Optimal Taxation with Behavioral Agents

Emmanuel Farhi and Xavier Gabaix Harvard

January 23, 2020

Our Paper

Behavioral version of three pillars of optimal taxation theory:

- Ramsey (linear taxation to raise revenues and redistribute)
- Pigou (linear taxation to correct for externalities)
- Mirrlees (nonlinear taxation to raise revenues and redistribute)

- Unified treatment of behavioral biases with sufficient statistics:
 - misperceptions of taxes
 - "internalities"
 - mental accounts, etc...

Outline

Behavioral price theory

Behavioral optimal tax formulas (Ramsey, Pigou, Mirrlees)

Concrete lessons by specializing model

Additional results (Diamond-Mirrlees, Atkinson-Stigltiz...)

Example: Decision vs. Experienced Utility

• Decision utility u^s and experience utility u

Agent behavior

$$\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{q},w) = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{c}} u^{s}(\boldsymbol{c}) \text{ s.t. } \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{c} \leq w$$

Ex. internalities from temptation, hyperbolic discounting...

Example: Misperception

- True prices \boldsymbol{q} and perceived prices $\boldsymbol{q}^{s}(\boldsymbol{q},w)$
- Agent behavior (Gabaix 2014)

$$m{c}\left(m{q},w
ight)={\sf arg}\,{\sf smax}_{m{c}\in\mathbb{R}^n|m{q}^s\left(m{q},w
ight)}u(m{c})\,\,{\sf s.t.}\,\,\,m{q}\cdotm{c}=w$$

i.e.

$$u'(m{c}(m{q},w)) = \lambda \,m{q}^s(m{q},w)$$
 with λ such that $m{q}\cdotm{c}(m{q},w) = w$

Implications:

• "trade-off" according to perceived relative prices
$$\frac{u'_{c_1}}{u'_{c_2}} = \frac{q_1^s}{q_2^s}$$

budget constraint satisfied q · c = w

General Model: Behavioral Price Theory

Two primitives:

• Marshallian demand function c(q, w) with $q \cdot c(q, w) = w$

"experienced" utility function u(c)

• Indirect utility function v(q, w) = u(c(q, w))

• Misoptimization wedge
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^{b} = \boldsymbol{q} - rac{u_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{q},w))}{v_{w}(\boldsymbol{q},w)}$$

Slutsky matrix $\boldsymbol{S}_{j}^{C}(\boldsymbol{q},w) = \boldsymbol{c}_{q_{j}}(\boldsymbol{q},w) + \boldsymbol{c}_{w}(\boldsymbol{q},w)\boldsymbol{c}_{j}(\boldsymbol{q},w)$

► Behavioral Roy identity
$$\frac{v_{q_j}(\boldsymbol{q},w)}{v_w(\boldsymbol{q},w)} = -c_j - \boldsymbol{\tau}^b \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_j^C$$

Mapping to the General Model: Concrete Examples

Decision vs. experienced utility model:

• misoptimization wedge
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^b = rac{u_c^s}{v_w^s} - rac{u_c}{v_w}$$

•
$$au_i^b > 0$$
 for "tempting" goods

• Slutsky
$$S_{ij} = S_{ij}^s$$

Misperception model:

misoptimization wedge
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^b = \boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{q}^s$$

• Slutsky
$$S_{ij}^H = \sum_k S_{ik}^r \frac{\partial q_k^s(\boldsymbol{q}, w)}{\partial q_j}$$

Many-Person Ramsey (Diamond 1975)

Social objective function

$$L(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = W(v^h(\boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\tau}, w)) + \lambda \sum_h [\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^h(\boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{\tau}, w) - w]$$

Optimal tax formula

$$0 = \frac{\partial L(\boldsymbol{\tau})}{\partial \tau_i} = \sum_h [(\lambda - \gamma^h) c_i^h + \lambda (\boldsymbol{\tau} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{b,h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_i^{C,h}]$$

Sufficient statistics:

► social marginal welfare weight $\beta^h = W_{v^h} v_w^h$

• social marginal utility of income $\gamma^h = W_{v^h} v^h_w + \lambda \, \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^h_w$

• substitution elasticities $S_i^{C,h}$

• weighted misoptimization wedge $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{b,h} = \frac{\beta^h}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{b,h}$

