Nonequilibrium work relation beyond the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution
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The presence of multiplicative noise can alter measurements of forces acting on nanoscopic objects. Taking into account of multiplicative noise, we derive a series of nonequilibrium thermodynamical equalities as generalization of the Jarzynski equality, the detailed fluctuation theorem and the Hatano-Sasa relation. Our result demonstrates that the Jarzynski equality and the detailed fluctuation theorem remains valid only for systems with the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution at the equilibrium state, but the Hatano-Sasa relation is robust with respect to different stochastic interpretations of multiplicative noise.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The calculation of free energy changes is a central endeavor of nonequilibrium physics. A series of remarkable equalities, such as the Jarzynski equality [1], the Hatano-Sasa relation [2], and the fluctuation theorem [3–9], enables the calculation of free energy changes from repeated nonequilibrium force measurements [10–12]. However, a recent experiment for a Brownian particle near a wall demonstrates that multiplicative noise alters measurements of forces on nanoscopic objects [13]. The force-measurement process thus requires multiplicative noise to be carefully taken into account. The uncertainty caused by multiplicative noise can be traced to the controversy over choosing the interpretation for stochastic dynamics [14,15]. Therefore, how this uncertainty may affect the calculation of free energy changes from nonequilibrium force measurements is crucial to be explored.

In this paper, we provide a series of nonequilibrium thermodynamical equalities compatible for the general stochastic interpretation of multiplicative noise. They can be regarded as a generalization of the Jarzynski equality, the detailed fluctuation theorem and the Hatano-Sasa relation. Our result (7) demonstrates that repeated nonequilibrium work measurements with the Jarzynski equality does not lead to the free energy change. Instead, it corresponds to a ratio of generalized partition functions at the initial and final equilibrium states. This generalized partition function can quantify the effect of multiplicative noise and corresponds to the Helmholtz free energy in the case of the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution as the equilibrium state distribution, where the anti-Ito interpretation is preferable [15,16]. Otherwise, the generalized Jarzynski equality is dependent on the diffusion coefficient.

The generalized fluctuation theorem (9) can also reduce to the conventional detailed fluctuation theorem for nonequilibrium work distribution under the anti-Ito interpretation. Interestingly, the generalized Hatano-Sasa relation (11) keeps the same form as the conventional one even under the general stochastic interpretation. Because the Hatano-Sasa relation is derived originally in the special case with additive noise [2], we generalize it to systems with multiplicative noise compatible with the general stochastic interpretation.

We consider the overdamped Langevin dynamics with multiplicative noise as our model. The fluctuation theorems derived for these dynamics were usually based on the path integral framework under Stratonovich’s interpretation [17,18], or the Feynman-Kac formula under Ito’s interpretation [7]. Our derivation here is for the general stochastic interpretation by applying a path integral formulation provided in the Appendix A. It has significant differences compared with the previous path integral formulas [19–22] and can lead to correct transition probabilities for the general stochastic interpretation [23]. With this path integral framework, we can discuss whether the fluctuation theorems are affected by the stochastic interpretation.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we propose the generalization of the Jarzynski equality, the detailed fluctuation theorem, and the Hatano-Sasa relation. In Sec. III, we give the detailed derivation of our main result by introducing the reverse process and the path integral framework. In Sec. IV, we summarize our work. In the appendix, we provide the construction of the path integral formulation from the overdamped Langevin dynamics.

II. GENERALIZED NONEQUILIBRIUM WORK RELATIONS

For the convenience of comparison, we first state the Jarzynski equality:

\[
\langle \exp(-W) \rangle = \exp(-\Delta F) = \frac{Z_1[\lambda(t_N)]}{Z_1[\lambda(t_0)]},
\]

where \(\langle \cdots \rangle\) denotes an ensemble average of measurements of work, \(\Delta F\) is the Helmholtz free energy change between two equilibria, and \(Z_1[\lambda(t_N)]\) is the partition function corresponding to the Helmholtz free energy. The Boltzmann constant multiplying the temperature \((1/\beta = k_B T)\) is set to be a unit. In this equation, \(W\) denotes values of work defined as

\[
W^F = \int_{t_0}^{t_N} dt \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda}(t, \lambda(t)).
\]

The system evolves as \(\lambda(t)\) changes according to a protocol, \(\lambda(t)\) from time \(t_0\) to \(t_N\), where
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the superscript $F$ means the forward process. The system is assumed initially to be in an equilibrium state distribution (e.g., Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution) with $\lambda(t_0)$ and converges to the same form of equilibrium state distribution with $\lambda(t_f)$ after the completion of the manipulation.

