

AQ 1 Estimation of De Facto Flexibility Parameter and Basket Weights in Evolving Exchange Rate Regimes

By JEFFREY FRANKEL AND DANIEL XIE*

As is by now well-known, the exchange rate regimes that countries follow in practice (de facto) often depart from the regimes that they announce officially (de jure). Many countries that say they float in fact intervene heavily in the foreign exchange market.¹ Many countries that say they fix in fact devalue when trouble arises.² Many countries that say they target a basket of major currencies in fact fiddle with the weights.³

A number of economists have offered attempts at de facto classifications, placing countries into categories (such as fixed, floating, and intermediate).⁴ Unfortunately, these classification schemes disagree with each other as much as they disagree with the de jure classification.⁵ Something must be wrong.

I. The Existing Techniques for Estimating de facto Regimes and their Drawbacks

Several things are wrong. First, attempts to infer statistically a country's degree of exchange rate flexibility from the variability of its exchange rate alone ignore that some countries experience greater shocks than others.

That problem can be addressed by comparing exchange rate variability to foreign exchange

reserve variability, as do Calvo and Reinhart (2002) and Levy-Yeyati and Sturzenegger (2003, 2005). A useful way to specify this approach is in terms of Exchange Market Pressure, defined as the sum of the change in the value of a currency and the change in its reserves.⁶ Exchange Market Pressure represents shocks in demand for the currency. The flexibility parameter can be estimated from the propensity of the central bank to let these shocks show up in the price of the currency (floating) or the quantity of the currency (fixed) or somewhere in between (intermediate exchange rate regime). But even these papers have a second limitation: they generally impose the choice of a single major currency around which the country in question defines its value, most often the dollar (though some use statistical criteria to help choose which currency). For some countries—to whatever extent the authorities seek to stabilize the exchange rate—there is an obvious candidate for anchor currency. But for others it is much less evident, especially those with geographically diversified trade. In many cases, one cannot presume that the anchor is a single major currency. It would be better to *estimate endogenously* whether the anchor currency is the dollar, the euro, some other currency, or some basket of currencies.

A third set of papers is designed to estimate the anchor currency, or more generally to estimate the currencies in the basket and their respective weights.⁷ The approach is simply to run a regression of the change in the value of the local currency against the changes in the values

* Frankel: HKS, 79 JFK Street, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138 (e-mail: jeffrey_frankel@harvard.edu); Xie: Peterson Institute for International Economics, 1750 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20036; (e-mail: dxie@piie.com). The authors thank Agnès Bénassy-Quéré, Atish Ghosh, Oyebola Olabisi, Carmen Reinhart and Shang-Jin Wei for comments.

¹“Fear of floating.” Reinhart (2000), Calvo and Reinhart (2002).

²“The mirage of fixed exchange rates.” Obstfeld and Rogoff (1995).

³Frankel, Schmukler and Servén (2000).

⁴Important examples include Ghosh, Gulde and Wolf (2000, 2002), Reinhart and Rogoff (2004) and Shambaugh (2004). Tavlas, Dellas and Stockman (2008) survey the literature.

⁵Bénassy-Quéré, et al. (2004, Table 5) and Frankel (2004, Table 1).

⁶The progenitor of the Exchange Market Pressure variable, in a rather different context, was Gorton and Roper (1977). Here we impose the a priori constraint that a one percentage increase in the foreign exchange value of the currency and a one percentage increase in the supply of the currency (the change in reserves as a share of the monetary base) have equal weights.

⁷Examples include Frankel (1993), Frankel and Wei (1994, 1995, 2007), Bénassy-Quéré (1999), and Bénassy-Quéré, Coeuré, and Mignon (2004), among others.

of the dollar, euro, and other major currencies that are potential candidates for the anchor currency or basket of currencies. In the special case where the country in question in fact does follow a perfect basket peg, the technique is an exceptionally apt application of OLS regression. Under the null hypothesis, it should be easy to recover precise estimates of the weights. The fit should be perfect, an extreme rarity in econometrics: the standard error of the regression should be zero and $R^2 = 100$ percent.