Many-Person Ramsey (Diamond 1975)

Optimal tax formula

$$0 = \frac{\partial L(\boldsymbol{\tau})}{\partial \tau_i} = \sum_h [(\lambda - \gamma^h) c_i^h + \lambda (\boldsymbol{\tau} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{b,h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_i^{C,h}]$$

Three terms:

- mechanical $(\lambda \gamma^h)c_i^h$
- substitution $\lambda \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{i}^{C,h}$
- misoptimization $-\lambda \tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{b,h} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{i}^{C,h}$

• Additional condition if lump sum taxes $\sum_{h} (\lambda - \gamma_h) = 0$

Many-Person Ramsey (Diamond 1975)

• Assume symmetric Slutsky matrices
$$S_{ij}^{C,h} = S_{ji}^{C,h}$$

Then tax formula expressible in "discouragement" form

$$\frac{-\sum_{h,j}\tau_{j}\boldsymbol{S}_{ij}^{C,h}}{c_{i}} = 1 - \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\lambda} - cov\left(\frac{\gamma^{h}}{\lambda}, \frac{Hc_{i}^{h}}{c_{i}}\right) - \frac{\sum_{h,j}\tilde{\tau}_{j}^{b,h}\boldsymbol{S}_{ij}^{C,h}}{c_{i}}$$

Pigou (Sandmo 1975)

• Externality $\xi = \xi((\boldsymbol{c}^h))_{h=1...H}$, indirect utility $v^h(q, w, \xi)$

Optimal tax formula

$$0 = \frac{\partial L(\boldsymbol{\tau})}{\partial \tau_i} = \sum_h [(\lambda - \gamma^{\xi,h})c_i^h + \lambda(\boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\xi,h} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{b,h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_i^{C,h}]$$

where $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{\xi},h}$ traditional externality wedge

 General model NOT subsumed by traditional theory of externalities

Nudges

Nudge χ: influences demand c(q, w, χ), possibly utility u(c, χ), but not budget q · c = w

► Ex. decision utility $u^{s}(\boldsymbol{c})$, perceived price $\boldsymbol{q}^{s,*}(\boldsymbol{q},w)$, nudgeability $\eta \geq 0$

Agent behavior

$$oldsymbol{c}(oldsymbol{q},w,oldsymbol{\chi})={\sf arg}\,{\sf smax}_{oldsymbol{c}|u^{s},B^{s}}u^{s}(oldsymbol{c})\,\,{\sf s.t.}\,\,\,oldsymbol{q}\cdotoldsymbol{c}\leq w$$

i.e.

$$u^{s\prime}(m{c})=\Lambda B^s_{m{c}}(m{q}^s,m{c},m{\chi})$$
 with Λ such that $m{q}\cdotm{c}(m{q},w,m{\chi})=w$

• Nudge as a tax
$$B^{s}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{c},\chi) = \boldsymbol{q}^{s,*}(\boldsymbol{q},w) \cdot \boldsymbol{c} + \chi \eta c_{i}$$

Nudge as an anchor
$$B^s(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{c},\chi)=\boldsymbol{q}^{s,*}(\boldsymbol{q},w)\cdot\boldsymbol{c}+\eta|c_i-\chi|$$

Optimal Nudges

Optimal nudge formula

$$0 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \chi} = \sum_{h} [\lambda (\boldsymbol{\tau} - \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\xi, h} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{b, h}) \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_{\chi}^{h} + \beta^{h} \frac{u_{\chi}^{h}}{v_{w}^{h}}]$$

Integrates nudges in canonical optimal taxation framework

Taking Stock

So far:

general taxation motive

general behavioral biases

generalize canonical optimal tax formulas

sufficient statistics approach

Now:

specialize model: behavioral bias, taxation motive

concrete lessons for taxes

Ramsey: Inverse Elasticity Rule

Representative agent with quasilinear utility

$$u(\boldsymbol{c}) = c_0 + \sum_{i>0} u^i(c_i)$$

• Misperception of taxes $\tau_i^s = m_i \tau_i$ (salience)