To discover the effect of multiplicative noise on the nonequilibrium work relations, we consider the overdamped Langevin equation with multiplicative noise in one dimension as our model:

$$\dot{x} = f(x; \lambda) + g(x; \lambda)\xi(t), \quad (2)$$

where $x$ can denote the overdamped motion of a colloidal particle or other system with a single continuous degree of freedom, $\dot{x}$ denotes its time derivative, $f(x; \lambda)$ is the drift term modeling a deterministic force, and $g^2(x; \lambda)/2$ is the diffusion coefficient. The parameter $\lambda$ can denote a set of control parameters reflecting such as the influence of an external force on the system [1]. Here, $\xi(t)$ is Gaussian white noise with $\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0, \langle \xi(t)\xi(s) \rangle = \delta(t-s)$, where the average is taken with respect to the noise distribution.

Avoiding mathematical complication, we restrict our discussion in this paper to the natural (free) boundary condition [24], which means that the probability current is zero on the boundary. We do not discuss the periodic boundary condition, e.g., the motion on a ring [17]. However, the calculation may be repeated for other boundary conditions [17,24]. Distinguishing the force and gradient of the potential function is necessary only in the case of the periodic boundary condition on a ring of finite length [9]. Besides, with recent works on a decomposition of a dynamical system [25–27], the potential function can be successfully constructed in systems with the limit cycle [28,29].

For this Langevin equation, a freedom in choosing the integration method leads to different stochastic interpretations [14], and a general notation is the so-called $\alpha$ interpretation [30]: the values 0, 1/2, 1 of $\alpha$ correspond to Ito’s, Stratonovich’s [14], and anti-Ito’s [16], separately. For a given Langevin equation with multiplicative noise, a stochastic interpretation needs to be specified to describe a real process. The experiments also show different preferable stochastic interpretations [13,31,32]. Another recent experiment on the Stratonovich-to-Ito transition ($\alpha \in [0,0.5]$) [33] demonstrates that the stochastic interpretation can be manipulated, and different $\alpha$ values cause various concrete consequences in real processes. Thus, if no prior knowledge is available for the system under consideration, the stochastic interpretation adopted should be determined by the available experimental data. On the other hand, given a Langevin equation with a specified stochastic interpretation, one can change the interpretation by adding a corresponding drift term [14,20,30]. Thus, different stochastic interpretations can be transformed from one to another in a mathematically consistent manner.

For the Langevin equation under the $\alpha$ interpretation, the corresponding dynamical process for the probability distribution is given by the following Fokker-Planck equation [30]:

$$\partial_t \rho(x,t) = -\partial_x [(f + \alpha g^2)\rho(x,t)] + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x^2 [g^2(x)^2 \rho(x,t)], \quad (3)$$

where the superscript prime denotes derivative to $x$. We assume that the probability distribution described by the Fokker-Planck equation converges to the normalized distribution:

$$\rho_{eq}(x; \lambda) = \frac{1}{Z_{\alpha}(\lambda)} \exp[-V_{eq}(x; \lambda)], \quad (4)$$

where the generalized partition function is

$$Z_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp[-V_{eq}(x; \lambda)] dx. \quad (5)$$

From the condition that the probability current in the Fokker-Planck equation is vanishing under the natural (free) boundary condition for the equilibrium state, $j(x,t) \equiv (f + (\alpha - 1)g^2/2)\partial_x \rho(x,t) - (g^2/2)\partial_t \rho(x,t) = 0$, the explicit form for the “equilibrium state potential” is [15]

$$V_{eq}(x; \lambda) = \phi(x; \lambda) + (1 - \alpha) \ln g^2(x; \lambda). \quad (6)$$