The reason to work in terms of changes rather than levels is the likelihood of nonstationarity. Concern for nonstationarity in this equation goes beyond the common refrain of modern time-series econometrics, the inability to reject statistically a unit root. There is often good reason a priori to consider the possibility that the regime builds in a trend. In the context of countries with a history of high inflation, the hypothesis of interest is that the currency regime is a crawling peg, that is, that there is a steady negative trend in its value.⁸ In the context of the Chinese yuan in the years since 1994, the hypothesis of interest is a *positive* trend in its value.⁹ Working in terms of first differences is a clean way to allow for nonstationarity. One simply includes a constant term to allow for the possibility of a crawl in the currency, whether against the dollar alone or a broader basket.

Although the equation is very well-specified under the null hypothesis of a basket peg or other peg, it is on less firm ground under the alternative hypothesis. The approach neglects to include anything to help make sense out of the error term under the alternative hypothesis that

the country is not perfectly pegged to a major currency or to a basket, but rather has adopted a degree of flexibility around its anchor. In other words, the limitation of the implicit-weights estimation approach is the same as the virtue of the flexibility-parameter estimation approach and vice versa. The latter is well-specified to estimate the flexibility parameter only if the anchor is already known, while the former is well-specified to estimate the anchor only if there is no flexibility.

Frankel and Wei (2008) synthesize the technique that estimates the flexibility parameter with the technique that estimates the degree of flexibility. The synthesis technique brings the two branches of the literature together to produce a complete equation suitable for use in inferring the de facto regime across the spectrum of flexibility *and* across the array of possible anchors.¹⁰

All these approaches, including the synthesis technique, suffer from a further limitation. In practice many currencies, perhaps the majority, do not maintain a single consistent regime for more than a few years at a time, but rather switch parameters every few years and even switch regimes.¹¹ The official regime of Chile, for example, changed parameters—basket weights, width of band, rate of crawl—18 times from September 1982 to September 1999 (when it started floating), an average of once a year. If such changes always fell on January 1, one might have some hope of being able to estimate the equation year by year, though this would be difficult if one were limited to only 12 monthly observations. Since the parameter changes can come anytime, the standard strategy, of estimating an equation for each year, or each interval of two years, or more years, cannot hope to capture the reality. The frequent changes in regimes and parameters that many countries experience may be the most important reason why different authors' classification schemes give different results among the universe of currencies, and none seems to get fully at the truth.

The next step is to apply statistical techniques that allow for the possibility that the regime

⁸ The hypothesis of a constant rate of crawl is readily combined with the hypothesis that the anchor is a basket, and even with the hypothesis of variability around the anchor. The combined BBC regime (Basket/Band/Crawl) has been recommended for a variety of countries (Williamson 2001). It was, for example, the regime followed by Chile in the 1990s, de facto of other currencies, with numerical weights unannounced, allowing cumulatively a movement of up to ± 0.3 percent per day. Initial applications of the implicit basket estimation technique to the yuan exchange rate suggested that the de facto regime continued to be essentially a dollar peg in 2005 and 2006. E.g., Ogawa (2006), Frankel and Wei (2007) and other papers cited there.

⁹ In 2005, Chinese authorities announced a switch to a new exchange rate regime: The exchange rate would henceforth be set with reference to a basket of other currencies, with numerical weights unannounced, allowing cumulatively a movement of up to ± 0.3 percent per day. Initial applications of the implicit basket estimation technique to the yuan exchange rate suggested that the de facto regime continued to be essentially a dollar peg in 2005 and 2006. E.g., Ogawa (2006), Frankel and Wei (2007) and other papers cited there.

¹⁰ Frankel (2009) applies the synthesis technique to data on the Chinese exchange rate from 2005 to 2008, finding that the yuan during the latter part of this period did move away from the dollar peg, shifting some weight to the euro.

¹¹ Masson (2001).

and parameter governing a currency shifts, and shifts at irregular intervals. If one knows the hypothesized date of a shift, e.g., because it is officially announced, then one can test that the structural break took place de facto by means of the classic test of Chow (1960). More often, however, the structural breaks could fall at any date.

We adopt the estimation technology developed by Bai and Perron (1998, 2003), who provided estimators, test statistics, and efficient algorithms appropriate to a linear model with multiple possible structural changes at unknown dates. Bai and Perron estimate multiple breaks simultaneously, using generalized least squares with an efficient dynamic programming algorithm that globally minimizes the sum of squared residuals. They further develop a procedure to test the null hypothesis of ℓ breaks versus the alternative of $\ell + 1$ breaks, which can be applied sequentially to determine the number of breaks.