► Social objective, limit of small taxes ($\Lambda = \lambda - 1$ small)

$$L(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = -\sum_{i} \frac{1}{2} (\tau_{i}^{s})^{2} \psi_{i} y_{i} + \Lambda \sum_{i} \frac{\tau_{i}}{p_{i}} y_{i}$$

where ψ_i rational demand elasticity, y_i expenditure with no tax

Ramsey: Inverse Elasticity Rule

• Behavioral elasticity $m_i \psi_i$

Behavioral Ramsey formula

$$\frac{\tau_i}{p_i} = \frac{\Lambda}{m_i^2 \psi_i}$$

Contrast with traditional Ramsey formula

$$\frac{\tau_i^R}{p_i} = \frac{\Lambda}{\psi_i}$$

▶ Taxation and salience: $\frac{1}{m_i^2}$

Pigou: Dollar for Dollar Principle

Representative agent with quasilinear utility

• One taxed good with price p and externality $-\xi c$

• Inattention to tax
$$au^s = m au$$

Behavioral Pigou formula

$$au = rac{\xi}{m}$$

Contrast with traditional Pigou formula

$$au^R = \xi$$

• Taxation and salience: Pigou $\frac{1}{m}$ vs. Ramsey $\frac{1}{m^2}$

Ramsey and Pigou: Heterogeneous Attention

- Heterogeneous attention m^h_i
- Additional deadweight loss from misallocation
- Behavioral Ramsey and Pigou formula become

$$\frac{\tau_i}{p_i} = \frac{\Lambda}{\psi_i \mathbb{E}\left[m_i^{h^2}\right]} = \frac{\Lambda}{\psi_i \left(\mathbb{E}\left[m_i^{h}\right]^2 + var\left[m_i^{h}\right]\right)}$$
$$\tau^* = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\xi^h m^h\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[m^{h^2}\right]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\xi^h\right] \mathbb{E}\left[m^h\right] + cov\left(\xi^h, m^h\right)}{\mathbb{E}\left[m^h\right]^2 + var\left[m^h\right]}$$

Pigou: Taxes vs. Quantity Restrictions

Revisit traditonal presumption:

Pigouvian taxes > quantity restrictions

- Heterogeneity:
 - externality ξ_h
 - mispereception m_h
- Quasilinear + quadratic utility:
 - social bliss point c^{*}_h
 - "elasticity" (slope) of demand Ψ

Pigou: Taxes vs. Quantity Restrictions

Quantity restrictions better than taxation iff

$$\frac{1}{\Psi} \operatorname{var}(c_h^*) \leq \Psi \frac{E\left[\xi_h^2\right] E\left[m_h^2\right] - \left(E\left[\xi_h m_h\right]\right)^2}{E\left[m_h^2\right]}$$

- 1. enough heterogeneity in attention (m_h) or externality (ξ_h)
- 2. not too much heterogeneity in preferences (c_h^*)
- 3. high demand elasticity (Ψ high)

Useful Simple Parametrization

- Experienced utility $u^h(c_0, \mathbf{C}) = c_0 + U^h(\mathbf{C}) \xi$
- Decision utility $u^{s,h}(c_0, \boldsymbol{C}) = c_0 + U^{s,h}(\boldsymbol{C}) \xi$

• Misperception
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}^{s,h} = \boldsymbol{\tau} M^h$$

- ► Internality wedge $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{I,h} = U_{\boldsymbol{C}}^{s,h}(\boldsymbol{C}) U_{\boldsymbol{C}}^{h}(\boldsymbol{C})$
- Internality/externality wedge $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{X,h} = \frac{\beta^h}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{I,h} + \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\xi,h}$
- ► Misoptimization wedge $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{b,h} = \boldsymbol{\tau}^{I,h} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{s,h}$
- Optimal tax

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\sum_{h} \boldsymbol{M}^{h\prime} \boldsymbol{S}^{h,r} (I - (I - \boldsymbol{M}^{h}) \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\xi,h}}{\lambda}))^{-1}$$
$$\cdot \sum_{h} [\boldsymbol{M}^{h\prime} \boldsymbol{S}^{h,r} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{X,h} - (1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{h,\xi}}{\lambda}) \boldsymbol{c}^{h}]$$