Here, $\phi(x; \lambda)$ denotes the potential function constructed in the overdamped Langevin dynamics (2). It satisfies $f(x; \lambda) = -[g^2(x; \lambda)/2] \partial_x \phi(x; \lambda)$ with the help of the Einstein relation [13,25,34]. It corresponds to the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution at the equilibrium state: $\rho_{BG}(x; \lambda) = \exp[\mathcal{F}(\lambda) - \phi(x; \lambda)]$, where $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ is the Helmholtz free energy for the canonical ensemble. For the system without detailed balance, this potential function can also be constructed with the aid of the generalized Einstein relation [26,27]. From the point of view of a dynamical system, the potential function $\phi$ serves as the Lyapunov function guiding dynamics to the attractor [28,29,35] and equals the Hamiltonian with symplectic structure in a limiting case [25].

Taking into account multiplicative noise, we obtain the generalized the Jarzynski equality for the overdamped Langevin dynamics:

$$\langle \exp(-\tilde{W}) \rangle = \frac{Z_{\alpha}[\lambda(\tilde{t}_N)]}{Z_{\alpha}[\lambda(\tilde{t}_0)]}, \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{W}$ denotes values for the work along a single trajectory, which is defined as

$$\tilde{W}^F \equiv \int_{\tilde{t}_0}^{\tilde{t}_N} e^{-\lambda \phi} \partial_{\lambda} V_{eq} \partial_{\lambda} dt. \quad (8)$$

The work defined by Eq. (8) is the external work done on the system [7]. It is physically measurable in experiments, and repeated measurements on it for the nonequilibrium process leads to the free energy difference between equilibriums [11,36]. An interesting special case is when the diffusion coefficient is independent of the control parameter, i.e., when $g(x,\lambda)$ becomes $g(x)$. In this situation, the work $\tilde{W}^F$ is equivalent to $\int_{\tilde{t}_0}^{\tilde{t}_N} e^{-\lambda \phi} \partial_{\lambda} \phi \partial_{\lambda} dt$ [27], and $\tilde{W} = W$ for the system governed by the Hamiltonian even under the general stochastic interpretation.

Under the anti-Ito interpretation ($\alpha = 1$), $Z_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ becomes the conventional partition function corresponding to the Helmholtz free energy: $F(\lambda) = -\ln Z_1(\lambda)$ [27]. The value $\alpha = 1$ is also verified as the proper choice for calculations on the mesoscopic forces in a recent experiment [13]. The reason is that the anti-Ito interpretation leads to the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution at the equilibrium state [15], i.e., $\rho_{eq}(x; \lambda) = \rho_{BG}(x; \lambda)$. In this case, Eq. (7) reduces to Eq. (1). As a result, Eq. (7) demonstrates that the free energy difference could be accurately calculated by nonequilibrium work measurements.
using the Jarzynski equality only for the system with the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution at the equilibrium state. For general cases, \( \ln(\exp(-W)) \) does not correspond to the Helmholtz free energy difference between two equilibriums.

The above result (7) is obtained from the generalized fluctuation theorem:

\[
\frac{\rho^F(W)}{\rho^R(-W)} = \frac{Z_{\alpha}^{\lambda(t_N)}}{Z_{\alpha}^{\lambda(t_0)}} \exp(\tilde{W}),
\]

with the detailed derivation through the path integral framework in the following. Similarly, only when \( \alpha = 1 \) does Eq. (9) reduce to the detailed fluctuation theorem for the nonequilibrium work distribution [3]:

\[
\frac{\rho^F(W)}{\rho^R(-W)} = \exp(W - \Delta F). \quad (10)
\]

The generalized Jarzynski equality describes the relation between the free energy change and the work. Another equality about the equilibrium state distribution \( \rho_{eq} \) is given by the generalized Hatano-Sasa relation. To see this, we can rewrite Eq (7) as

\[
\left( \exp \left[ \int_{t_0}^{t_N} dt \frac{\partial \ln \rho_{eq}(x; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \lambda \right] \right) = 1. \quad (11)
\]

Note that Eq. (11) is the same as the conventional Hatano-Sasa relation [2, 11] even for the general stochastic interpretation. This Eq. (11) shows that the Hatano-Sasa relation is robust with respect to the stochastic interpretation.

