Strain effects on the behavior of isolated and paired sulfur vacancy defects in monolayer MoS$_2$
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We investigate the behavior of sulfur vacancy defects, the most abundant type of intrinsic defect in monolayer MoS$_2$, using first-principles calculations based on density functional theory. We consider the dependence of the isolated defect formation energy on the charge state and on uniaxial tensile and compressive strain up to 5%. We also consider the possibility of defect clustering by examining the formation energies of pairs of vacancies at various relative positions, and their dependence on charge state and strain. We find that there is no driving force for vacancy clustering, independent of strain in the material. The barrier for diffusion of S vacancies is larger than 1.9 eV in both charged and neutral states regardless of the presence of other nearby vacancies. We conclude that the formation of extended defects from S vacancies in planar monolayer MoS$_2$ is hindered both thermodynamically and kinetically.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The class of semiconducting, two-dimensional (2D) materials referred to as transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) has been the subject of intense research activity due to their interesting behavior which includes their photoluminescence signature of S vacancy defects in unstrained monolayer MoS$_2$. The prototypical material in this class, MoS$_2$, has been studied for potential applications in the context of integrated circuits [21,22]. In most of these applications, the density, and a 6 Å vacuum region separating the periodic images of layers. All defect configurations are relaxed to the point where the calculated forces on atoms do not exceed in magnitude 0.05 eV/Å. The defect formation energy $E_f(q)$ in the thermodynamic limit is obtained from

$$E_f(q) = E_{\text{DFT}}^\text{def}(q) - E_{\text{DFT}}^\text{st} + \mu_S n_S + q(E_{\text{VBM}} - E_F) + E_{\text{cont}}(q),$$  

where $E_{\text{DFT}}^\text{def}(q)$ is the DFT total energy of the layer containing the defects in the charge state $q$, $E_{\text{DFT}}^\text{st}$ is the DFT total energy of the stoichiometric layer, $\mu_S$ is the chemical potential of S, $n_S$ is the number of S vacancies in the simulation cell, $E_{\text{VBM}}$ is the valence band maximum energy, $E_F$ is the Fermi level with respect to the valence band maximum, and $E_{\text{cont}}(q)$ is the electrostatic correction necessary for the charged states of the defect. For the chemical potential of S we use the DFT calculated energy of the S$_8$ molecule in the gas phase, which corresponds to 0 K and S-rich conditions. For the computation of $E_{\text{cont}}(q)$ we parametrize the dielectric profile of the model slab $\epsilon(z)$ as a piecewise constant joined by a smoothing error function, with the dielectric constant of the material being $\epsilon_L = 6, \epsilon_I = 15$ in the directions perpendicular and parallel to the layer, respectively [28], and we take the effective layer thickness to be 6 Å. We obtain the defect charge distribution $\rho_d(\vec{r})$ by summing the magnitude of the
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based on Wannier orbitals, the electronic structure of MoS$_2$ can of S atoms. In a localized representation of the electronic states boundary conditions, missing from earlier methods. With this density, we solve for the defect-induced electrostatic potential under periodic boundary conditions, $V_{\text{PBC}}(\vec{r})$, from the Poisson equation $\nabla^2(\varepsilon(\vec{r})V_{\text{PBC}}(\vec{r})) = -\rho_d(\vec{r})$, and compute the corresponding defect charge electrostatic energy under periodic boundary conditions $E_{\text{PBC}} = \frac{1}{2} \int V_{\text{PBC}}(\vec{r})\rho_d(\vec{r})d\vec{r}$. In order to obtain the electrostatic energy of the isolated charge under open boundary conditions $E_{\text{iso}}$, we extrapolate $E_{\text{PBC}}$ to the limit of an infinite model cell. The correction is then calculated as a difference of those quantities $E_{\text{corr}}(q) = E_{\text{iso}} - E_{\text{PBC}}$. This approach and its limitations and advantages are discussed in more detail in a forthcoming article [33].