Pigou: Principle of Targeting

- Traditional principle of targeting:
 - tax eternality good
 - do not tax complements
 - do not subsidize substitutes
- Behavioral (heterogeneous attention):
 - tax complements
 - subsidize substitutes
- cf Allcott, Mullainathan, Taubinsky ('14): if consumers partly "forget" about cost of gas when purchasing car, subsidize fuel efficiency, or mandate fuel-efficiency standards

Pigou: Principle of Targeting

Use simple parametrization

Two goods, negative externality from good 1

$$au_1^X = \xi > 0$$
 and $au_2^X = 0$

 Homogenous preferences, decision=experienced, heterogenous misperceptions, no redistributive or revenue raising motive

Optimal tax on good 2

$$\tau_{2} = \frac{S_{11}^{r} S_{12}^{r} E[m_{1,h}] \left[E[m_{1h}^{2}] E[m_{2h}] - E[m_{1h}m_{2h}] E[m_{1h}] \right]}{\det E[M^{h'} S^{r} M^{h}]} \tau_{1}^{X}$$

• $\tau_2 = 0$ with homogenous misperceptions

• $\tau_2 > 0$ iff $S_{12}^r > 0$ with heterogenous misperceptions (if not too correlated)

Vouchers and Mental Accounts

► Two goods, food (1) and non-food (2)

Internality from food (decisions vs. experienced utility)

$$u^{s}(c_{1},c_{2}) = \frac{c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}^{s}}c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}^{s}}}{\alpha_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\alpha_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}} \quad \text{vs.} \quad u(c_{1},c_{2}) = \frac{c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}}{\alpha_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\alpha_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}}$$

with $lpha_1^s+lpha_2^s=lpha_1+lpha_2=1$ and $lpha_1^s<lpha_1$

Mental accounting (perceived vs. actual budget constraint)

$$c_1+c_2+\kappa_1\left|c_1-\omega_1^d\right|=w$$
 vs. $c_1+c_2=w$

Transfers t and food voucher b

$$w = w^* + t + b$$
 and $\omega_1^d = \alpha_1^s w + \beta b$

Government objective function

$$rac{\left[u\left(oldsymbol{c}\left(t,b
ight)
ight)
ight]^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}\!-\!\lambda\left(t\!+\!b
ight)$$

Vouchers and Mental Accounts

- MPCF from voucher (α₁^s + β) > MPCF from transfer (α₁^s), even if voucher inframarginal (c₁ > b)
- Given T = t + b, optimal voucher

$$\frac{b}{w} = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1^s}{\beta}$$

• Higher overall transfers iff weak taste for redistribution (σ < 1)

Higher welfare with vouchers.

Mistakes and Redistribution

Assume

$$u^{s,h}(c_1,c_2) = \frac{c_1^{\alpha_1^{h,s}} c_2^{\alpha_2^{h,s}}}{\alpha_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha_2^{\alpha_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad u^h(c_1,c_2) = \frac{c_1^{\alpha_1} c_2^{\alpha_2}}{\alpha_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha_2^{\alpha_2}}$$

with $\alpha_1^{h,s} + \alpha_2^{h,s} = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$
Samuelsonian welfare function $\sum_h \frac{\left[u^{h,s}(c_1^h,c_2^h)\right]^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$

• Linear income tax τ_z and a lump sum rebate

Mistakes and Redistribution

- Strong preference for redistribution(σ > 1): larger behavioral biases (reductions in A^h) for poor lead to more redistribution (higher τ_z)
- Reverse if weak preference for redistribution ($\sigma < 1$)
- Mistakes lower utility and marginal utility of wealth, ambiguous effect on social marginal utility of income γ^h:

$$v^{h}(z) = A^{h}z, \qquad A^{h} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{1}^{h,b}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \left(\frac{\alpha_{2}^{h,b}}{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}} \leq 1$$

$$\gamma^{h} = \left(A^{h}z\right)^{-\sigma}A^{h} = z^{-\sigma}\left(A^{h}\right)^{1-\sigma}$$

Internalities and Redistribution

- Use simple parametrization
- No externalities, mo misperceptions, decision=experienced except...
- ...good 1 only consumed by type h^* with internality $\tau_1^{I,h^*} > 0$
- Optimal tax

$$rac{ au_1}{q_1}=rac{1-rac{\gamma^{h^*}}{\lambda}}{\psi_1}+rac{\gamma^{h^*}}{\lambda}rac{ au_1^{I,h^*}}{q_1}$$

