Association Between Statin Use and the Incidence of Atrial Fibrillation Following Hospitalization for Coronary Artery Disease
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Mounting evidence suggests that statins possess antiarrhythmic properties and inhibit atrial fibrillation (AF). The goal of this study was to evaluate the relation between statin use and new-onset AF in a large cohort of patients with coronary artery disease. We identified all Medicare beneficiaries \(\geq 65\) years old who had been hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization from 1995 to 2004 and participated in 1 of 2 government-sponsored medication benefit programs. Patients with a history of AF before and during hospitalization were excluded. This yielded a cohort of 29,088. The incidence of new AF was compared between patients who were \(n = 8,450\) and were not \(n = 20,638\) prescribed statins within 1 month of hospital discharge after their cardiac event. New-onset AFs within 5 and 10 years were 32.6% and 51.2%, respectively, in patients who received statins compared to 38.3% and 58.0% in patients who did not receive statins (unadjusted hazard ratio 0.82, 95% confidence interval 0.78 to 0.86). Multivariable analysis controlling for demographic and clinical confounders indicated that statin use independently decreased the risk of developing new-onset AF compared to nonusers (adjusted hazard ratio 0.90, 95% confidence interval 0.85 to 0.94). Adjustment for propensity-score and health-seeking behaviors yielded nearly identical results. In conclusion, statin therapy initiated within 1 month after hospital discharge is independently associated with a decrease in the risk of new-onset AF after myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization. These findings lend support to the antiarrhythmic effects of statins and suggest another benefit for their use in patients with coronary artery disease. © 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

(Am J Cardiol 2010;105:1655–1660)

Considerable evidence exists linking inflammatory processes and atrial fibrillation (AF). Inflammation may serve as a trigger for the initiation of AF, and through atrial electrophysiological and structural remodeling, create the substrate for the perpetuation of AF. Inflammatory changes have been detected in atrial biopsy specimens of patients with AF, and markers of inflammation such as C-reactive protein are higher in patients with AF. Accordingly, treatments that decrease inflammation may be associated with a decrease in the incidence of AF. Statins have antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities that may help prevent electrical remodeling and direct antiarrhythmic effects through cell membrane ion channel stabilization. Although small studies have suggested that statins may prevent or attenuate AF, particularly in the postoperative period after cardiothoracic surgery, the impact of statin therapy in the primary prevention of AF outside the hospital setting has not been adequately evaluated. Therefore, we sought to assess the association between statin therapy and new-onset AF after hospitalization for treatment of coronary artery disease (CAD).

Methods

We assembled a cohort of Medicare beneficiaries with coronary artery disease (CAD) by linking Medicare files describing all clinical encounters to complete medication-use data from the Pennsylvania Pharmaceutical Assistance Contract for the Elderly (PACE) and the New Jersey Pharmaceutical Assistance to the Aged and Disabled (PAAD) programs. During the period studied, PACE and PAAD provided prescription drug benefits to lower middle-income patients \(\geq 65\) years of age whose yearly earnings were above the threshold to qualify them for Medicaid. Participants paid copayments from $5 to $10 per prescription without any deductibles. The programs cover all medications that require a prescription and do not restrict which medications can be prescribed (i.e., the programs do not use formularies, preferred drug lists, or previous authorization programs).

We assembled data from PACE, PAAD, and Medicare into a relational database consisting of claims for all filled prescriptions, procedures, physician encounters, hospitalizations, long-term care admissions, and deaths for the patients in this cohort. These data sources have been used extensively to study population-based medication use and health outcomes. All traceable patient-specific identifying factors were transformed into anonymous coded study numbers to protect subjects' privacy. This study was ap-

\(^*\)Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Lynn Heart Institute, Boca Raton Community Hospital, Boca Raton, Florida; and Cardiac Arrhythmia Service, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, and Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts. Manuscript received November 23, 2009; manuscript received and accepted January 22, 2010.

*Corresponding author: Tel: 617-278-0930; fax: 617-232-8602.

E-mail address: nchoudhry@partners.org (N.K. Choudhry).
proved by the institutional review board of the Brigham and Women’s Hospital (Boston, Massachusetts).

We included all patients who were discharged alive from the hospital after admission for active CAD from January 1, 1995, to December 31, 2004. This included patients admitted for myocardial infarction (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, 410.01 to 410.91 or 411), percutaneous coronary intervention (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, 36.01 to 36.09), or coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG; International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, 36.1× or 36.2×). We excluded patients who died or were readmitted to hospital within 30 days after hospital discharge, patients who were not active users of either drug benefit program, and patients who received prescriptions for cerivastatin because this drug was withdrawn from the market. We also excluded patients who had a diagnosis of AF before or during the index hospitalization, as documented on inpatient or outpatient codes (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, 427.31). Validation studies have demonstrated that this code from the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, has a specificity of 99% and positive predictive value of 97% for the diagnosis of AF.16 Thirty days after the date of hospital discharge was considered the index date for the study analysis (i.e., start of follow-up). Follow-up terminated December 31, 2005.