### III. SULFUR VACANCIES IN MOLYBDENUM DISULFIDE

MoS$_2$ is a semiconductor, with band gap of 1.9 eV in monolayer [34–37] and 1.3 eV in bulk form [38]. The spin-orbit coupling, which is generally a prominent feature in TMDCs and especially those formed by heavy elements, does not have a substantial effect on the electronic structure of the MoS$_2$ monolayer [39]. Interestingly, the exciton binding energy is strongly enhanced in the monolayer relative to the bulk [28,40]. The Mo atoms are surrounded by trigonal prisms of S atoms. In a localized representation of the electronic states based on Wannier orbitals, the electronic structure of MoS$_2$ can be described as a combination of directed $\sigma$ bonds between Mo and S atoms (Fig. 1), lone pairs on S atoms, pointing outwards, and nonbonding states localized on the Mo atoms. In this picture, the valence bands are spanned by the $\sigma$ bonds and the valence band edge is composed of nonbonding states on Mo [41].

The intrinsic defect with the lowest formation energy in monolayer MoS$_2$ is the S vacancy [23]. Here we consider the neutral ($q = 0$) and charged ($q = -1$) states of S vacancy. We do not consider the charge state $q = -2$ since it was shown to be unstable in previous work [23].

#### A. Isolated vacancy

Upon the creation of an isolated S vacancy the relaxation of neighboring atoms is limited to within 4 Å from the vacancy in both the neutral and charged ($q = -1$) states [see Fig. 2(a)]. The displacements of atoms farther than 4 Å from the vacancy are smaller than 0.05 Å and are not shown. Two groups of S atoms can be identified depending on their position relative to the vacancy: those that are on the same side of the monolayer with the vacancy, which have larger variance in the displacement ($|\Delta \vec{R}|$) upon relaxation, and those that are on the opposite side of the monolayer from the vacancy, which have smaller displacement. Moreover, the atomic displacements around the vacancy are 10% larger for the charged state compared to neutral state. In order to understand these features, we analyze in detail the electronic structure of the defect. Creation of a S vacancy introduces two unoccupied defect levels in the band gap of the material and one fully occupied state below the valence band maximum. The localized orbitals of the states in the gap originate from the three severed Mo–S $\sigma$ bonds and, due to strong overlap between the $d$ orbitals on Mo atoms, they are delocalized between three Mo atoms adjacent to the vacancy. These localized states can potentially serve as electron traps and affect the exciton binding energy in monolayer MoS$_2$. Qualitatively, the electronic structure of defect states can be understood by considering the hybrid orbitals of $\sigma$ bonds between Mo and S atoms. For three bonds in trigonal arrangement the electronic structure is described by the following states: one occupied level is resonant with the valence band and two band gap levels are degenerate, with one nodal plane in each of them. The nodal planes are in the $xz$ and $yz$ planes, so the orbitals are labeled $\psi_x$ and $\psi_y$, respectively, see Fig. 2(b). Earlier work has shown that the S vacancy can act as an acceptor due to the presence of these empty levels [23].

We investigate how the absolute position of those states in the gap changes under uniaxial strain in the $y$ direction as defined in Fig. 1, the direction that is more susceptible to stretching and is relevant for the possible formation of defects in the recently discovered rippllocation structure [24]. Overall, the band gap of the material decreases with applied tensile strain and increases with compressive strain, in line with previous computational results [42]. Applying strain in the...
FIG. 2. (a) Magnitude of atomic displacements around the isolated S vacancy in monolayer MoS$_2$, $|\Delta \vec{R}|$, as a function of distance $d_{xy}$ between the atom and the vacancy in the $xy$ plane. (b) Isosurfaces of the defect states $|\varphi_x(\vec{r})|^2$ and $|\varphi_y(\vec{r})|^2$; the nodal planes are shown as dashed lines in each case. (c) Energy levels of the defect states $\varphi_y$ (blue), $\varphi_x$ (red), and thermodynamic charge transition level (black) in the band gap associated with a single S vacancy: their position within the gap range as a function of uniaxial strain, relative to the valence band maximum of the unstrained monolayer MoS$_2$, $\varepsilon_{\text{VBM}}^{(0)}$. (d) Formation energy of isolated S vacancy as a function of uniaxial strain.

We have also considered the transition level, that is, the position of the Fermi level of the material in the gap at which $E_f(0) = E_f(-1)$, denoted by a black line in Fig. 2(c), as a function of strain. We find that this level moves down in energy with increasing tensile strain, while compressive strain does not have a substantial effect on the transition level position. We find that the deep-acceptor character of the isolated S vacancy is preserved throughout the range of strain considered.