II. The Synthesis Equation

Algebraically, if the home currency, with value defined as H , is pegged to a basket of currencies with values defined as X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , and weights equal to w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n , then

$$(1) \quad \log H(t+s) - \log H(t) = c + \sum w(j) [\log X(j, t+s) - \log X(j, t)].$$

AQ 2

One methodological question must be addressed. How do we define the “value” of each of the currencies? This is the question of the numeraire.¹² If the exchange rate is truly a basket peg, the choice of numeraire currency is immaterial; we estimate the weights accurately regardless. We favor a weighted-average index of major currencies, such as the SDR, for reasons explained in Frankel and Wei (2007).

¹² Frankel and Wei (1995) used the SDR as numeraire; Frankel (1993) used purchasing power over a consumer basket of domestic goods; Frankel and Wei (1994, 2006) and Ohno (1999) used the Swiss franc; Bénassy-Quéré (1999), the dollar; Frankel, Schmukler, and Servén (2000), a GDP-weighted basket of five major currencies.

Our synthesis equation is:

$$(2) \quad \Delta \log H_t = c + \sum w(j) \Delta \log X(j)_t + \delta \{\Delta EMP_t\} + u_t$$

AQ 3

where ΔEMP_t denotes the percentage change in exchange market pressure, that is, the increase in international demand for the Home currency, which may show up in either its price or its quantity, depending on the policies of the monetary authorities. Here we define the total percentage change in exchange market pressure by

$$\Delta EMP_t \equiv \Delta \log H_t + \Delta Res_t / MB_t,$$

where $Res \equiv$ foreign exchange reserves and $MB \equiv$ Monetary Base. The $w(j)$ coefficients capture the de facto weights on the constituent currencies. The coefficient δ captures the de facto degree of exchange rate flexibility. A high δ means the currency floats purely, because there is little foreign exchange market intervention (few changes in reserves). $\delta = 0$ means the exchange rate is purely fixed, because it never changes in value. A majority of currencies probably lie somewhere in between.

Constraining the weights on the currencies to add up to 1 sharpens the estimates. We impose the adding up constraint $w(4) = 1 - w(1) - w(2) - w(3)$, and now make explicit the identities of the four major basket currencies used in this paper:

$$(3) \quad [\Delta \log H_t - \Delta \log \mathcal{L}_t] = c + w(1) [\Delta \log \$ - \Delta \log \mathcal{L}_t] + w(2) [\Delta \log \text{€} - \Delta \log \mathcal{L}_t] + w(3) [\Delta \log \text{¥} - \Delta \log \mathcal{L}_t] + \delta \{\Delta EMP_t\} + u_t.$$

III. An Illustration

We illustrate the technique in Table 1, with an application to the Mexican peso, for which weekly reserve data are available. The Bai-Perron technique yields five structural breaks, though the threshold for statistical significance was set high: at 0.01.

TABLE 1—IDENTIFYING BREAK POINTS IN MEXICO'S DE FACTO EXCHANGE RATE REGIME

Variables	1/21/1999– 9/2/2001 (1)	9/9/2001– 3/18/2003 (2)	3/25/2003– 7/29/2006 (3)	8/5/2006– 1/28/2008 (4)	2/4/2008– 12/15/2008 (5)	12/22/2008– 7/29/2009 (6)
US dollar	0.92*** (0.09)	0.88*** (0.12)	0.62*** (0.07)	1.11*** (0.10)	0.96*** (0.19)	0.20 (0.22)
Euro	0.14 (0.08)	−0.09 (0.14)	0.30*** (0.09)	0.20* (0.11)	0.51*** (0.16)	0.51*** (0.18)
Jpn yen	−0.05 (0.06)	0.22*** (0.07)	0.08 (0.06)	−0.34*** (0.06)	−0.33** (0.12)	0.18 (0.13)
ΔEMP^a	0.14*** (0.03)	0.32*** (0.03)	0.17*** (0.03)	0.02 (0.02)	0.07 (0.07)	0.28*** (0.04)
Constant	0.00 (0.00)	−0.00*** (0.00)	−0.00* (0.00)	−0.00 (0.00)	−0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
Observations	131	78	168	76	46	29
R ²	0.62	0.86	0.69	0.67	0.54	0.78
AQ 4 Br. pound	−0.01	−0.01	−0.01	0.02	−0.14	0.11

Notes: All data are weekly—M1:1999–M7:2009. (Robust standard errors in parentheses.)

