Perna, L. W. (Ed.). (2018). Taking it to the streets: The role of scholarship in advocacy and advocacy in scholarship. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press. 148 pp.

Review by Roy Y. Chan



comprehensive introduction to the central new connections between higher education issues affecting higher education policy research, advocacy, and policy. Perna demadvocacy between academic researchers onstrates that scholars of higher education and policymakers. The 17 chapters of this policy must work collegially and collectively edited volume present narratives written by between and within groups when designing nationally and internationally recognized leaders that explore the professional pathways and methodological approaches used by faculty to advance diversity, equity, and ers to higher education scholarship but also inclusion in higher education. The book arrives at a pivotal time for the field of higher by linking critical models, methods, and education, during which scholars have been research tools for historically underrepreincreasingly criticized for their inability to sented and underserved populations. impact real-world policy problems with their policy research scholarship (Gordon da Cruz, 2018; Hillman, Tandberg, & Sponsler, 2015; Post, Ward, Long, & Saltmarsh, 2016; Tandberg, Sponsler, Hanna, & Guilbeau, 2018).

In Chapter 1, Perna frames the introduction of her book as a call for early career scholars to proactively disseminate and communicate research findings that resonate not only with the larger scholarly communities, but also with policy actors, both authorized (e.g., government, organizational, corporate) and unauthorized (e.g., teachers, students, administrators). Specifically, Perna calls upon the faculty to design community-engaged scholarship or publicly engaged scholarship that is applicable to college administrators, state policymakers, and the judicial system. She believes that policy, scholarship, and advocacy are vital mechanisms to effect social change, advance the public good, and strengthen democracy. Perna fleshes out several key themes raised in the succeeding chapters, with three guiding orientations: (1) "focus on policy analysis rather than politics," (2) "identify the best solutions from data and In Chapter 3, Mitchell J. Chang extends the

Ith Taking It to the Streets: The research," and (3) "recognize the roles and Role of Scholarship in Advocacy responsibilities of our positions" (pp. 3–7). and Advocacy in Scholarship, She challenges researchers to remain coghigher education scholar nizant and data focused as public intellec-Laura W. Perna provides a tuals or intellectual leaders when bridging policy-relevant research that advances the public good. The author notes the contemporary challenge of connecting policymakoffers practical solutions in this volume

> In Chapter 2, James T. Minor urges academic researchers to think and act as public intellectuals utilizing data-informed results or advocacy efforts to lead to improved policy outcomes for students. Pointing out that the role of scholarship in facilitating outcomes among research, advocacy, and policymaking is "more detached than connected" (p. 17), Minor emphasizes that higher education researchers must do more to strategically place their studies in policy environments in which their publication aligns with advocacy and policymaking activities outside the academy. Rather than pursuing purely individual intellectual interests, he urges higher education scholars to develop advocacy research agendas attuned to the interests of policymakers and other advocates, and thus more likely to influence policy issues. Minor concludes that, presently, "higher education research is a day late and a dollar short" (pp. 21-22). He challenges educatorscholars and practitioners to reevaluate the relevance of their research to ensure alignment with the agendas of policy leaders at the federal, state, and local levels.

faculty member of Asian descent at UCLA organizations, he recognizes the ongo-Studies, Chang discusses his efforts to administration) and faculty researchers, "gaze outwardly" to advance knowledge and the division of labor between them. newspapers, and (3) working with people to inform practice through social activism outside his scholarly community to apply as intellectual leaders in higher educahis research (pp. 25-26). Chang uses the tion. He challenges researchers to be selfexample of C. Wright Mills's Sociological Imagination (1959) to suggest that educator-scholars develop research agendas activists in the academy. guided by a broader vision and purpose to create social change in both the current and future world. Chang concludes that early career scholars must not only be engaged intellectually with a community of scholars but also should push traditional boundaries of academic discourse to advance diversity and inclusivity in higher education.