We determined patient co-morbidities by searching physician service claims and hospitalization records for relevant diagnostic codes in the 1-year period before the index date. In this manner, the following characteristics were identified: age at index date, year of hospitalization, gender, race, length of hospital stay, previous myocardial infarction or acute coronary syndrome, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, congestive heart failure, stroke, peripheral vascular disease, previous CABG, previous percutaneous coronary intervention, and chronic kidney disease. We assessed statin use in the 1-year period before the index CAD hospitalization and within 30 days after the discharge date. We also determined the use of the following concurrent medications in the 1-year period before and 30 days after CAD hospitalization: amiodarone, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers, β blockers, calcium channel blockers, clopidogrel, fibrates, diuretics, nitrates, digoxin, and warfarin. Hospitals accredited by the Association of American Medical Colleges were classified as teaching hospitals. All other hospitals were classified as nonteaching hospitals.

Information regarding tobacco use and alcohol dependence was not available within the study database. To supplement our analyses, we used data from the 2004 Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey, a nationwide in-home survey. Using previously described methods,18 we restricted our analysis to community-dwelling subjects ≥65 years old who used ≥1 medication during the study period. We compared current smoking rates and alcohol dependence in patients reporting the use versus nonuse of statins in 2004. In this analysis, current smoking rates were similar between statin users and nonusers (8.8% vs 9.0%, statin users vs nonusers, p = 0.75). Alcohol dependence was also similar between statin users and nonusers (2.6% vs 2.2%, statin users vs nonusers, p = 0.22).

Our primary outcome was new-onset AF in patients with no documented history of AF before or during the index hospitalization. We compared rates of this outcome for patients who did and did not fill a statin prescription within 30 days of hospital discharge. Follow-up began on the index date (i.e., 30 days after hospital discharge). AF was defined as any documented diagnosis of AF occurring as an outpatient or as an inpatient during a subsequent hospital admission. Baseline characteristics between statin users and nonusers were compared using Student’s t tests, Fisher’s exact tests, or chi-square trend tests, as appropriate. Statistical significance was defined as a p value <0.05.

Univariate estimates of new-onset AF were determined for statin users and nonusers using the Kaplan-Meier method, and groups were compared using a log-rank test. Patients were censored at the end of follow-up or if they developed the outcome of interest. We then used multivariable Cox proportional hazards models to adjust for potentially important differences between statin users and nonusers. Factors of clinical relevance incorporated into the models were age, gender, race, year of index hospitalization, treatment in a teaching hospital, length of hospital stay, history of peripheral vascular disease, hypertension, congestive heart failure, chronic kidney disease, previous stroke, previous myocardial infarction, previous coronary revascularization (percutaneous coronary intervention or CABG), diabetes mellitus, medication use in the 1-year period before hospital admission (statin, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker, β blocker, or amiodarone), and medication use within 30 days after hospital discharge (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker, β blocker, calcium channel blocker, digoxin, or amiodarone). Hazard ratios (HRs) are reported with SEs or 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All analyses were performed using SAS 8.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

We repeated our analyses in each CAD subgroup (myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, or CABG) to test whether the impact of statins on rates of AF differed by inclusion criteria. We also excluded outpatient AF visits from our outcome measurements to assess the association between statins and AF hospitalization. Because patients who use statins and other preventive medications may be more likely to adopt other health-seeking behaviors that affect clinical outcomes, we repeated our analyses by including covariates in our multivariable Cox models that adjust for the “healthy-user effect.”19 These healthy-user markers were assessed during the 1-year period before the index date and included influenza vaccination, pneumococcal vaccination, mammography, bone mineral densitometry, fecal occult blood testing, and prostate-specific antigen testing. We then performed a propensity score-adjusted analysis. A propensity score for statin use after hospitalization was developed using logistic regression with the following covariates: teaching hospital, age, gender, white race, year of index hospitalization, history of congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, stroke, and statin use in the 1-year period before the index hospitalization. Statin users were matched 1:1 to nonusers based on propensity score. Univariate and multivariable analyses were then repeated within this propensity-matched cohort.
Our cohort consisted of 29,088 patients who had no previous AF and were admitted to the hospital with a myocardial infarction \((n = 12,235)\) or for percutaneous coronary intervention \((n = 11,722)\) or CABG \((n = 5,131)\). Mean follow-up for the entire cohort was 3.8 ± 3.0 years (maximum 10.9). Within 1 month of hospital discharge, 29.0% of patients were prescribed statins.

