pharmacotherapy in migraine.

Based on our results, we further hypothesize that eRAVANS increases response in locus coeruleus to tactile stimulation of the trigeminal sensory pathway. The locus coeruleus is the main source of noradrenaline production in the brain with connections to cortical structures and to the spinal cord dorsal horn. This nucleus also inhibits nociceptive processing in the sensory trigeminal nucleus and Sp5, similar to raphe nuclei. In addition, locus coeruleus could be involved in vascular regulation of migraine through direct projections to both intracranial and extracranial vasculature. As previously discussed with NTS and Sp5, locus coeruleus is also located just medial to another structure related with trigemino-sensory afference, the mesencephalic trigeminal nucleus. This nucleus has been involved in the processing of proprioceptive signals of the face. Thus, our cluster may also include mesencephalic trigeminal nucleus. If so, eRAVANS may have the potential to regulate abnormal trigeminal somatosensory/propiroceptive processing, which could also have implications for migraine therapeutics.
should evaluate whether the effects of eRAVANS on NTS connectivity to insula and cingulate cortex are directly linked to improved autonomic symptomatology and/or reduced autonomic response to noiceception in migraine patients.

Finally, we found an inverse relationship between increased NTS connectivity to insula and cingulate cortices and relative time to next migraine attack (greater interictal phase index). Our previous study supported the clinical relevance of the interictal phase index, as the Sp5 response to trigeminoinsensory afference was associated with this index.40 The observed increased association in this study may reflect a beneficial response as (1) connectivity is reduced as patients approach their next attack and (2) healthy controls demonstrate increased NTS–insula connectivity in response to eRAVANS compared with SHAM. If increased connectivity is indeed beneficial, it would suggest that the use of eRAVANS might improve clinical outcomes if applied relatively early after patients’ previous attack, with repeated applications aimed at maintaining this elevated connectivity response. Furthermore, this effect might also be associated with other clinical variables such as pain intensity; however, further longitudinal studies with neuroimaging and clinical evaluation will be needed to evaluate these hypotheses. Interestingly, closer inspection of the correlation plot suggests that the connectivity to interictal phase association may not be linear as connectivity may still be reduced at relatively low (<20) interictal phases (ie, close to previous migraine attack). This lagged response may reflect the potential for multiday durations of the migraine attack in some patients and/or delay in neurophysiological recovery from the previous attack.

Several limitations to our study should be mentioned. Although clinical measures were not different between migraine patients with and without aura, our sample size did not allow for direct comparisons of fMRI measures between these subgroups. In this study, our main aim was to evaluate the effects of eRAVANS vs SHAM in the modulation of brainstem activity and connectivity of MIG patients; however, we also included exploratory comparisons with inhalatory-gated stimulation to identify the specificity of the effects of RAVANS to each respiratory phase (exhalation/inhalation). Given that the order of iRAVANS was not counterbalanced relative to eRAVANS, potential order confounds may have affected our results. Although we cannot rule out these effects, our results showing no significant differences in NTS response to eRAVANS when delivered before or after SHAM suggest that the order of stimulation did not have a significant impact. As another limitation, we only observed activation of rostral NTS in response to eRAVANS and not of other NTS segments such as interstitial, dorsal, and commissural subnuclei. This lack of activation may have been due to the small cross-sectional area of the NTS, and future studies using ultrahigh field (eg, 7T) fMRI, with better SNR and spatial resolution, should be performed.

In conclusion, our data suggest that RAVANS, particularly eRAVANS, activates NTS and is associated with greater NTS connectivity to brain areas known to be involved in pain regulation in migraine patients. In fact, increased purported NTS connectivity to insula and cingulate cortex was correlated with interictal phase of migraine episodes, suggesting optimal timing for initiation of RAVANS therapy and a potential mechanistic target. In addition, our results suggest that eRAVANS modulated brainstem raphe nuclei and the locus coeruleus response to somatosensory afference over the ophthalmic trigeminal nerve. This suggests modulation of serotoninergic and noradrenergic pain inhibitory pathways. Future studies should evaluate the longitudinal effects of RAVANS, linking stimulus-evoked brainstem response to meaningful long-term clinical outcomes in migraine.
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