Donald E. Heller shares common ground with the authors of the previous three chapters. In Chapter 4 he provides an example of how scholars of higher education can influence public policy in order to improve college access and success of historically underserved communities. Through his work as both a scholar and scholar-activist, he highlights how educator-scholars must identify policy-relevant topics that are of interest, not just to oneself, but also to the greater community. Heller explains that having a passion for a specific research topic is perhaps the most important ingredient Based on her 25 years of experience as a to achieve academic relevance and success. Drawing on his prior work with the Tennessee Higher Education Commission, scholars to design and disseminate datahe describes the tension between higher education scholarship and policy implementation in the adoption of the Tennessee Lottery sponsibilities at Michigan State University, Scholarship Program in 2002. Although the she encourages educator-scholars to con-Commission did not adopt many of the ideas duct publicly engaged scholarship in their Heller proposed, policy leaders did consider research, translation, and advocacy. The a few areas of significance when assisting author believes that engaged scholarship with the policy process of the financial aid should be a scholar's ethical responsibility scholarship program. Heller concludes that as a faculty member and that advocacy must leveraging postsecondary research can best be integral to that work. influence policy and practice if universitybased scholars are willing to connect their scholarship with outside groups that are accessible to the public.

argument that higher education scholars vivid example of the growing disconnect bemust be scholar-activists in the academy if tween policy advocacy and evidence-based they seek to make an impact in their area policy investigation. From his experience of scholarship. Only the second tenured as a policy researcher at three education Graduate School of Education & Information ing tension between politicians (or public by (1) developing an experiential ground- Marginson stresses that researchers must level understanding of problems/issues, work with politicians to pursue empirical (2) publishing his work in a wide range investigations that not only challenge our of publications, including journals and values and beliefs, but also allow their work determining and to design equity-minded policies and procedures as the intellectual

> Christine A. Stanley calls upon the higher education communities to enact their diversity and social justice goals for disadvantaged groups in Chapter 6. Rather than pursuing individual intellectual interests, Stanley challenges researchers of all demographic backgrounds to engage in critical community-engaged scholarship and to be equalizers who advocate and inform change for the public good. From her research on the experiences of faculty of color in predominantly White institutions, Stanley argues that scholars must hold themselves accountable for inclusive excellence. She offers the late Maya Angelou as an example of a public intellectual who sought to critique systems of oppression and advocate for social change as a scholar. Stanley emphasizes that researchers have a moral obligation to use research for engaging with the community.

> faculty member, Ann E. Austin makes the case, in Chapter 7, for higher education informed research that advances the public good. Informed by her various roles and re-

Gary Rhoades further adds that communityengaged scholarship is necessary to fully engage nonacademic audiences (policymakers, administrators, practitioners) in public In Chapter 5, Simon Marginson provides a scholarship in Chapter 8. He asserts that nonacademics is vital to ensure that public gender, age, sexual orientation, religion, scholarship remains accessible to different generational status, socioeconomic status, audiences, in different contexts, and at dif- family income, marriage status, dependency ferent points in time. Because faculty mem- status, and/or place of residence. bers are pressured to publish in top-tier journals, Rhoades suggests that it is vital for us to rethink our work as scholar-experts to ensure that all people are included in the scholarship. He helpfully explains that "choosing how, why, and to whom we profess" is an important step toward negotiating "between the norms and forms of professional neutrality in . . . scholarly work and public scholarship" (p. 58).

In Chapter 9, Estela Mara Bensimon offers scholarship or public scholarship that can compelling evidence that higher education best combat the persisting inequities and researchers must create more powerful injustices within higher education systems tools "in order for inequity to be viewed as and structures. Although the book provides a contradiction to professional and institu- several great examples of why academic retional values" (p. 68). The author argues searchers should develop scholarly agendas that using theory-based tools, rather than that promote open pathways for equityreporting or measuring what is observed, minded policymaking, I believe the book can help scholars and practitioners shift falls short on how academic researchers their roles from being knowledge producers and practitioners can better connect their to consultants or facilitators of action when scholarship to the policymaking process in discussing topics like stereotypes, micro- higher education. aggressions, and racial biases. Bensimon encourages higher education researchers to utilize their knowledge as effective change agents to impact decisions and actions that can best facilitate racial equity in higher education.

The remaining chapters by Cheryl Crazy ties in higher education outcomes among Bull, Shaun R. Harper, Sara Goldrick-Rab, underrepresented college students (e.g., Danial G. Solorzano, William G. Tierney, low income, first generation, students of Adrianna Kezar, Adam Gamoran, Jeannie color, ethnic minorities). As evident from a Oakes, and Anthony A. Berryman share wide body of literature, scholars of higher themes that are similar to those of the first education policy have struggled to connect nine chapters, stressing that scholar-activ- and present findings to state and federal ism is risky and messy, but necessary to policymakers that address the knowledge ensure justice, fairness, equity, and respect needs of both parties (Hillman et al., 2015; in higher education. The authors pro- Perna, 2016a; Tandberg et al., 2018). These vide evidence that advocacy and academic struggles consist of challenges in language rigor are not mutually exclusive but may usage, method and methodology, and difinstead be intertwined or integrated into fering perspectives, as well as goals and higher education scholarship. In addition, timeframes (Perna, 2016b). As noted by the chapters express a strong belief that all the editor when she served as president scholars have an obligation to "ground . . . of the Association for the Study of Higher advocacy in research rather than opinion or Education, "Despite the important role that anecdote" (Perna, 2016a, p. 331). Although state policies can play in meeting the narisks are involved in pursuing advocacy tion's needs for increased educational opwork, the authors of this volume send a portunity, social mobility, and economic clear message that democracy is stronger growth, too little scholarship offers theowhen scholars and higher education prac- retically grounded and empirical examinatitioners include the voices of diverse people tions of the influence of state actions on in their studies (e.g., women faculty, non- these outcomes" (Flores et al., 2016, p. 1). traditional students, marginalized popula- Because policymakers often conceptualize