The previous work on nonequilibrium work relations for systems with multiplicative noise [17] is derived by the path integral formulation under Stratonovich’s interpretation. Their work is different from our result of \( \alpha = 1/2 \) but holds the same form as that of \( \alpha = 1 \). Besides, our work is a generalization of the previous work about the generalized Jarzynski equality based on the Feynman-Kac formula in the inhomogeneous diffusion process [7]. The diffusion process considered there is under Ito’s interpretation. In addition, our result is consistent with the work by studying the Liouville-type equation with the Feynman-Kac formula [36].

III. DETAILED DERIVATION OF MAIN RESULT

In this section, we demonstrate how to derive Eq. (9) by first introducing the reverse process. For the dynamics described by Eq. (3), we take the reverse process governed by the reverse protocol \( \lambda^R(t) = \lambda^F(-t) \) and the following transformation \( T \) [34, 37]:

\[
t \rightarrow -t, \quad \alpha \rightarrow (1 - \alpha), \quad f \rightarrow f - (1 - 2\alpha)g^\prime g. \quad (12)
\]

The idea of the construction of this transformation is as follows: First, we let \( t \rightarrow -t \), and then we need \( \alpha \rightarrow (1 - \alpha) \) to ensure that our observation of the trajectories for the forward and the reverse processes is at the same series of points on the time axis. Second, after replacing \( \alpha \) by \( 1 - \alpha \), the equilibrium state distribution for Eq. (3) is changed. To guarantee that the reverse process subjects to the \( \alpha \)-interpretation Fokker-Planck equation with a reverse current [only with \( t \rightarrow -t \) in Eq. (3)], we should also modify the drift term accordingly, which leads to the transformation \( T \). Thus, this transformation ensures that the forward and the reverse processes converge to an unique form of the equilibrium state distribution, and we will use this property in the following derivation. In a recent experiment realizing the Stratonovich-to-Ito transition [33], the drift part added with the term \( 3/2 \alpha g^\prime \) (\( \alpha \in [0,0.5] \)) can be implemented. Therefore, the reverse process given by the transformation \( T \) is achievable experimentally.

We next give the detailed derivation of Eq. (9) through calculating the ratio between the transition probabilities of the forward dynamical process and the corresponding reverse process. To guarantee that the forward and the reverse processes are described by the same set of sampling points, the fluctuation theorem for the overdamped Langevin dynamics with multiplicative noise was usually based on the path integral under Stratonovich’s interpretation [17, 18]. Our derivation of the fluctuation theorem here is for the general stochastic interpretation by applying the path integral formulation provided in the appendix. It follows the path integral formulation recently developed for the overdamped Langevin dynamics without the control parameter [23].

According to the path integral formulation derived in the appendix, the conditional probability of observing a specific trajectory \( \{x(t)|t_0 \leq t \leq t_N \} \) in the forward process is

\[
P^F(x_N| x_{0N}) = \exp \left\{ -\int_{t_0}^{t_N} \mathcal{S}_+(x(t), \lambda_f(t); \lambda_f(t)) dt \right\}, \quad (13)
\]

with

\[
\mathcal{S}_+(x(t), \lambda_f(t); \lambda_f(t)) = \left[ \dot{x} - f - (\alpha - 1/2)g^\prime g^2 \right] / (2g^2) + (g/2)[f/g + (\alpha - 1/2)g^\prime g^2] - (\partial \ln g / \partial \lambda) \lambda_f / 2.
\]

Here, we do not write down the measure and will add them when doing the ensemble average over trajectories. Next, let the corresponding reverse trajectory is \( \{x^\prime(t)|x^\prime(t) = x(-t)\} \). Then, the conditional probability of this reverse trajectory is

\[
P^R(x_N| x_{0N}) = \exp \left\{ -\int_{t_0}^{t_N} \mathcal{S}_-(x(t), \dot{x}^\prime(t); \lambda_f(t)) dt \right\}, \quad (14)
\]

where \( \mathcal{S}_-(x(t), \dot{x}^\prime(t); \lambda_f(t)) \equiv T \mathcal{S}_+(x(t), \dot{x}^\prime(t); \lambda_f(t)) \). Under the transformation \( T \), the term \( g\dot{f}/g + (\alpha - 1/2)g^\prime g^2 / 2 \) is invariant. We thus have

\[
\mathcal{S}_+(x, \dot{x}; \lambda_f) = \mathcal{S}_-(x, \dot{x}; \lambda_f).
\]