^a ΔEMP is exchange rate market pressure, defined as the percentage increase in the local currency value plus the increase in reserves (scaled by the monetary base): Blackwell Publishing, where $H \equiv$ value of home currency, in terms of SDRs, $Res \equiv$ foreign exchange reserves, and $MB \equiv$ Monetary Base.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

*Significant at the 10 percent level.

The peso is known as a floater. To the extent that Mexico intervenes a bit to reduce exchange rate variation, the dollar is the primary anchor, but there also appears to have been some weight on the euro starting in 2003. Surprisingly, from August 2006 to December 2008, the coefficient on Exchange Market Pressure is essentially zero, suggesting heavier intervention around a dollar target. But the peso moved away from the currency to the north in the period starting December 2008, after the worst phase of the global liquidity crisis hit and the dollar appreciated.

Applications of the technique to examples of currencies following simple pegs to a basket or to a single currency are available elsewhere.¹³ Possible future extensions include providing a

classification scheme that includes most or all members of the IMF and applying a threshold autoregressive technique to capture more accurately the right specification for those countries believed to be following a target zone, rather than more general managed floating.

REFERENCES

- Bai, Jushan, and Pierre Perron.** 1998. "Estimating and Testing Linear Models with Multiple Structural Changes." *Econometrica*, 66(1): 47–78.
- Bai, Jushan, and Pierre Perron.** 2003. "Computation and Analysis of Multiple Structural Change Models." *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 18(1): 1–22.
- Bénassy-Quéré, Agnès.** 1999. "Exchange Rate Regimes Ad Policies: An Empirical Analysis." In *Exchange Rate Policies in Emerging Asian Countries*, ed. Stefan Collignon, Jean Pisani-Ferry, and Yung Chul Park, 40–64. London: Routledge.
- Bénassy-Quéré, Agnès, Benoit Coeuré, and Valérie Mignon.** 2006. "On the Identification

¹³ Frankel and Wei (2008) for some basket peggers. Frankel (2009) for the yuan. The full version of the present study (NBER Working Paper No. 15620, 2009) reports details on the Bai-Perron technique for estimating structural parameter shifts and illustrates with results for four more managed-floating currencies: Chilean peso, Indian rupee, Russian ruble and Thai baht.