Table 1 lists characteristics of patients who did and did not fill prescriptions for statins within 1 month of hospital discharge. Statin users were more likely than nonusers to have received statins before hospitalization and to have filled prescriptions for other cardiac medications, including angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers, \(\beta\) blockers, and clopidogrel before and after hospitalization (all p values < 0.05). Although statin users were more likely to have diabetes mellitus or hypertension, statin nonusers were more likely to be older, have longer hospital stays, and have congestive heart failure or a history of stroke (all p values < 0.05). Statin users were more likely to have been admitted to a teaching hospital \((p < 0.05)\). Propensity-matching substantially improved the balance between patient- and hospital-related characteristics.

New-onset AF in patients who received statins occurred in 10.6%, 32.6%, and 51.2%, at 1 year, 5 years, and 10 years, respectively.
Univariate analysis
Postdischarge statin use within 1 month 0.82 (0.78–0.86) 0.90 (0.85–0.96)
Multivariable analysis
Postdischarge statin use within 1 month 0.90 (0.85–0.96) 0.91 (0.85–0.98)
Patient age (per additional year) 1.02 (1.02–1.03) 1.03 (1.03–1.04)
Male gender 1.19 (1.13–1.25) 1.16 (1.07–1.25)
White race 1.18 (1.09–1.27) 1.23 (1.08–1.39)
Year of admission (per additional year) 1.01 (1.00–1.02) 1.00 (0.99–1.02)
Diabetes mellitus 1.15 (1.10–1.21) 1.17 (1.09–1.25)
Peripheral vascular disease 1.16 (1.06–1.28) 1.20 (1.04–1.38)
Congestive heart failure 1.52 (1.45–1.59) 1.56 (1.45–1.68)
Stroke 1.13 (1.04–1.22) 1.17 (1.11–1.14)
Previous myocardial infarction 0.95 (0.88–1.01) 0.94 (0.87–1.02)
Previous coronary artery bypass grafting or percutaneous coronary intervention 0.78 (0.74–0.83) 0.80 (0.79–0.83)
Chronic kidney disease 1.20 (1.14–1.27) 1.22 (1.13–1.32)
Hypertension 1.05 (0.99–1.12) 1.00 (0.90–1.10)
Hospital length of stay (per additional day) 1.00 (1.00–1.01) 1.01 (1.00–1.01)
Teaching hospital 1.00 (1.00–1.01) 0.98 (0.91–1.05)
Prehospital medications
Statin 1.03 (0.98–1.09) 1.03 (0.96–1.10)
β blocker 0.98 (0.94–1.03) 0.97 (0.90–1.04)
Amiodarone 1.06 (0.71–1.60) 0.84 (0.45–1.57)
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker 1.11 (1.06–1.16) 1.03 (0.96–1.11)
Posthospital medications
β blocker 0.94 (0.90–0.99) 0.91 (0.85–0.98)
Calcium channel blockers 1.14 (1.08–1.19) 1.06 (0.98–1.14)
Amiodarone 1.90 (1.56–2.32) 2.10 (1.61–2.75)
Digoxin 1.39 (1.30–1.50) 1.52 (1.36–1.70)
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker 1.01 (0.96–1.06) 1.06 (0.98–1.14)

Table 3
Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios for statin use and new-onset atrial fibrillation in the entire cohort and each coronary artery disease (CAD) subgroup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Unadjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted HR (95% CI)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entire cohort (n = 29,088)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.78–0.86)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.85–0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery bypass grafting cohort (n = 5,131)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.80–1.02)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.83–1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous coronary intervention cohort (n = 11,722)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.79–0.91)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.82–0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction cohort (n = 12,235)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.78–0.93)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.76–0.92)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for age, gender, race, previous myocardial infarction, previous coronary artery bypass graft, or percutaneous coronary intervention, history of congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, stroke, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, prehospital medication use (statin, β blocker, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker, amiodarone), posthospital medication use within 30 days of discharge (β blocker, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin II receptor blocker, calcium channel blocker, amiodarone, digoxin), year of index hospitalization, teaching hospital, and length of hospital stay.

Discussion
High-quality evidence has confirmed that statins decrease cardiovascular events and increase survival in patients with CAD. Although recent attention has been focused on the pleiotropic properties of statins, their relation to AF is still not well understood. In our study of 29,088 patients hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization, we found that statin therapy initiated within 1 month of hospital discharge was independently associated with a lower risk of new-onset AF, even after adjusting for important clinical covariates. These findings suggest that a decrease in the incidence of AF may be another benefit of statin use. Further, given their tolerability...
and safety, statins may be useful as an adjuvant, low-toxicity preventive therapy for patients at high risk for AF.