Sign ambiguous, internality correction vs. redistribution

Ex. "sugary sodas" (cf. also Lockwood and Taubinsky '15)

Aversive Nudges vs. Taxes

Allow for misperceptions

• Use
$$U^h(c) = \frac{a^h c - \frac{1}{2}c^2}{\Psi}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \mathsf{Nudge as a tax} \ c^{h^*}(\tau,\chi) = c_0^{h^*} - \Psi\left(m^{h^*}\tau + \chi\eta^{h^*}\right)$$

• Aversive nudge
$$u^{h^*}(\boldsymbol{c},\chi) = u^{h^*}(\boldsymbol{c}) - \iota^{h^*}\chi c_1$$

Tax dominates nudge iff

$$\frac{\lambda-\gamma^{h^*}}{m_h^*} > \frac{-\iota^{h^*}\gamma^{h^*}}{\eta^{h^*}}$$

"Nudge the poor, tax the rich"

Mirrlees (1971)

- General behavioral biases with non-linear income tax T(z)
- Behavioral Saez formula (Saez 2001)
- Sufficient statistics:
 - traditional: elasticity of labor supply, welfare weights, hazard...
 - behavioral: misoptimization wedge, behavioral cross-influence

Behavioral Saez Formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{T'(z^*) - \tilde{\tau}^b(z^*)}{1 - T'(z^*)} + \int_0^\infty \omega(z^*, z) \frac{T'(z) - \tilde{\tau}^b(z)}{1 - T'(z)} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta^c(z^*)} \frac{1 - H(z^*)}{z^* h^*(z^*)} \int_{z^*}^\infty e^{-\int_{z^*}^z \rho(s) ds} \left(1 - g(z) - \frac{\eta(z)\tilde{\tau}^b(z)}{1 - T'(z)}\right) \frac{h(z)}{1 - H(z^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho(z)=\frac{\eta(z)}{\zeta^c(z)}\frac{1}{z},$$

$$\omega(z^*,z) = \frac{\zeta_{Q_{z^*}}^c(z) - \int_{z^*}^{\infty} e^{-\int_{z^*}^{z'} \rho(s) ds} \rho(z') \zeta_{Q_{z'}}^c(z) dz'}{\zeta^c(z^*)} \frac{zh^*(z)}{z^*h^*(z^*)},$$

and traditional Saez formula obtains with $ilde{ au}^{c}_{Q_{z'}}=0.$

Some Applications (See Paper)

- Nonzero taxes at top and bottom (bounded skills)
- Behavioral Saez top tax formula (unbounded skills)
- Possibility of negative marginal income tax rates
 - rationalization of EITC if poor undervalue benefits of work
 - see also Lockwood (JMP, in progress)
- Schmeduling (Liebman and Zeckhauser 2004): confusion of average for marginal tax rates

Additional General Results (See Paper)

Endogenous attention:

- attention as a good, optimal/suboptimal attention
- typically lower taxes with endogenous attention
- Salience as policy choice:
 - Iow salience to raise taxes
 - high salience to correct for internalities or externalities

Additional General Results (See Paper)

- Diamond-Mirrlees (1971):
 - ► traditional → productive efficiency (ex. no taxes on intermediate goods) if complete set of taxes on final goods
 - ▶ behavioral → productive efficiency if complete set of salient taxes on final goods
 - \blacktriangleright in both cases, no productive efficiency \rightarrow supply elasticities and incidence enter tax formulas
- Atkinson-Stiglitz (1976):
 - \blacktriangleright traditional \rightarrow uniform commodity taxation if separable preferences
 - ▶ behavioral → not true anymore in general, e.g. tax more non-salient goods and high internality goods

Conclusion

Traditional optimal taxation theory:

general using traditional price theory

- unification \rightarrow tax formulas with sufficient statistics
- concrete lessons
- Behavioral optimal taxation theory:
 - general using behavioral price theory
 - \blacktriangleright unification \rightarrow tax formulas with old and new sufficient statistics
 - new concrete lessons