- of De Facto Currency Pegs." *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 20(1): 112–27.
- Calvo, Guillermo A., and Carmen M. Reinhart.** 2002. "Fear of Floating." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117(2): 379–408.
- Chow, Gregory.** 1960. "Tests of Equality Between Sets of Coefficients in Two Linear Regressions." *Econometrica*, 28(3): 591–605.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A.** 1993. "Is Japan Creating a Yen Bloc in East Asia and the Pacific?" In *Regionalism and Rivalry: Japan and the United States in Pacific Asia*, ed. Jeffrey A. Frankel and Miles Kahler, 53–85. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A.** 2004. "Experience of and Lessons from Exchange Rate Regimes in Emerging Economies." In *Monetary and Financial Integration in East Asia: The Way Ahead*, ed. Asian Development Bank, 91–138. New York: Palgrave Macmillan Press.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A.** 2009. "The Symposium on 'China's Impact on the Global Economy': New Estimation of China's Exchange Rate Regime." *Pacific Economic Review*, 14(3): 346–60.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A., Sergio Schmukler, and Luis Servén.** 2000. "Verifiability and the Vanishing Intermediate Exchange Rate Regime." In *Brookings Trade Forum 2000*, ed. S. Collins and D. Rodrik, 59–123. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A., and Shang-Jin Wei.** 1994. "Yen Bloc or Dollar Bloc? Exchange Rate Policies of the East Asian Economies." In *Macroeconomic Linkage: Savings, Exchange Rates, and Capital Flows*, ed. Takatoshi Ito and Anne O. Krueger, 295–329. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A., and Shang-Jin Wei.** 2007. "Assessing China's Exchange Rate Regime." *Economic Policy*, (51): 575–614, 22–27.
- Frankel, Jeffrey A., and Shang-Jin Wei.** 2008. "Estimation of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes: Synthesis of the Techniques for Inferring Flexibility and Basket Weights." *IMF Staff Papers*, 55(3): 384–416.
- Ghosh, Atish R., Anne-Marie Gulde, and Holger C. Wolf.** 2000. "Currency Boards: More Than a Quick Fix?" *Economic Policy: A European Forum*, (31): 269–321.
- Ghosh, Atish R., Anne-Marie Gulde, and Holger C. Wolf.** 2002. *Exchange Rate Regimes: Choices and Consequences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Girton, Lance, and Don Roper.** 1977. "A Monetary Model of Exchange Market Pressure Applied to the Postwar Canadian Experience." *American Economic Review*, 67(4): 537–48.
- Levy-Yeyati, Eduardo, and Federico Sturzenegger.** 2003. "To Float or to Fix: Evidence on the Impact of Exchange Rate Regimes on Growth." *American Economic Review*, 93(4): 1173–93.
- Levy-Yeyati, Eduardo, and Federico Sturzenegger.** 2005. "Classifying Exchange Rate Regimes: Deeds Vs. Words." *European Economic Review*, 49(6): 1603–35.
- Masson, Paul R.** 2001. "Exchange Rate Regime Transitions." *Journal of Development Economics*, 64(2): 571–86.
- Obstfeld, Maurice, and Kenneth Rogoff.** 1995. "The Mirage of Fixed Exchange Rates." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 9(4): 73–96.
- Ogawa, Eiji, and Michiru Sakane.** 2006. "Chinese Yuan after Chinese Exchange Rate System Reform." *China and World Economy*, 14(6): 39–57.
- Reinhart, Carmen M.** 2000. "Mirage of Floating Exchange Rates." *American Economic Review*, 90(2): 65–70.
- Reinhart, Carmen M., and Kenneth S. Rogoff.** 2004. "The Modern History of Exchange Rate Arrangements: A Reinterpretation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(1): 1–48.
- Shambaugh, Jay C.** 2004. "The Effect of Fixed Exchange Rates on Monetary Policy." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(1): 301–52.
- Tavlas, George, Harris Dellas, and Alan C. Stockman.** 2008. "The Classification and Performance of Alternative Exchange-Rate Systems." *European Economic Review*, 52(6): 941–63.
- Williamson, John.** 2001. "The Case for a Basket, Band and Crawl (BBC) Regime for East Asia." In *Future Directions for Monetary Policies in East Asia*, ed. David Gruen and John Simon. Sydney: Reserve Bank of Australia.

AUTHOR, PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES (numbered with “AQ” in the margin of the page).

AQ#	Question	Response
1.	A shorter headline of no more than 63 characters is needed for inside pages.	
2.	The notation of X_1, X_2, w_1 , etc. is inconsistent with equation (1), where these values are written $X(1), w(1)$, etc.	
3.	The notation of $X(j)_t$ is inconsistent with the notation of equation (1): $X(j, t)$.	
4.	It's unclear what the row on the pound is reporting. Are you allowing a parameter that isn't in (3)? Presumably the US dollar row are the estimated in (1) parameters, and so on.	
5.	Please provide page numbers.	

PROOFREADERS' MARKS

SYMBOL	MEANING	EXAMPLE
	delete	take it out
	close up	per cent
	delete and close up	rem ove
	insert something here	something ^{is} missing
	space	too [*] close
	space evenly	space these consistently
	let stand	ignore marks and leave as was
	transpose	this [backwards] is
	used to separate 2 or more marks in margin	[^] / [^]
	center]this should center[
	set farther to the left	[move left
	set farther to the right	move right]
	align horizontally	align with surrounding text
	align vertically	align with surrounding text
	move to next line	
	begin new paragraph	
	spell out	set PA as Pennsylvania
	set in capitals	ALL CAPS
	set in small capitals	SMALL CAPITALS
	set in lowercase	lower case
	set in italic (underline the text)	<i>italic</i>
	set in roman	roman or regular
	set in bold (squiggly underline of text)	BOLD
	hyphen-used to join words and to separate syllables	
	en dash—a connection between two things	2006–2007
	em (long) dash—indicates a sudden break in thought	
	superscript or superior	E=MC ²
	subscript or inferior	H ₂ O
	centered	for a centered dot in p [^] q
	comma	red, white, and blue
	apostrophe	my sister's friend's investments
	period	the end.
	semicolon	he said; she said
	colon	what follows proves: clarifies
	quotations marks	"the economist"
	parentheses	(like this)
	brackets	[like this]
	wrong font	wrong size or style