Statins are believed to have anti-inflammatory and anti-atherosclerotic properties that contribute to the decrease of AF. To date, studies evaluating the impact of statins on AF have mainly focused on hospitalized patients undergoing cardiac and thoracic surgeries. Several observational studies have reported a significant association between preoperative statin use and a lower incidence of postoperative AF. The only prospective trial in the field, the AtoRvastatin for Reduction of Myocardial Dysrhythmia after Cardiac Surgery (ARMYDA-3) study randomized 200 patients to atorvastatin 40 mg/day or placebo for 7 days before operation. Atorvastatin significantly decreased the incidence of AF (35% vs 57%, atorvastatin vs placebo, p = 0.003). In a meta-analysis of 7 observational and randomized-controlled studies involving 7,643 patients, Liakopoulos et al noted that preoperative statin therapy resulted in a 33% decrease in the odds for perioperative AF (odds ratio [OR] 0.67, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.88, p = 0.004). Most recently, Miceli et al reported the opposite results, with preoperative statin use associated with a higher rather than lower incidence of AF after CABG. However, the study by Miceli et al was limited by the inclusion of patients with previous AF and incomplete control of confounding variables including 8-blocker use.

AF after cardiothoracic surgery is relatively common condition that, in general, is self-limited and well tolerated. In contrast, the focus of our study was on the development of AF in community-dwelling patients after hospital discharge, which has previously been evaluated by only a few studies with limited size and follow-up. Young-Xu et al followed 449 patients with chronic stable CAD for an average of 5 years and found that statin use was associated with a lower incidence of AF in univariate analysis (OR 0.48, 95% CI 0.28 to 0.83) and after adjusting for other factors including cholesterol levels (adjusted OR 0.37, 95% CI 0.18 to 0.76). Although our analysis examined patients with active CAD, patients who had previously undergone percutaneous or surgical revascularization were specifically excluded from the study by Young-Xu et al. Pellegrini et al evaluated the efficacy of statin therapy in the prevention of AF in a post hoc analysis of women with CAD enrolled in the Heart and Estrogen-Progestin Replacement Study (HERS) and found that statin use was associated with a lower risk of developing AF during the course of the study (adjusted HR 0.45, 95% CI 0.26 to 0.78, p = 0.004). Recently, Patel et al performed a meta-analysis of 5 randomized and observational studies (including 3 studies in abstract form) that reported the impact of statins in the primary prevention of AF. Statins were found to significantly decrease the incidence of AF (OR 0.68, 95% CI 0.51 to 0.90). To our knowledge, the present analysis is the largest to date evaluating the impact of statins for the primary prevention of AF. Furthermore, our results extend those of the previous studies to a cohort of patients with active CAD who are quite elderly and most whom are women.

Existing practice guidelines already recommend statins for patients with active CAD, such as those included in our study cohort. Thus, the apparent impact of statins on the decrease of AF should not, in principle, alter practice patterns. Rather, our results reinforce existing guidelines and call for more aggressive efforts to deal with current statin underuse. From an economic perspective, our data suggest that statins may be even more cost-effective for secondary prevention than currently estimated because AF is a costly, common, and increasingly prevalent condition.

Our results should be interpreted in the context of several limitations. First, we studied elderly patients enrolled in Medicare and the PACE and PAAD prescription drug benefit plans and therefore our results may not be generalizable to patients with other demographic or clinical characteristics. Second, the administrative data used do not contain detailed clinical information such as cholesterol levels or reasons for physicians’ prescription choices. As an example, statins may have preferentially been prescribed to patients with higher baseline cholesterol levels. Despite the statistical adjustments applied to control for potential selection bias, including control of the healthy-user effect, unmeasured or unknown confounders may have influenced the results in this observational study. Although we could not control for the potential influence of alcohol and tobacco use in multivariable analysis, data from the 2004 Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey indicate that statin users and nonusers appear to be similar in these behaviors.

The present study has demonstrated an association between statin use and a decrease in the incidence of AF, but a cause-and-effect relation cannot be confirmed. Although a randomized controlled trial would be the ideal study design for this subject, such a trial would be unethical in a population with active CAD because all such patients already have indications for long-term statin therapy. As an alternative to a randomized controlled trial, post hoc analysis of data from a previously published statin clinical trial could be used to evaluate the impact of statin use on the incidence of AF. Our study focused only on the occurrence of AF and thus we did not evaluate the characteristics of the AF, its potential complications (i.e., thromboembolism), or its associated symptoms. It is possible that the outcome of AF in this study represented a marker of CAD progression. Interestingly, however, most AF episodes in this study occurred in the community and did not require hospital admission, suggesting stability of patients’ CAD at the time of AF diagnosis.