The ratio between the conditional probabilities is

\[
\frac{P^F(x_N| x_{0N})}{P^R(x_N| x_{0N})} = \exp \left\{ -\int_{t_0}^{t_N} \left[ \dot{x} - f - (\alpha - 1)g^\prime g - (\partial \ln g / \partial \dot{x}) \dot{x} \right] dt \right\} + \int_{t_0}^{t_N} \frac{\partial \ln g}{\partial \dot{x}} \dot{x}^2 dt. \quad (15)
\]

The ratio between the unconditional probabilities is obtained by multiplying the initial distributions. When we choose \( \rho_{eq} \) as the form of the initial distributions for both the forward
and the reverse processes, we have
\[
P_F(x) = \left. \frac{g[x, \lambda(t_N)]}{g[x_0, \lambda(t_0)]} \exp(-\tilde{W}^F) \right|_{x_N}^{x_0}.
\] (16)

To ensure the normalization condition for the probability, the measure for the initial distribution should be given according to \(\rho_{eq} \). Thus, the measure for the forward process is
\[
\int \mathcal{D}x = \frac{dx_0}{Z_0(\lambda(0))} \lim_{N \to \infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{dx_n}{\sqrt{2\pi \tau} g[x_n, \lambda_n(t_n)]}.
\] (17)

For the reverse process, the corresponding measure can be written as follows:
\[
\int \mathcal{D}x' = \frac{dx_0}{Z_0(\lambda(0))} \lim_{N \to \infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{dx_n}{\sqrt{2\pi \tau} g[x_n, \lambda_n(t_n)]}.
\] (18)

where we have used the conjugate relation for the forward and the reverse trajectories.

Let \(\rho_F(\tilde{W})\) denote the distribution of \(\tilde{W}\) values by a realization through the path integral for the forward process, and \(\rho_R(\tilde{W})\) for the reverse process. We define \(\tilde{W}^R = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_N} \lambda R(\partial \rho_{eq})/\partial \lambda dt\). Then, \(\tilde{W}\) is odd under the time reversal in the sense that \(\tilde{W}^R(x') = -\tilde{W}^F(x)\). Combing Eqs. (16)–(18), we have
\[
\rho_F(\tilde{W}) = \int \mathcal{D}x P_F(x) \delta(\tilde{W} - \tilde{W}^F(x))
\]
\[
= \exp(\tilde{W}) \frac{Z_0[\lambda(t_0)]}{Z_0[\lambda(t_0)]} \int \mathcal{D}x' P_R(x') \delta(\tilde{W} + \tilde{W}^R(x'))
\]
\[
= \exp(\tilde{W}) \frac{Z_0[\lambda(t_0)]}{Z_0[\lambda(t_0)]} \rho_R(-\tilde{W}),
\] (19)

which leads to Eq. (9).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have obtained a series of nonequilibrium work relations as a generalization of the Jarzynski equality, the detailed fluctuation theorem, and the Hatano-Sasa relation for the overdamped Langevin dynamics with multiplicative noise. Our result has demonstrated that, in the presence of multiplicative noise, the free energy change calculated by nonequilibrium work measurements using the Jarzynski equality remains valid only for the system with the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution at the equilibrium state. For systems with general stochastic interpretations of multiplicative noise, the generalized Jarzynski equality has provided a connection between the nonequilibrium work measurements and the generalized partition function. The robustness of the Hatano-Sasa relation with respect to the stochastic interpretation has also been shown. The nonequilibrium thermodynamical equalities derived here remain to be tested experimentally.