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT

From: The American Economic Association Fax: +1 412-431-3014
The American Economic Review
2403 Sidney Street, Suite 260
Pittsburgh, PA 15203

To: Author (please print name here) _____

The American Economic Association (hereinafter Association) is pleased to have the opportunity to publish your manuscript in the *American Economic Review*. In order that the Association, as Publisher, may obtain copyright protection for the contents of the Journal, it is necessary for you to execute this formal transfer of your copyrights in this manuscript to the Association.

The Association acknowledges the receipt of your manuscript titled _____
_____ ,
to appear in the May 2010 issue of *AER*.

Consent to Publish

In consideration of the publication by the Association of the above-named manuscript, the undersigned as Author(s) transfer(s) exclusively to the Association all rights, title and interest defined by the Copyright Law of the United States in and to the above-named manuscript in its entirety, including all subsidiary rights. The rights transferred herein shall remain the property of the Association for the full duration of these rights under the Copyright Law of the United States. If it should become necessary, the Author(s) agree(s) to assist the Association in registering and enforcing the Copyright in the name of the Association. The Association shall have the right to publish the above-named manuscript in print, sound or video recordings, magnetic media (i.e., computer disk, CD-ROM, etc. . . .) electronic media (including transmission via the Internet, or any other computerized communication network), or any other technology for publication of this work which may hereinafter be developed.

The Association, in turn, grants to the Author(s) the right to republication in any work in any form, including digital repositories in universities and other institutions subject only to giving proper credit of copyright. The Association further grants to the Author(s) the right to distribute the above-noted work in any classroom in which he or she is a teacher, subject only to the Author(s) giving proper credit in any such derivative work and on any copies distributed for classroom use. Proper notice may be given as follows: [Copyright _____, American Economic Association; reproduced with permission of the *American Economic Review*].

Permission to Reprint Policy

The Author(s) may specify the degree of access to which the Association grants others the right to reproduce the Author(s)' material. Check one:

- _____ Implicit consent: Grants anyone permission to reprint in all places in all forms provided that the appropriate copyright information is included and the Association is notified that the work is being reprinted.
- _____ Explicit consent: Requires direct consent of the Author(s) and the Association before any republication is allowed. The republisher must obtain from the Author(s) permission to reprint all or any major portion of the Author(s)' manuscript. Author(s) may charge a fee for reprint or translation rights.

Rights to translate are retained by the Author(s) and dealt with on a case by case basis.

Warranty of Authorship

The Author(s) warrant(s) that the above-named manuscript is his or her own original work of authorship and has not been published previously. If any material included by the Author(s) in the above-noted manuscript (including tables, charts, or figures) is the work of another author or is otherwise under prior copyright protection by another proprietor, the Author(s) undertake(s) to obtain permission from that copyright proprietor for the inclusion of such material in this manuscript to be published by the Association. The Author(s) further agree(s) to save and hold the Association harmless in any suit for infringement arising from the Author(s)' unauthorized use of copyrighted material. The Author(s) agree(s) to submit to the Editor of the Journal of the Association to whom the manuscript has been submitted, copies of all letters of permission to include copyrighted material of another author included in the subject manuscript by this Author or material written by Author(s) that is under prior copyright protection by another proprietor.

The Author(s) further warrant(s) that this manuscript was not written as part of his or her official duties as an employee(s) of the United States government. Since copyright protection is not available for a work of the United States government, the Author(s) agree(s) to disclose fully to the Association the circumstances of federal employment which might invoke this bar to copyright protection of the manuscript by signing below to confirm the author warranties.

The Author(s) further warrant(s) that this manuscript was not written as an employee so as to constitute a work-for-hire in which the ownership of the copyright is in that employer.

Please sign and date this agreement. *Return one copy* to the Editor of the *American Economic Review* promptly and *retain one copy*. A manuscript for which there is no valid Copyright Transfer Agreement cannot be published.

Accepted and approved: _____
Author(s)

Government Employees please sign here: _____ Date: _____

For the American Economic Association and the *American Economic Review*:
