

Engineered bat virus stirs debate over risky research

Lab-made coronavirus related to SARS can infect human cells.

Editors' note, March 2020: We are aware that this story is being used as the basis for unverified theories that the novel coronavirus causing COVID-19 was engineered. There is no evidence that this is true; scientists believe that an animal is the most likely source of the coronavirus.

Declan Butler

12 November 2015

An experiment that created a hybrid version of a bat coronavirus — one related to the virus that causes SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) — has triggered renewed debate over whether engineering lab variants of viruses with possible pandemic potential is worth the risks.

In an article published in *Nature Medicine*¹ on 9 November, scientists investigated a virus called SHC014, which is found in horseshoe bats in China. The researchers created a chimaeric virus, made up of a surface protein of SHC014 and the backbone of a SARS virus that had been adapted to grow in mice and to mimic human disease. The chimaera infected human airway cells — proving that the surface protein of SHC014 has the necessary structure to bind to a key receptor on the cells and to infect them. It also caused disease in mice, but did not kill them.

Although almost all coronaviruses isolated from bats have not been able to bind to the key human receptor, SHC014 is not the first that can do so. In 2013, researchers reported this ability for the first time in a different coronavirus isolated from the same bat population².

The findings reinforce suspicions that bat coronaviruses capable of directly infecting humans (rather than first needing to evolve in an intermediate animal host) may be more common than previously thought, the researchers say.

But other virologists question whether the information gleaned from the experiment justifies the potential risk. Although the extent of any risk is difficult to assess, Simon Wain-Hobson, a virologist at the Pasteur Institute in Paris, points out that the researchers have created a novel virus that “grows remarkably well” in human cells. “If the virus escaped, nobody could predict the

Related stories

- Viral-research moratorium called too broad
- US suspends risky disease research
- Biosafety in the balance

trajectory,” he says.

Creation of a chimaera

The argument is essentially a rerun of the debate over whether to allow lab research that increases the virulence, ease of spread or host range of dangerous pathogens — what is known as ‘gain-of-function’ research. In October 2014, the US government imposed a moratorium on federal funding of such research on the viruses that cause SARS, influenza and MERS (Middle East respiratory syndrome, a deadly disease caused by a virus that sporadically jumps from camels to people).

We use cookies to personalise content and ads, to provide social media features and to analyse our traffic. We also share information about your use of our site with our social media, advertising and analytics partners in accordance with our [Privacy Policy](#). You can manage your preferences in 'Manage Cookies'.

› [Manage Cookies](#)

✓ OK

“The only impact of this work is the creation, in a lab, of a new, non-natural risk,” agrees Richard Ebright, a molecular biologist and biodefence expert at Rutgers University in Piscataway, New Jersey. Both Ebright and Wain-Hobson are long-standing critics of gain-of-function research.

In their paper, the study authors also concede that funders may think twice about allowing such experiments in the future. “Scientific review panels may deem similar studies building chimeric viruses based on circulating strains too risky to pursue,” they write, adding that discussion is needed as to “whether these types of chimeric virus studies warrant further investigation versus the inherent risks involved”.

Useful research

But Baric and others say the research did have benefits. The study findings “move this virus from a candidate emerging pathogen to a clear and present danger”, says Peter Daszak, who co-authored the 2013 paper. Daszak is president of the EcoHealth Alliance, an international network of scientists, headquartered in New York City, that samples viruses from animals and people in emerging-diseases hotspots across the globe.

Studies testing hybrid viruses in human cell culture and animal models are limited in what they can say about the threat posed by a wild virus, Daszak agrees. But he argues that they can help indicate which pathogens should be prioritized for further research attention.

Without the experiments, says Baric, the SHC014 virus would still be seen as not a threat. Previously, scientists had believed, on the basis of molecular modelling and other studies, that it should not be able to infect human cells. The latest work shows that the virus has already overcome critical barriers, such as being able to latch onto human receptors and efficiently infect human airway cells, he says. "I don't think you can ignore that." He plans to do further studies with the virus in non-human primates, which may yield data more relevant to humans.

Nature doi:10.1038/nature.2015.18787

Tweet

Follow @NatureNews

References

1. Menachery, V. D. *et al. Nature Med.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.3985> (2015).

Show context

2. Ge, X.-Y. *et al. Nature* **503**, 535–538 (2013).

Show context

Article PubMed ChemPort

Related stories and links

From nature.com

- **Viral-research moratorium called too broad**
23 October 2014
- **US suspends risky disease research**
22 October 2014
- **Biosafety in the balance**
25 June 2014

For the best commenting experience, please login or register as a user and agree to our Community Guidelines. You will be re-directed back to this page where you will see comments updating in real-time and have the ability to recommend comments to other users.

Comments for this thread are now closed.

1 comment

Subscribe to comments



C Narayana Varun • 2015-11-18 06:00 AM

I totally don't understand the logic of how Gain of function is a dangerous experiment, when done in a well contained lab lead by responsible scientists. Even if we consider that this strain is dangerous (Apparently not) , people are questioning the authenticity of Bio-safety procedures. Labs have worked on Ebola recently and not a single outbreak has been reported which originated from lab. People are just obsessed with the wrong understanding of GOF (Gain of function) research. Go listen to all TWiV episodes 1. <http://varuncnmicro.blogspot.in/2014/07/ferrets-are-not-humans.html> 2. <http://www.twiv.tv/>

Nature ISSN 0028-0836 EISSN 1476-4687

© 2019 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All Rights Reserved.
partner of AGORA, HINARI, OARE, INASP, CrossRef and COUNTER