B. Vacancy pairs

We address next the possibility of clustering of S vacancies in the MoS$_2$ monolayer. To this end we calculate the formation energy of two S vacancies as a function of their spatial separation. We consider various configurations of the two vacancies: first on top of each other on opposite sides of the sheet, labeled (0,0), and then, three different cases for vacancies on the same side of the sheet, for both $x$ and $y$ directions: immediately adjacent vacancies labeled (0,1) and (0,1), separated by one S atom labeled (0,2) and (0,2), and separated by two S atoms labeled (0,3) and (0,3). In Fig. 3(a) we show the geometries of vacancy pairs along the $x$ direction. As far as strain is concerned, we compared two cases: unstrained material and 5% tensile strain applied along the $y$ direction. For the neutral vacancies we see virtually no dependence of the formation energy on the relative position of the defects. We attribute this finding to the following effect: due to the two-dimensional nature of the material and its small dielectric constant [44] the relaxation of the
FIG. 3. Clustering of S vacancies. (a) Defect wave functions for the pairs of S vacancies in the x direction for various relative positions; black circles highlight the vacancy positions. (b) Formation energies $E_f(q)$ for vacancy pairs in charge states $q = 0, -1, -2$ along the x direction for 0% (solid line, filled circles) and 5% (dashed line, open squares) tensile strain along the y direction. (c) Difference in formation energies of a pair of $V_S$ relative to the energy of two isolated S vacancies $\Delta E_f(q)$.

atoms around the defect is limited and, as in the isolated vacancy case, the relaxations are localized within 4 Å from the defect, leading to a small value for the elastic component of the defect interaction. Under 5% strain, the neutral vacancy pair formation energy increases almost uniformly by about 0.6 eV for all relative positions of the vacancies, which is very close to twice the 0.3 eV increase in formation energy of the isolated vacancy, see Fig. 2(d). From these results we conclude that the elastic interaction between neutral vacancies is negligible. For the case of two charged vacancies ($q = -2$) the formation energies of pairs of vacancies on the same side of the sheet ($0, n_x, n_y$), $n = 1, 2, 3$ are very close to each other; the formation energy under strain decreases slightly, similar to the isolated vacancy case, see Fig. 2(d). For $q = -1$ there is a slight increase in pair formation energy with strain. Formation energies along the x direction are shown in Fig. 3(b). Formation energies along the y direction (not shown) are very similar to those along the x direction, indicating that the strain-induced anisotropy has negligible effect on vacancy cluster formation. An interesting quantity is the difference of the pair formation energy from the energy of two isolated vacancies $\Delta E_f(q)$, shown in Fig. 3(c). For the neutral ($q = 0$) and charged ($q = -1$) cases the pair formation energy is slightly (up to 0.1 eV) larger than the energy of two isolated vacancies. For $q = -2$, the difference is more pronounced, a fact that we attribute to the higher electrostatic energy of the defect-induced charge. Moreover, the distances between the Mo atoms around the (0,0) vacancy for $q = -2$ are 0.05 Å larger than in the neutral state, consistent with the occupation of defect-induced antibonding levels. In all charge states, the formation energies of pairs in configurations $(0, 1_x), (0, 2_x), (0, 3_x)$ are very close to each other indicating no thermodynamic driving force for the clustering of S vacancies.

C. Diffusion energy barriers

We have also considered the possibility of diffusion of the S vacancies. We use the climbing image nudged elastic band method (CI-NEB) [45] for the computation of the activation energies for diffusion of the S vacancy between adjacent sites. The diffusion pathways in the cases of the isolated and the paired S vacancy are essentially identical, as suggested also by the diffusion energy barriers, so we only discuss the case of the isolated vacancy, see Fig. 4(a). We find that the barrier for isolated vacancy diffusion in the neutral ($q = 0$) state is 2.24 eV, a very large barrier for a thermally activated process. We investigated if the diffusion can be facilitated by other factors, such as applied strain, the presence of other vacancies nearby, or the charge state of the defect. We find that the barrier decreases with applied strain, to 1.95 eV for 5% uniaxial tensile strain, see Fig. 4(b); this result can be rationalized by considering that the strained material can more easily accommodate the lattice relaxation related to defect diffusion. Finally, the diffusion barrier in the negatively charged ($q = -1$) state is lowered by 0.3 eV compared to the neutral case, for the isolated vacancy, see Fig. 4(c). This result is in line with our previous discussion of charge-induced lattice softening caused by occupation of the antibonding levels in the band gap. Overall, we find that the diffusion of sulfur vacancies is a thermodynamically hindered process, as confirmed by recent experimental work [46] indicating a vacancy jump frequency of 1 per 40 s. Taking into account the absence of a driving force for clustering of the vacancies,
we find that the formation of extended defects is an unlikely process barring strong external perturbation, like electron beam irradiation \[46,47\].

**IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION**

In summary we have reported a comprehensive examination of the properties of S vacancies, the most prevalent defects in monolayer MoS\(_2\), using first-principles calculations. We find that the formation energy of the neutral S vacancy increases under uniaxial strain in the \(y\) direction. For the negatively charged vacancy it decreases under both compressive and tensile strain; we attribute this finding to the fact that defect-induced states in the gap have antibonding character and their occupation leads to lattice softening. Our results also indicate that defect-induced lattice reorganization is very localized and there is no elastic interaction between two adjacent vacancies in either the neutral or the charged state. Accordingly, there is no thermodynamic driving force for the clustering of S vacancies and the barrier for the diffusion of vacancies is high, larger than 1.95 eV, in all cases considered.

It is interesting to examine these findings in the context of the optical properties of exfoliated mineral MoS\(_2\) \[24\] that may contain a large number of vacancy defects, including some in the neighborhood of the rippllocation structure \[48\]. First, the large formation energy of isolated S vacancies and pairs of vacancies, as well as the large barrier for the diffusion, strongly suggest that such defects are unlikely to form under thermodynamic equilibrium conditions. Second, the presence of tensile strain, as is likely the case in large deformations such as the rippllocation, does not change the formation energy or diffusion barrier of neutral S vacancies substantially to alter their equilibrium properties. Therefore, if S vacancies are present they will have to be introduced by external factors, like large forces during exfoliation. Moreover, if vacancies are present, their luminescence properties will be affected by the local strain. Another important factor in the rippllocation geometry can be the bending of the monolayer, which introduces deformation different than the uniform strain considered here; this deserves further detailed consideration.

We will attempt to estimate the energy of the photoluminescence peaks due to the presence of S vacancies from our results so far, even though this cannot be accomplished in a truly quantitative manner because of inherent limitations of the reported calculations (Fig. 5). Specifically, we assume that the energy of luminescence peaks is associated with the energy difference between the defect states in the band gap, \(\phi_x\) and \(\phi_y\) in the case of the S vacancy, and the conduction band minimum. This assumption means that we are not taking into account excitonic effects, which is beyond the scope of the present work and would require a very different treatment of the electronic states. We will use a strain of \(\pm 2\%\) as indicative of values in the exfoliated material with defects, although for specific sites on the rippllocation profile the strain may have even larger values.

In the unstrained material the energy difference between defect-induced gap states and the conduction band minimum is 0.46 eV for the degenerate \(\phi_x\) and \(\phi_y\) orbitals, while in the material under 2\% tensile strain the energy differences are 0.39 and 0.35 eV and under 2\% compressive strain they
are 0.58 and 0.53 eV. The limitation of DFT calculations in reproducing the value of semiconductor band gaps, and by extension the position of defect levels in the gap, is well established; values for these quantities can be obtained with better accuracy using methodologies like the GW approximation [49]. Typically, the DFT results are off from the more accurate GW results by an overall scaling factor, both for the band gap and for the bandwidth. In order to provide a better estimate for the energy of the photoluminescence peaks associated with S vacancies in the MoS2 monolayer, we rescale our DFT band gap, which is 1.60 eV, to match the GW band gap [41] which is 2.48 eV. We then use the same scaling factor to determine a reasonable estimate of the position of defect states in the gap, since these are related to orbitals resembling the conduction bands (antibonding states with Mo d character), as was discussed in Sec. III A. With this scaling of the energy levels we infer that the approximate positions of defect-induced photoluminescence peaks would be 0.71 eV for the unstrained material, 0.62 and 0.55 eV under 2% uniaxial tensile strain, and 0.90 and 0.83 eV under 2% compressive strain. Assuming a peak width of 60 meV, typical for a free-standing monolayer, the various contributions would produce a broad photoluminescence peak centered around 0.7 eV. We caution that this can only be viewed as a rough qualitative guide of what may be expected as the signature of the S vacancy presence, and that more accurate results need to be obtained, possibly with the use of time-dependent DFT simulations that can better capture the nature of electronic excitations.
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