an inner dialogue between academics and tions) and take into account race/ethnicity,

The 17 contributors to this book share a collective vision that we as higher education researchers must have a moral and ethical obligation to use our privileged positions to engage in advocacy as proclamation and persuasion in order to connect research and policy in the era of posttruth and fake news. Perna provides evidence that academic researchers and policy leaders have the capacity to engage in critical community-engaged

I believe that the editor could have added more substantive content in the beginning or end of this book to articulate and frame the growing disconnect between policy and practice, and offered key recommendations designed specifically to address the inequi-

from academic researchers, the volume evant for teacher-scholars and policy would have been strengthened by the in- agents seeking to enhance communityclusion of an additional chapter that offered university partnerships between higher some sort of guidance on how researchers education research, advocacy, and policy. for framing and guiding policy alternatives. scholarly agendas that are problem-directed As academic researchers typically analyze rather than discipline-directed, with the what has occurred after the fact, making goal of addressing the knowledge-needs their political agendas by shaping public readers some hope that higher education policy (Flores et al., 2016). Because of their scholarship can be "taken to the streets" different approaches, I believe that this and used in policy-relevant ways that are book would have been more beneficial if instrumental, conceptual, and political to the editor had provided some real-world all constituencies (Flores et al., 2016). As examples of how and when scholars and stressed by Perna (2018), "merely conductadvocates can act assertively, based on the ing it [research] is not sufficient to create data available regarding a specific policy policy change. Advancing these goals also of their constituents.

research and policy in vastly different ways Nevertheless, this book is timely and relcan better advance their public policy agen- The book clearly calls upon higher educa-das to serve as the "intellectual backdrop" tion researchers and practitioners to develop their work largely reactive, policy leaders of policymakers and the policymaking tend to be proactive and seek to advance community. The reflective essays will give issue, and consistent with the preferences requires academic researchers to connect research and policy" (pp. 1–2).

About the Reviewer

Roy Y. Chan is the director of TRIO Student Support Services (SSS) and assistant professor of educational leadership for adult learning at Lee University. His research interests include college access and student success, philanthropy and funraising in higher education, and international and comparative higher education. He received his Ph.D. in education policy studies at Indiana University Bloomington.

References

- Flores, S. M., Prescott, B. T., Hillman, N., Sponsler, B., Saenz, V., Zaback, L., . . . Drake, A. (2016). Translating research into policy to increase equity in higher education: Lessons learned from the ASHE-WICHE Collaborative. Boulder, CO: Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education.
- Gordon da Cruz, C. (2018). Community-engaged scholarship: Toward a shared understanding of practice. *The Review of Higher Education*, 41(2), 147–167.
- Hillman, N. H., Tandberg, D. A., & Sponsler, B. A. (2015). *Public policy and higher education: Strategies for framing a research agenda* (ASHE Higher Education Report Series). San Francisco, CA: Jossey–Bass.
- Perna, L. W. (2016a). Throwing down the gauntlet: Ten ways to ensure that higher education research continues to matter. *Review of Higher Education*, 39(3), 319–338. doi:10.1353/rhe.2016.0016
- Perna, L. W. (2016b). Connecting research and state policy: Implications for academic researchers and intermediary organizations. Indianapolis, IN: Lumina Foundation.
- Perna, L. W. (Ed.). (2018). Taking it to the streets: The role of scholarship in advocacy and advocacy in scholarship. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.
- Post, M., Ward, E., Long, N., & Saltmarsh, J. (2016). Publicly engaged scholars: Nextgeneration engagement and the future of higher education. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Tandberg, D. A., Sponsler, B. A., Hanna, R. W., & Guilbeau, J. P. (2018). The state higher education executive officer and the public good: Developing new leadership for improved policy, practice, and research. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.