For the overdamped Langevin dynamics with multiplicative noise, recent works on a decomposition of the dynamical system [25–27] provide a constructive method to find the potential function leading to the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution. This framework assigns a specific stochastic interpretation for the Langevin dynamics, which corresponds to anti-Ito’s in one dimension and goes beyond the \(\alpha\) interpretation when the dimension is larger than one [38]. With this framework, how to generalize our derivation here to systems without detailed balance is an interesting topic to be explored.
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APPENDIX: THE PATH INTEGRAL FRAMEWORK

In this appendix, we provide the path integral formulation, which is used to derive the generalized fluctuation theorem in the main text. This formulation follows our previous work on the path integral construction for the Langevin dynamics without the external control parameter [23].

For the Langevin equation (2) under the \(\alpha\) interpretation, by modifying the drift term, we have the equivalent Langevin equation under Stratonovich’s interpretation [14]:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= f(x; \lambda) + (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) \dot{g}^\prime g(x; \lambda) + g(x; \lambda) \xi(t),
\end{align*}
\] (A1)

where the superscript prime denotes the derivative with respect to \(x\). The advantage of using this Stratonovich’s form is that ordinary calculus rule can be simply applied [34]. Then, this equation can be transformed to be a Langevin equation with a additive noise by a change of variable \(q = H(x; \lambda)\) with \(H'(x; \lambda) = 1/g(x; \lambda)\) [19]:
\[
\dot{q} - h(q; \lambda) = \xi(t),
\] (A2)

where we have introduced an auxiliary function
\[
h(q; \lambda) = \frac{f(H^{-1}(q); \lambda)}{g(H^{-1}(q); \lambda)} + (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) \dot{g}^\prime (H^{-1}(q); \lambda) \frac{\partial H^{-1}(q; \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}.
\] (A3)

To get the transition probability for Eq. (A2), we first discretize the time into \(N\) segments: \(t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{N-1} < t_N\) with \(\tau = t_n - t_{n-1}\) small and let \(q_n = q(t_n)\), \(\lambda_n = \lambda(t_n)\). For the sake of consistency, as we have chosen the equivalent Stratonovich form; the corresponding discretized Langevin equation needs the midpoint discretization:
\[
q_n - q_{n-1} = \frac{h(q_n; \lambda_n) + h(q_{n-1}; \lambda_{n-1})}{2} \tau = W_n - W_{n-1},
\] (A4)
where $W(t)$ is the Wiener process given by $dW(t) = \xi(t)dt$. Thus, the Jacobian for the variable transformation between $q(t)$ and $W(t)$ is

\[
J \approx \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial h(q_n; \lambda_n)}{\partial q_n} \right]. \tag{A5}
\]

Then, with the property of the Wiener process and the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation [14], the path integral formulation for Eq. (A2) is obtained:

\[
P(q_N|q_0) = \int_{q_0}^{q_N} Dq \exp \left\{-\int_{t_0}^{t_N} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\dot{q} - h)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial q} \right] dt \right\}, \tag{A6}
\]

where $f_{q_N}^{q_0} Dq \approx \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta t}} \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \int \frac{dq_n}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta t}}$. The integral of the action function in the exponent obeys ordinary calculus due to the midpoint discretization and the last term comes from the Jacobian.

By the reverse change of variables $x = H^{-1}(q; \lambda)$ with $\partial x/\partial q = g(x; \lambda)$, we get the path integral for Eq. (2) under the $\alpha$ interpretation:

\[
P(x_N|x_0) = \int_{x_0}^{x_N} Dx \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2g^2} \left( \dot{x} - f - \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) g \right)^2 \right\}
+ \frac{g}{2} \left( f + \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \right) g \right) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\ln g}{\partial \lambda} \right] dt, \tag{A7}
\]

where the measure is

\[
\int_{x_0}^{x_N} Dx = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta t}} \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \int \frac{dx_n}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta t}}. \tag{A8}
\]

Although the Jacobian term comes from the measure transformation and does not belong to the conventional action part, it is usually included in the action function for applications.

The path integral formulation derived in Ref. [23] has significant differences compared with the previous path integral formulas [19,21,22]. It shows that the path integral formulation for the overdamped Langevin equation with multiplicative noise is not unique but is $\alpha$ dependent and can generate the $\alpha$-interpretation Fokker-Planck equation [30]. It also leads to transition probabilities obeying the conservation law for general stochastic interpretations in examples.